



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Meeting Agenda Planning Commission

Monday, February 11, 2013

7:00 PM

Commission Chambers

1. **Call To Order**
2. **Public Comments**
3. **Public Hearing**
 - a. [PC 13-009](#) Benchmade Request for Direct Access to Beavercreek Road -
Application Withdrawn
Staff: Community Development Director Tony Konkol and Pete Walter
Attachments: [Commission Report](#)
[Withdrawal Email from N. Juttelstad](#)
4. **Work Session**
 - [PC 13-010](#) Review Public Noticing Procedures for Planning Applications
Staff: Community Development Director Tony Konkol
Attachments: [Commission Report](#)
[OCMC Chapter 17.50 Administration and Procedures](#)
5. **Communications**
6. **Adjournment**

Public Comments: The following guidelines are given for citizens presenting information or raising issues relevant to the City but not listed on the agenda.

- *Complete a Comment Card prior to the meeting and submit it to the staff member.*
- *When the Chair calls your name, proceed to the speaker table and state your name and city of residence into the microphone.*
- *Each speaker is given 3 minutes to speak. To assist in tracking your speaking time, refer to the timer at the dais.*
- *As a general practice, Oregon City Officers do not engage in discussion with those making comments.*

Agenda Posted at City Hall, Pioneer Community Center, Library, and City Web site(oregon-city.legistar.com).

Video Streaming & Broadcasts: The meeting is streamed live on Oregon City's Web site at www.orcity.org and is available on demand following the meeting.

ADA: City Hall is wheelchair accessible with entry ramps and handicapped parking located on the east side of the building. Hearing devices may be requested from the City staff member prior to the meeting. Disabled individuals requiring other assistance must make their request known 48 hours preceding the meeting by contacting the City Recorder's Office at 503-657-0891.



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: PC 13-009

Agenda Date: 2/11/2013

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Planning Commission

Agenda #: a.

From: Community Development Director Tony Konkol and Pete

File Type: Land Use Item

SUBJECT:

Benchmark Request for Direct Access to Beavercreek Road - Application Withdrawn

RECOMMENDED ACTION (Motion):

None.

BACKGROUND:

Benchmark Knife Company has withdrawn their application for direct access to Beavercreek Road. The file numbers for this application are VR 12-03, MD 12-01, and MD 12-02. The application sought a variance from the city's street standards and access management policies, modification of a previously approved site plan and design review file (SP 09-11), and modification of the approved plat of Red Soils subdivision (TP 90-05) to permit a direct access on a minor arterial road (Beavercreek Rd). Benchmark has withdrawn the application in order to further develop their analysis and related traffic study.

Pursuant to *OCMC 17.50.220 - Reapplication limited*, once an application is withdrawn, the applicant may not submit the same or substantially similar application for one year following the withdrawal.

A copy of the email from the applicant is attached.

Pete Walter

From: Nathan Juttelstad [NJuttelstad@benchmade.com]
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2013 2:12 PM
To: Pete Walter
Cc: Ross Vonhoff; Tony Coke; Stephen Winstead; Les de Asis; Hauck, Terry
Subject: Withdraw VR 12-03 - Benchmade Beaver Creek Access

Hello Pete,

As a follow up to our brief conversation this afternoon regarding Benchmade's application VR 12-03 to the Planning Commission and analysis of access to Beaver Creek Road, Benchmade will be withdrawing the application at this time in order to further develop our analysis and related traffic study. Our intent will be to re-apply at a later date once we've had an opportunity to adequately prepare.

As we discussed, please let me know your team's efforts and hour consumption on the project to-date, and send along the appropriate documentation. If there is additional documentation that needs to be completed pertaining to the application's withdrawal, please let me know, and we will process quickly.

Best Regards,
Nate

Nate Juttelstad
Facilities & Maintenance Manager

E njuttelstad@benchmade.com
P 503.655.6004 x.185
F 503.655.6223

BENCHMADE KNIFE CO, INC
300 BEAVERCREEK RD
OREGON CITY, OR 97045 USA

BENCHMADE.COM





City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: PC 13-010

Agenda Date: 2/11/2013

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Planning Commission

Agenda #:

From: Community Development Director Tony Konkol

File Type: Planning Item

SUBJECT:

Review Public Noticing Procedures for Planning Applications

RECOMMENDED ACTION (Motion):

Staff will review the procedures for noticing Planning applications to the public. The procedures include the minimum requirements identified in OCMC chapter 17.50, specifically 17.50.090 and 17.50.100.

BACKGROUND:

N/A

BUDGET IMPACT:

Amount: N/A

FY(s): N/A

Funding Source: N/A

Chapter 17.50 - ADMINISTRATION AND PROCEDURES ^[39]

⁽³⁹⁾ **Editor's note**— Ord. No. 08-1014, adopted Jul. 1, 2009, repealed Chapter 17.50 in its entirety and enacted new provisions to read as herein set out. Prior to amendment, Chapter 17.50 pertained to similar subject matter. See Ordinance Disposition List for derivation.

Sections:

[17.50.010 - Purpose.](#)
[17.50.030 - Summary of the city's decision-making processes.](#)
[17.50.040 - Development review in overlay districts and for erosion control.](#)
[17.50.050 - Preapplication conference.](#)
[17.50.055 - Neighborhood association meeting.](#)
[17.50.060 - Application requirements.](#)
[17.50.070 - Completeness review and one hundred twenty-day rule.](#)
[17.50.080 - Complete application—Required information.](#)
[17.50.090 - Public notices.](#)
[17.50.100 - Notice posting requirements.](#)
[17.50.110 - Assignment of decision-makers.](#)
[17.50.120 - Quasi-judicial hearing process.](#)
[17.50.130 - Conditions of approval and notice of decision.](#)
[17.50.140 - Performance guarantees.](#)
[17.50.150 - Covenant with the city.](#)
[17.50.160 - Ex parte contact, conflict of interest and bias.](#)
[17.50.170 - Legislative hearing process.](#)
[17.50.180 - Objections to procedure.](#)
[17.50.190 - Appeals.](#)
[17.50.200 - Expiration of an approval.](#)
[17.50.210 - Extension of an approval.](#)
[17.50.220 - Reapplication limited.](#)
[17.50.230 - Interpretation.](#)
[17.50.240 - Conformity of permits.](#)
[17.50.260 - Reconsideration of a final decision.](#)
[17.50.270 - Revocation of a previously approved permit.](#)
[17.50.280 - Transfer of approval rights.](#)
[17.50.290 - Fees.](#)

17.50.010 - Purpose.

This chapter provides the procedures by which Oregon City reviews and decides upon applications for all permits relating to the use of land authorized by ORS Chapters 92, 197 and 227. These permits include all form of land divisions, land use, limited land use and expedited land division and legislative enactments and amendments to the Oregon City comprehensive plan and Titles 16 and 17 of this code. Pursuant to ORS 227.175, any applicant may elect to consolidate applications for two or more related permits needed for a single development project. Any grading activity associated with development shall be subject to preliminary review as part of the review process for the underlying development. It is

the express policy of the city that development review not be segmented into discrete parts in a manner that precludes a comprehensive review of the entire development and its cumulative impacts.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.030 - Summary of the city's decision-making processes.

The following decision-making processes chart shall control the City's review of the indicated permits:

Table 17.50.030

PERMIT APPROVAL PROCESS

PERMIT TYPE	I	II	III	IV	Expedited Land Division
Compatibility Review	X				
Code Interpretation			X		
General Development Plan			X		
Conditional Use			X		
Detailed Development Plan ¹		X	X		
Extension		X			
Final Plat	X				
Geologic Hazards		X			
Historic Review			X		
Lot Line Adjustment and Abandonment	X				
Major Modification to a Prior Approval ²	X	X	X	X	X
Minor Modification to a prior Approval	X				
Minor Partition		X			
Nonconforming Use,	X	X			

Title 17 - ZONING
Chapter 17.50 - ADMINISTRATION AND PROCEDURES

Structure and Lots Review					
Reconsideration	X				
Revocation				X	
Site Plan and Design Review		X			
Subdivision		X			X
Variance		X	X		
Zone Change & Plan Amendment				X	
Zone Change Upon Annexation with No Discretion	X			X	
Zone Change Upon Annexation with Discretion				X	
Natural Resource Exemption	X				
Natural Resource Review		X			

¹ If any provision or element of the master plan requires a deferred Type III procedure, the detailed development plan shall be processed through a Type III procedure.

² A major modification to a prior approval shall be considered using the same process as would be applicable to the initial approval.

A. Type I decisions do not require interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment in evaluating approval criteria. Because no discretion is involved, Type I decisions do not qualify as a land use, or limited land use, decision. The decision-making process requires no notice to any party other than the applicant. The community development director's decision is final and not appealable by any party through the normal city land use process.

B. Type II decisions involve the exercise of limited interpretation and discretion in evaluating approval criteria, similar to the limited land use decision-making process under state law. Applications evaluated through this process are assumed to be allowable in the underlying zone, and the inquiry typically focuses on what form the use will take or how it will look. Notice of

application and an invitation to comment is mailed to the applicant, recognized active neighborhood association(s) and property owners within three hundred feet. The community development director accepts comments for a minimum of fourteen days and renders a decision. The community development director's decision is appealable to the city commission with notice to the planning commission, by any party with standing (i.e., applicant and any party who submitted comments during the comment period). The city commission decision is the city's final decision and is appealable to the land use board of appeals (LUBA) within twenty-one days of when it becomes final.

C. Type III decisions involve the greatest amount of discretion and evaluation of subjective approval standards, yet are not required to be heard by the city commission, except upon appeal. In the event that any decision is not classified, it shall be treated as a Type III decision. The process for these land use decisions is controlled by ORS 197.763. Notice of the application and the planning commission or the historic review board hearing is published and mailed to the applicant, recognized neighborhood association(s) and property owners within three hundred feet. Notice must be issued at least twenty days pre-hearing, and the staff report must be available at least seven days pre-hearing. At the evidentiary hearing held before the planning commission or the historic review board, all issues are addressed. The decision of the planning commission or historic review board is appealable to the city commission, on the record. The city commission decision on appeal from the historic review board or the planning commission is the city's final decision and is appealable to LUBA within twenty-one days of when it becomes final.

D. Type IV decisions include only quasi-judicial plan amendments and zone changes. These applications involve the greatest amount of discretion and evaluation of subjective approval standards and must be heard by the city commission for final action. The process for these land use decisions is controlled by ORS 197.763. Notice of the application and planning commission hearing is published and mailed to the applicant, recognized neighborhood association(s) and property owners within three hundred feet. Notice must be issued at least twenty days pre-hearing, and the staff report must be available at least seven days pre-hearing. At the evidentiary hearing held before the planning commission, all issues are addressed. If the planning commission denies the application, any party with standing (i.e., anyone who appeared before the planning commission either in person or in writing within the comment period) may appeal the planning commission denial to the city commission. If the planning commission denies the application and no appeal has been received within ten days of the issuance of the final decision then the action of the planning commission becomes the final decision of the city. If the planning commission votes to approve the application, that decision is forwarded as a recommendation to the city commission for final consideration. In either case, any review by the city commission is on the record and only issues raised before the planning commission may be raised before the city commission. The city commission decision is the city's final decision and is appealable to the land use board of appeals (LUBA) within twenty-one days of when it becomes final.

E. The expedited land division (ELD) process is set forth in ORS 197.360 to 197.380. To qualify for this type of process, the development must meet the basic criteria in ORS 197.360(1)(a) or (b). While the decision-making process is controlled by state law, the approval criteria are found in this code. The community development director has twenty-one days within which to determine whether an application is complete. Once deemed complete, the community development director has sixty-three days within which to issue a decision. Notice of application and opportunity to comment is mailed to the applicant, recognized neighborhood association and property owners within one hundred feet of the subject site. The community development director will accept written comments on the application for fourteen days and then issues a decision. State law

prohibits a hearing. Any party who submitted comments may call for an appeal of the community development director's decision before a hearings referee. The referee need not hold a hearing; the only requirement is that the determination be based on the evidentiary record established by the community development director and that the process be "fair." The referee applies the city's approval standards, and has forty-two days within which to issue a decision on the appeal. The referee is charged with the general objective to identify means by which the application can satisfy the applicable requirements without reducing density. The referee's decision is appealable only to the court of appeals pursuant to ORS 197.375(8) and 36.355(1).

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.040 - Development review in overlay districts and for erosion control.

For any development subject to regulation of Geologic Hazards under city code Chapter 17.44; Natural Resource under Chapter 17.49; Willamette River Greenway under Chapter 17.48; and erosion control under Chapter 17.47, compliance with the requirements of these chapters shall be reviewed as part of the review process required for the underlying development for the site.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.050 - Preapplication conference.

A. Preapplication Conference. Prior to submitting an application for any form of permit, the applicant shall schedule and attend a preapplication conference with City staff to discuss the proposal. To schedule a preapplication conference, the applicant shall contact the Planning Division, submit the required materials, and pay the appropriate conference fee. At a minimum, an applicant should submit a short narrative describing the proposal and a proposed site plan, drawn to a scale acceptable to the City, which identifies the proposed land uses, traffic circulation, and public rights-of-way and all other required plans. The purpose of the preapplication conference is to provide an opportunity for staff to provide the applicant with information on the likely impacts, limitations, requirements, approval standards, fees and other information that may affect the proposal. The Planning Division shall provide the applicant(s) with the identity and contact persons for all affected neighborhood associations as well as a written summary of the preapplication conference. Notwithstanding any representations by City staff at a preapplication conference, staff is not authorized to waive any requirements of this code, and any omission or failure by staff to recite to an applicant all relevant applicable land use requirements shall not constitute a waiver by the City of any standard or requirement.

B. A preapplication conference shall be valid for a period of six months from the date it is held. If no application is filed within six months of the conference or meeting, the applicant must schedule and attend another conference before the City will accept a permit application. The community development director may waive the preapplication requirement if, in the Director's opinion, the development does not warrant this step. In no case shall a preapplication conference be valid for more than one year.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

Editor's note— Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), adopted July 7, 2010, renamed section 17.50.050 from "Preapplication conference and neighborhood meeting" to "Preapplication conference."

17.50.055 - Neighborhood association meeting.

A. Neighborhood Association Meeting. The purpose of the meeting with the recognized neighborhood association is to inform the affected neighborhood association about the proposed

development and to receive the preliminary responses and suggestions from the neighborhood association and the member residents.

1. Applicants applying for annexations, zone change, comprehensive plan amendments, conditional use, planning commission variances, subdivision, or site plan and design review (excluding minor site plan and design review), general development master plans or detailed development plans applications shall schedule and attend a meeting with the city-recognized neighborhood association in whose territory the application is proposed. Although not required for other projects than those identified above, a meeting with the neighborhood association is highly recommended.
2. The applicant shall send, by certified mail, return receipt requested letter to the chairperson of the neighborhood association and the citizen involvement committee describing the proposed project. Other communication methods may be used if approved by the neighborhood association.
3. A meeting shall be scheduled within thirty days of the notice. A meeting may be scheduled later than thirty days if by mutual agreement of the applicant and the neighborhood association. If the neighborhood association does not want to, or cannot meet within thirty days, the applicant shall hold their own meeting after six p.m. or on the weekend, with notice to the neighborhood association, citizen involvement committee, and all property owners within three hundred feet. If the applicant holds their own meeting, a copy of the certified letter requesting a neighborhood association meeting shall be required for a complete application. The meeting held by the applicant shall be held within the boundaries of the neighborhood association or in a city facility.
4. If the neighborhood association is not currently recognized by the city, is inactive, or does not exist, the applicant shall request a meeting with the citizen involvement committee.
5. To show compliance with this section, the applicant shall submit a sign-in sheet of meeting attendees, a summary of issues discussed, and letter from the neighborhood association or citizen involvement committee indicating that a neighborhood meeting was held. If the applicant held a separately noticed meeting, the applicant shall submit a copy of the meeting flyer, a sign in sheet of attendees and a summary of issues discussed.

(Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.060 - Application requirements.

A permit application may only be initiated by the record property owner or contract purchaser, the city commission or planning commission. If there is more than one record owner, then the city will not accept an application without signed authorization from all record owners. All permit applications must be submitted on the form provided by the city, along with the appropriate fee and all necessary supporting documentation and information, sufficient to demonstrate compliance with all applicable approval criteria. The applicant has the burden of demonstrating, with evidence, that all applicable approval criteria are, or can be, met.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.070 - Completeness review and one hundred twenty-day rule.

A. Upon submission, the community development director shall date stamp the application form and verify that the appropriate application fee has been submitted. The community development director will

then review the application and all information submitted with it and evaluate whether the application is complete enough to process. Within thirty days of receipt of the application, the community development director shall complete this initial review and issue to the applicant a written statement indicating whether the application is complete enough to process, and if not, what information must be submitted to make the application complete.

B. The applicant has one hundred eighty days from the date the application was made to submit the missing information or, on the one hundred eighty-first-day, the application shall be rejected and all materials (except one copy of the application) and the unused portion of the application fee returned to the applicant. If the applicant submits the requested information within the one hundred eighty-day period, the community development director shall again verify whether the application, as augmented, is complete. Each such review and verification shall follow the procedure in subsection A. of this section.

The application will be deemed complete for the purpose of this section upon receipt by the community development director of:

1. All the missing information;
2. Some of the missing information and written notice from the applicant that no other information will be provided; or
3. Written notice from the applicant that none of the missing information will be provided.

C. Once the community development director determines the application is complete enough to process, or the applicant refuses to submit any more information, the city shall declare the application complete. Pursuant to ORS 227.178, the city will reach a final decision on an application within one hundred twenty calendar days from the date that the application is determined to be or deemed complete unless the applicant agrees to suspend the one hundred twenty calendar day time line or unless State law provides otherwise. The one hundred twenty-day period, however, does not apply in the following situations:

1. Any hearing continuance or other process delay requested by the applicant shall be deemed an extension or waiver, as appropriate, of the one hundred twenty-day period.
2. Any delay in the decision-making process necessitated because the applicant provided an incomplete set of mailing labels for the record property owners within three hundred feet of the subject property shall extend the one hundred twenty-day period for the amount of time required to correct the notice defect.
3. The one hundred twenty-day period does not apply to any application for a permit that is not wholly within the city's authority and control.
4. The one hundred twenty-day period does not apply to any application for an amendment to the city's comprehensive plan or land use regulations nor to any application for a permit, the approval of which depends upon a plan amendment.

D. The one hundred twenty-day period specified in Section 17.50.070C. may be extended for a specified period of time at the written request of the applicant. The total of all extensions may not exceed two hundred forty-five calendar days.

E. The approval standards that control the city's review and decision on a complete application are

those which were in effect on the date the application was first submitted.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.080 - Complete application—Required information.

Unless stated elsewhere in City code Titles 16 or 17, a complete application includes all the materials listed in this subsection. The community development director may waive the submission of any of these materials if not deemed to be applicable to the specific review sought. Likewise, within thirty days of when the application is first submitted, the community development director may require additional information, beyond that listed in this subsection or elsewhere in Titles 16 or 17, such as a traffic study or other report prepared by an appropriate expert. In any event, the applicant is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the application and all of the supporting documentation, and the city will not deem the application complete until all information required by the community development director is submitted. At a minimum, the applicant must submit the following:

- A. One copy of a completed city application form that includes the following information:
 - 1. An accurate legal description, tax account number(s), map and location of all properties that are the subject of the application;
 - 2. Name, address, telephone number and authorization signature of all record property owners or contract owners, and the name, address and telephone number of the applicant, if different from the property owner(s);
- B. A complete list of the permit approvals sought by the applicant;
- C. A current preliminary title report for the subject property(ies);
- D. A complete and detailed narrative description of the proposed development that describes existing site conditions, existing buildings, public facilities and services, presence of wetlands, steep slopes and other natural features, a discussion of the approval criteria for all permits required for approval of the development proposal that explains how the criteria are or can be met, and any other information indicated by staff at the preapplication conference as being required;
- E. Up to twenty-one legible copies of all reports, plans, site plans and other documents required by the section of this code corresponding to the specific approval(s) sought;
- F. At least one copy of the site plan and all related drawings shall be in a readable/legible eight and one-half by eleven-inch format for inclusion into the city's bound record of the application;
- G. Mailing labels for notice to all parties entitled under Section 17.50.090 to receive mailed notice of the application. The applicant shall use the names and addresses of property owners within the notice area indicated on the most recent property tax rolls;
- H. All required application fees;
- I. Annexation agreements (if applicable);
- J. Additional documentation as needed by the community development director.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.090 - Public notices.

All public notices issued by the city with regard to a land use matter, announcing applications or public hearings of quasi-judicial or legislative actions, shall comply with the requirements of this section.

A. Notice of Type II Applications. Once the planning manager has deemed a Type II application complete, the city shall prepare and send notice of the application, by first class mail, to all record owners of property within three hundred feet of the subject property and to any city-recognized neighborhood association whose territory includes the subject property. Pursuant to Section 17.50.080G., the applicant is responsible for providing an accurate and complete set of mailing labels for these property owners and for posting the subject property with the city-prepared notice in accordance with Section 17.50.100. The city's Type II notice shall include the following information:

1. Street address or other easily understood location of the subject property and city-assigned planning file number;
2. A description of the applicant's proposal, along with citations of the approval criteria that the city will use to evaluate the proposal;
3. A statement that any interested party may submit to the city written comments on the application during a fourteen-day comment period prior to the city's deciding the application, along with instructions on where to send the comments and the deadline of the fourteen-day comment period;
4. A statement that any issue which is intended to provide a basis for an appeal must be raised in writing during the fourteen-day comment period with sufficient specificity to enable the city to respond to the issue;
5. A statement that the application and all supporting materials may be inspected, and copied at cost, at city hall during normal business hours;
6. The name and telephone number of the planning staff person assigned to the application or is otherwise available to answer questions about the application.
7. The notice shall state that a city-recognized neighborhood association requesting an appeal fee waiver pursuant to Section 17.50.290C. must officially approve the request through a vote of its general membership or board at a duly announced meeting prior to the filing of an appeal.

B. Notice of Public Hearing on a Type III or IV Quasi-Judicial Application. Notice for all public hearings concerning a quasi-judicial application shall conform to the requirements of this subsection. At least twenty days prior to the hearing, the city shall prepare and send, by first class mail, notice of the hearing to all record owners of property within three hundred feet of the subject property and to any city-recognized neighborhood association whose territory includes the subject property. The city shall also publish the notice in a newspaper of general circulation within the city at least twenty days prior to the hearing. Pursuant to Section 17.50.080H., the applicant is responsible for providing an accurate and complete set of mailing labels for these property owners and for posting the subject property with the city-prepared notice in accordance with Section 17.50.100. Notice of the application hearing shall include the following information:

1. The time, date and location of the public hearing;
2. Street address or other easily understood location of the subject property and city-assigned planning file number;
3. A description of the applicant's proposal, along with a list of citations of the approval criteria that the city will use to evaluate the proposal;
4. A statement that any interested party may testify at the hearing or submit written comments on the proposal at or prior to the hearing and that a staff report will be prepared and made available to the public at least seven days prior to the hearing;
5. A statement that any issue which is intended to provide a basis for an appeal to the city commission must be raised before the close of the public record. Issues must be raised and accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to afford the city and all parties to respond to the issue;
6. The notice shall state that a city-recognized neighborhood association requesting an appeal fee waiver pursuant to Section 17.50.290C. must officially approve the request through a vote of its general membership or board at a duly announced meeting prior to the filing of an appeal.
7. A statement that the application and all supporting materials and evidence submitted in support of the application may be inspected at no charge and that copies may be obtained at reasonable cost at city hall during normal business hours; and
8. The name and telephone number of the planning staff person responsible for the application or is otherwise available to answer questions about the application.

C. Notice of Public Hearing on a Legislative Proposal. At least twenty days prior to a public hearing at which a legislative proposal to amend or adopt the city's land use regulations or comprehensive plan is to be considered, the planning manager shall issue a public notice that conforms to the requirements of this subsection. Notice shall be sent to affected governmental entities, special districts, providers of urban services, including Tri-Met, Oregon Department of Transportation and Metro, any affected recognized neighborhood associations and any party who has requested in writing such notice. Notice shall also be published in a newspaper of general circulation within the city. Notice issued under this subsection shall include the following information:

1. The time, date and location of the public hearing;
2. The city-assigned planning file number and title of the proposal;
3. A description of the proposal in sufficient detail for people to determine the nature of the change being proposed;
4. A statement that any interested party may testify at the hearing or submit written comments on the proposal at or prior to the hearing; and
5. The name and telephone number of the planning staff person responsible for the proposal and who interested people may contact for further information.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.100 - Notice posting requirements.

Where this chapter requires notice of a pending or proposed permit application or hearing to be posted on the subject property, the requirements of this section shall apply.

A. City Guidance and the Applicant's Responsibility. The city shall supply all of the notices which the applicant is required to post on the subject property and shall specify the dates the notices are to be posted and the earliest date on which they may be removed. The city shall also provide a statement to be signed and returned by the applicant certifying that the notice(s) were posted at the correct time and that if there is any delay in the city's land use process caused by the applicant's failure to correctly post the subject property for the required period of time and in the correct location, the applicant agrees to extend the one hundred-twenty-day period in a timely manner.

B. Number and Location. The applicant must place the notices on each frontage of the subject property. If the property's frontage exceeds six hundred feet, the applicant shall post one copy of the notice for each six hundred feet or fraction thereof. Notices do not have to be posted adjacent to alleys or unconstructed right-of-way. Notices shall be posted within ten feet of the street and shall be visible to pedestrians and motorists. Notices shall not be posted within the public right-of-way or on trees. The applicant shall remove all signs within ten days following the event announced in the notice.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.110 - Assignment of decision-makers.

The following city entity or official shall decide the following types of applications:

A. Type I Decisions. The community development director shall render all Type I decisions. The community development director's decision is the city's final decision on a Type I application.

B. Type II Decisions. The community development director shall render the city's decision on all Type II permit applications which are appealable to the city commission with notice to the planning commission. The city commission decision is appealable to LUBA.

C. Type III Decisions. The planning commission or historic review board, as applicable, shall render all Type III decisions. Such decision is appealable to the city commission, on the record. The city commission's decision is the city's final decision and is appealable to LUBA within twenty-one days of when it becomes final.

D. Type IV Decisions. The planning commission shall render the initial decision on all Type IV permit applications. If the planning commission denies the Type IV application, that decision is final unless appealed to the city commission in accordance with Section 17.50.190. If the planning commission recommends approval of the application, that recommendation is forwarded to the city commission. The city commission decision is the city's final decision on a Type IV application and is appealable to LUBA.

E. ELD. The community development director shall render the initial decision on all ELD applications. The community development director's decision is the city's final decision unless appealed in accordance to ORS 197.375 to a city-appointed hearings referee. The hearings

referee decision is the city's final decision which is appealable to the Oregon Court of Appeals.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.120 - Quasi-judicial hearing process.

All public hearings pertaining to quasi-judicial permits, whether before the Planning Commission, Historic Review Board, or City Commission, shall comply with the procedures of this section. In addition, all public hearings held pursuant to this chapter shall comply with the Oregon Public Meetings Law, the applicable provisions of ORS 197.763 and any other applicable law.

A. Once the community development director determines that an application for a Type III or IV decision is complete, the Planning Division shall schedule a hearing before the Planning Commission or Historic Review Board, as applicable. Once the community development director determines that an appeal of a Type II, Type III or Type IV decision has been properly filed under Section 17.50.190, the planning division shall schedule a hearing before the city commission.

B. Notice of the Type III or IV hearing shall be issued at least twenty days prior to the hearing in accordance with Section 17.50.090B.

C. Written notice of an appeal hearing before the city commission shall be sent by regular mail no later than fourteen days prior to the date of the hearing to the appellant, the applicant if different from the appellant, the property owner(s) of the subject site, all persons who testified either orally or in writing before the hearing body and all persons that requested in writing to be notified.

D. The community development director shall prepare a staff report on the application which lists the applicable approval criteria, describes the application and the applicant's development proposal, summarizes all relevant city department, agency and public comments, describes all other pertinent facts as they relate to the application and the approval criteria and makes a recommendation as to whether each of the approval criteria are met.

E. At the beginning of the initial public hearing at which any quasi-judicial application or appeal is reviewed, a statement describing the following shall be announced to those in attendance:

1. That the hearing will proceed in the following general order: staff report, applicant's presentation, testimony in favor of the application, testimony in opposition to the application, rebuttal, record closes, commission deliberation and decision;
2. That all testimony and evidence submitted, orally or in writing, must be directed toward the applicable approval criteria. If any person believes that other criteria apply in addition to those addressed in the staff report, those criteria must be listed and discussed on the record. The meeting chairperson may reasonably limit oral presentations in length or content depending upon time constraints. Any party may submit written materials of any length while the public record is open;
3. Failure to raise an issue on the record with sufficient specificity and accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to afford the city and all parties to respond to the issue, will preclude appeal on that issue to the state land use board of appeals;
4. Any party wishing a continuance or to keep open the record must make that request while the record is still open; and

5. That the commission chair shall call for any ex-parte contacts, conflicts of interest or bias before the beginning of each hearing item.

6. For appeal hearings, only those persons who participated either orally or in writing in the decision or review will be allowed to participate either orally or in writing on the appeal.

F. Requests for continuance and to keep open the record: The hearing may be continued to allow the submission of additional information or for deliberation without additional information. New notice of a continued hearing need not be given so long as a time-certain and location is established for the continued hearing. Similarly, hearing may be closed but the record kept open for the submission of additional written material or other documents and exhibits. The chairperson may limit the factual and legal issues that may be addressed in any continued hearing or open-record period.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.130 - Conditions of approval and notice of decision.

A. All city decision-makers have the authority to impose reasonable conditions of approval designed to ensure that all applicable approval standards are, or can be, met.

B. Failure to comply with any condition of approval shall be grounds for revocation of the permit(s) and grounds for instituting code enforcement proceedings pursuant to Chapter 1.20 of this code and ORS 30.315.

C. Notice of Decision. The city shall send, by first class mail, a notice of all decisions rendered under this chapter to all persons with standing, i.e., the applicant, all others who participated either orally or in writing before the close of the public record and those who specifically requested notice of the decision. The notice of decision shall include the following information:

1. The file number and date of decision;
2. The name of the applicant, owner and appellant (if different);
3. The street address or other easily understood location of the subject property;
4. A brief summary of the decision, and if an approval, a description of the permit approved;
5. A statement that the decision is final unless appealed and description of the requirements for perfecting an appeal;
6. The contact person, address and a telephone number whereby a copy of the final decision may be inspected or copies obtained.

D. Modification of Conditions. Any request to modify a condition of permit approval is to be considered either minor modification or a major modification. A minor modification shall be processed as a Type I. A major modification shall be processed in the same manner and shall be subject to the same standards as was the original application. However, the decision-maker may at their sole discretion, consider a modification request and limit its review of the approval criteria to those issues or aspects of the application that are proposed to be changed from what was originally approved.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.140 - Performance guarantees.

When conditions of permit approval require a permittee to construct certain improvements, the city may, in its discretion, allow the permittee to submit a performance guarantee in lieu of actual construction of the improvement. Performance guarantees shall be governed by this section.

A. Form of Guarantee. Performance guarantees shall be in a form approved by the city attorney. Approvable methods of performance guarantee include irrevocable standby letters of credit to the benefit of the city issued by a recognized lending institution, certified checks, dedicated bank accounts or allocations of construction loans held in reserve by the lending institution for the benefit of the city. The form of guarantee shall be specified by the city engineer and, prior to execution and acceptance by the city shall be reviewed and approved by the city attorney. The guarantee shall be filed with the city engineer.

B. Timing of Guarantee. A permittee shall be required to provide a performance guarantee as follows.

1. After Final Approved Design By The City: A permittee may request the option of submitting a performance guarantee when prepared for temporary/final occupancy. The guarantee shall be one hundred twenty percent of the estimated cost of constructing the remaining public improvements as submitted by the permittee's engineer. The engineer's estimated costs shall be supported by a verified engineering estimate and approved by the city engineer.

2. Before Complete Design Approval And Established Engineered Cost Estimate: A permittee may request the option of submitting a performance guarantee before public improvements are designed and completed. The guarantee shall be one hundred fifty percent of the estimated cost of constructing the public improvements as submitted by the permittee's engineer and approved by the city engineer. The engineer's estimated costs shall be supported by a verified engineering estimate and approved by the city engineer. This scenario applies for a fee-in-lieu situation to ensure adequate funds for the future work involved in design, bid, contracting, and construction management and contract closeout. In this case, the fee-in-lieu must be submitted as cash, certified check, or other negotiable instrument as approved to form by the city attorney.

C. Duration of the Guarantee. The guarantee shall remain in effect until the improvement is actually constructed and accepted by the city. Once the city has inspected and accepted the improvement, the city shall release the guarantee to the permittee. If the improvement is not completed to the city's satisfaction within the time limits specified in the permit approval, the city engineer may, at their discretion, draw upon the guarantee and use the proceeds to construct or complete construction of the improvement and for any related administrative and legal costs incurred by the city in completing the construction, including any costs incurred in attempting to have the permittee complete the improvement. Once constructed and approved by the city, any remaining funds shall be refunded to the permittee. The city shall not allow a permittee to defer construction of improvements by using a performance guarantee, unless the permittee agrees to construct those improvements upon written notification by the city, or at some other mutually agreed-to time. If the permittee fails to commence construction of the required improvements within six months of being instructed to do so, the city may, without further notice, undertake the construction of the improvements and draw upon the permittee's performance guarantee to pay those costs.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.150 - Covenant with the city.

A. The city may impose as a condition of final approval of a quasi-judicial permit, the requirement that the applicant execute a covenant with the city agreeing to comply with all conditions of approval. Any such covenant shall include the following elements:

1. An agreement that the applicant will comply with all applicable code requirements, conditions of approval and any representations made to the city by the applicant or the applicant's agents during the application review process, in writing. This commitment shall be binding on the applicant and all of the applicant's successors, heirs and assigns;
2. If the owner fails to perform under the covenant, the city may immediately institute revocation of the approval or any other enforcement action available under state law or this code. The covenant may also provide for payment of attorney fees and other costs associated with any such enforcement action; and
3. Where the development rights of one site are dependent on the performance of conditions by the owner of another property (such as joint access), the covenants are judicially enforceable by the owner of one site against the owner of another.

B. Adopting the covenant: The form of all covenants shall be approved by the city attorney. The covenant shall run with the land and shall be placed in the county deed records prior to the issuance of any permits or development activity pursuant to the approval. Proof of recording shall be made prior to the issuance of any permits and filed with the planning division. Recording shall be at the applicant's expense. Any covenant required under this section shall be properly signed and executed within thirty days after permit approval with conditions; provided, however, that the community development director may grant reasonable extensions, not to exceed an additional thirty days, in cases of practical difficulty. Failure to sign and record the covenant within the prescribed period shall require a new application for any use of the subject property.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.160 - Ex parte contact, conflict of interest and bias.

The following rules shall govern any challenges to a decision-maker's participation in a quasi-judicial or legislative action:

- A. Ex parte Contacts. Any factual information obtained by a decision-maker outside the context of a quasi-judicial hearing shall be deemed an ex parte contact. Prior to the close of the record in any particular matter, any decision-maker that has obtained any materially factual information through an ex parte contact shall declare the content of that contact and allow any interested party to rebut the substance of that contact. This rule does not apply to legislative proceedings.
- B. Conflict of Interest. Whenever a decision-maker, or any member of a decision-maker's immediate family or household, has a financial interest in the outcome of a particular quasi-judicial or legislative matter, that decision-maker shall not participate in the deliberation or decision on that matter.
- C. Bias. All decisions in quasi-judicial matters shall be fair, impartial and based on the applicable approval standards and the evidence in the record. Any decision-maker who is unable

to render a decision on this basis in any particular matter shall refrain from participating in the deliberation or decision on that matter. This rule does not apply to legislative proceedings.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.170 - Legislative hearing process.

A. Purpose. Legislative actions involve the adoption or amendment of the city's land use regulations, comprehensive plan, maps, inventories and other policy documents that affect the entire city or large portions of it. Legislative actions which affect land use must begin with a public hearing before the planning commission.

B. Planning Commission Review.

1. Hearing Required. The planning commission shall hold at least one public hearing before recommending action on a legislative proposal. Any interested person may appear and provide written or oral testimony on the proposal at or prior to the hearing. The community development director shall notify the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) as required by the post-acknowledgment procedures of ORS 197.610 to 197.625, as applicable.

2. The community development director's Report. Once the planning commission hearing has been scheduled and noticed in accordance with Section 17.50.090(C) and any other applicable laws, the community development director shall prepare and make available a report on the legislative proposal at least seven days prior to the hearing.

3. Planning Commission Recommendation. At the conclusion of the hearing, the planning commission shall adopt a recommendation on the proposal to the city commission. The planning commission shall make a report and recommendation to the city commission on all legislative proposals. If the planning commission recommends adoption of some form of the proposal, the planning commission shall prepare and forward to the city commission a report and recommendation to that effect.

C. City Commission Review.

1. City Commission Action. Upon a recommendation from the planning commission on a legislative action, the city commission shall hold at least one public hearing on the proposal. Any interested person may provide written or oral testimony on the proposal at or prior to the hearing. At the conclusion of the hearing, the city commission may adopt, modify or reject the legislative proposal, or it may remand the matter to the planning commission for further consideration. If the decision is to adopt at least some form of the proposal, and thereby amend the city's land use regulations, comprehensive plan, official zoning maps or some component of any of these documents, the city commission decision shall be enacted as an ordinance.

2. Notice of Final Decision. Not later than five days following the city commission final decision, the community development director shall mail notice of the decision to DLCD in accordance with ORS 197.615(2).

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.180 - Objections to procedure.

Any party who objects to the procedure followed in any particular matter, including bias, conflict of

interest and undisclosed ex parte contacts, must make a procedural objection prior to the city rendering a final decision. Procedural objections may be raised at any time prior to a final decision, after which they are deemed waived. In making a procedural objection, the objecting party must identify the procedural requirement that was not properly followed and identify how the alleged procedural error harmed that person's substantial rights.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.190 - Appeals.

Appeals of any non-final decisions by the city must comply with the requirements of this section.

- A. Type I decisions by the planning manager are not appealable to any other decision-maker within the city.
- B. A notice of appeal of any Type II, III or IV decision must be received in writing by the planning division within fourteen calendar days from the date notice of the challenged decision is provided to those entitled to notice. Late filing of any appeal shall be deemed a jurisdictional defect and will result in the automatic rejection of any appeal so filed.
- C. The following must be included as part of the notice of appeal:
 - 1. The city planning file number and date the decision to be appealed was rendered;
 - 2. The name, mailing address and daytime telephone number for each appellant;
 - 3. A statement of how each appellant has an interest in the matter and standing to appeal;
 - 4. A statement of the specific grounds for the appeal;
 - 5. The appropriate appeal fee. Failure to include the appeal fee within appeal period is deemed to be a jurisdictional defect and will result in the automatic rejection of any appeal so filed. If a city-recognized neighborhood association with standing to appeal has voted to request a fee waiver pursuant to Section 17.50.290C., no appeal fee shall be required for an appeal filed by that association. In lieu of the appeal fee, the neighborhood association shall provide a duly adopted resolution of the general membership or board approving the request for fee waiver.
- D. Standing to Appeal. The following rules prescribe who has standing to appeal:
 - 1. For Type II decisions, only those persons or recognized Neighborhood Associations who have submitted written comments within the fourteen-day comment period have standing to appeal a planning manager decision. Grounds for appeal are limited to those issues raised in writing during the fourteen-day comment period in filing an appeal to the city commission.
 - 2. For Type III and IV decisions, only those persons or recognized Neighborhood Associations who have participated either orally or in writing have standing to appeal the decision of the planning commission or historic review board, as applicable. Grounds for appeal are limited to those issues raised either orally or in writing before the close of the public record.
- E. Notice of the Appeal Hearing. The planning division shall issue notice of the appeal hearing to all parties who participated either orally or in writing before the close of the public record in accordance

with Section 17.50.090B. Notice of the appeal hearing shall contain the following information:

1. The file number and date of the decision being appealed;
2. The time, date and location of the public hearing;
3. The name of the applicant, owner and appellant (if different);
4. The street address or other easily understood location of the subject property;
5. A description of the permit requested and the applicant's development proposal;
6. A brief summary of the decision being appealed and the grounds for appeal listed in the notice of appeal;
7. A statement that the appeal hearing is confined to the issues raised in the notice of appeal;
8. A general explanation of the requirements for participation and the city's hearing procedures.

F. Appeal Hearing—Scope of Review. Appeal hearings shall comply with the procedural requirements of Section 17.50.120. Appeal hearings shall be conducted by the city commission or a planning commission or historic review board, as applicable, the decision shall be on the record and the issues under consideration shall be limited to those listed in the notice of appeal.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.200 - Expiration of an approval.

A. When approvals become void: All Type I—IV approvals, except for zone changes, comprehensive plan map amendments, conditional uses and master plans automatically become void if any of the following events occur:

1. If, within two years of the date of the final decision, a building permit has not been issued.
2. If, within two years of the date of the final decision for all land divisions, the activity approved in the permit has not commenced or, in situations involving only the creation of lots, the public improvements and conditions of approval have not been completed or financial guarantee (surety) provided.
3. Annexations become void if a vote of the citizens rejects the application.

B. New application required: Expiration of an approval shall require a new application for any use on the subject property that is not otherwise allowed outright.

C. Deferral of the expiration period due to appeals: If a permit decision is appealed beyond the jurisdiction of the city, the expiration period shall not begin until review before the land use board of appeals and the appellate courts has been completed, including any remand proceedings before the city. The expiration period provided for in this section will begin to run on the date of final disposition of the case (the date when an appeal may no longer be filed).

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.210 - Extension of an approval.

A. The community development director may extend, prior to its expiration, any approved permit for a period of one year- provided- that the applicant has provided evidence of implementation of the permit. Any request for an extension shall be reviewed and decided upon by the community development director as a Type I- decision.

B. Substantial implementation of a permit shall require at a minimum, demonstrable evidence in a written application showing:

1. The permit holder has applied for the permits required as a condition of the land use or limited land use permit;
2. The request for an extension is not sought for purposes of avoiding any responsibility imposed by this code or the permit or any condition thereunder; and
3. There have been no changes in circumstances or the law likely to necessitate significant modifications of the development approval or conditions of approval.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.220 - Reapplication limited.

If the application is denied or withdrawn following the close of the public hearing, no reapplication for the same or substantially similar proposal may be made for one year following the date of final decision denying the permit.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.230 - Interpretation.

Where a provision of Title 16 or Title 17 conflicts with another city ordinance or requirement, the provision or requirement that is more restrictive or specific shall control.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.240 - Conformity of permits.

The city shall not accept any application for a permit, certificate or other approval, including building permit applications, for any property that is not in full compliance with all applicable provisions of Title 16 and Title 17 and any permit approvals previously issued by the city.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.260 - Reconsideration of a final decision.

Under this section, parties with standing may seek reconsideration of a final decision rendered pursuant to a Type II, Type III, or Type IV process. Reconsideration is warranted where the city's decision indicates the decision-maker failed to understand or consider certain relevant facts in the record or misinterpreted the application in some material way. Any request for reconsideration must be received by the planning division within ten days of when the decision in question was rendered and must specifically describe the alleged misunderstanding or misinterpretation. A request for reconsideration shall not stay the effectiveness of the city's final decision, nor shall it affect any applicable appeal

deadlines to the land use board of appeals. If the request is granted, the community development director shall notify all affected parties that the decision will be reconsidered. Any request for reconsideration by the applicant shall be deemed a waiver of the one hundred-twenty-day deadline under Section 17.50.070.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.270 - Revocation of a previously approved permit.

In the event an applicant, or the applicant's successor in interest, fails to fully comply with all conditions of permit approval or otherwise does not comply fully with the city's approval, the city may institute a revocation or modification proceeding under this section.

A. Situations when Permit Approvals May Be Revoked or Modified. All quasi-judicial permits may be revoked or modified if the planning commission determines a substantial likelihood that any of the following situations exists:

1. One or more conditions of the approval have not been implemented or have been violated;
2. The activities of the use, or the use itself, are substantially different from what was approved; or
3. The use is subject to the nonconforming use regulations, the applicant has not obtained approval, and has substantially changed its activities or substantially increased the intensity of its operations since the use became nonconforming.

B. Process for Revocation and Modification. Revocation or modification shall be processed as a Type IV decision. The planning division or any private complaining party shall have the burden of proving, based on substantial evidence in the whole record, that the applicant or the applicant's successor has in some way violated the city's approval.

C. Possible Actions at the Revocation Hearing. Depending on the situation, the planning commission may take any of the actions described below. The planning commission may not approve the new use or a use that is more intense than originally approved unless the possibility of this change has been stated in the public notice. Uses or development which are alleged to have not fulfilled conditions, violate conditions or the use is not consistent with the city's approval may be subject to the following actions:

1. The planning commission may find that the use or development is complying with the conditions of the approval. In this case, the use or development shall be allowed to continue.
2. The planning commission may modify the approval if it finds that the use or development does not meet the standards for revocation and that the use can comply with the original approval criteria if certain conditions are met. In this case, the planning commission may modify the existing conditions, add new conditions to ensure compliance with the approval criteria, or refer the case to the code compliance officer for enforcement of the existing conditions.
3. The planning commission may revoke the approval if it finds there are substantial violations of conditions or failure to implement conditions of prior land use decisions, such that the original approval criteria for the use or development are not being met.

D. Effect of Revocation. In the event permit approval is revoked, the use or development becomes illegal. The use or development shall be terminated within thirty days of the date the revocation final order is approved by the planning commission, unless the decision provides otherwise. In the event the decision-maker's decision on a revocation request is appealed, the revocation action shall be stayed pending a final, unappealed decision.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.280 - Transfer of approval rights.

Unless otherwise stated in the city's permit decision, any approval granted under Title 16 or Title 17 of this code runs with the land and is transferred with ownership of the land. Any conditions, time limits or other restrictions imposed with a permit approval shall bind all subsequent owners of the property for which the permit was granted.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)

17.50.290 - Fees.

The city may adopt by resolution, and revise from time to time, a schedule of fees for applications and appeals. Fees shall be based upon the city's actual or average cost of processing the application or conducting the appeal process. The only exception shall be the appeal fee for a Type II decision, which shall be limited by ORS 227.175.10.b. The requirements of this section shall govern the payment, refund and reimbursement of fees.

- A. Payment. All fees shall be due and payable at the time the application or appeal is submitted. No application or appeal shall be accepted without the proper fee being paid.
- B. Refunds. Fees will only be refunded as provided in this subsection:
 - 1. When a fee is paid for an application which is later found to not be required, the city shall refund the fee.
 - 2. Errors. When an error is made in calculating a fee, overpayment will be refunded.
 - 3. Refund upon Withdrawal of an Application. In the event an applicant withdraws an application, the planning department shall refund the unused portion of the fee. In this case, the planning department will deduct from the fee the city's actual costs incurred in processing the application prior to withdrawal.
- C. Fee Waivers. The planning division may waive all or any portion of an application fee if, in the opinion of the director, a particular application must be resubmitted because of an error made by the city. Appeal fees may be waived, wholly or in part, by the city commission, if the city commission finds that, considering fairness to the applicant and to opposing parties, a full or partial waiver of the appeal fee is warranted. Appeal fees shall not be charged for an appeal filed by a city-recognized neighborhood association, so long as the appeal has been officially approved by the general membership or board of the neighborhood association at a duly announced meeting.
- D. Major Projects. The fees for a major project shall be the city's actual costs, which shall include, but not be limited to, the actual costs for staff time, as well as any consultants, including contract planners, attorneys and engineers. The costs of major projects will not be included in any

Title 17 - ZONING
Chapter 17.50 - ADMINISTRATION AND PROCEDURES

average used to establish other fees under this section. For purposes of this subsection only, a "major project" is defined to include any combined plan and zone change and any project with an estimated construction cost over one million dollars.

(Ord. No. 08-1014, §§ 1—3(Exhs. 1—3), 7-1-2009; Ord. No. 10-1003, § 1(Exh. 1), 7-7-2010)