



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Meeting Agenda Natural Resources Committee

Wednesday, November 14, 2012

7:00 PM

Commission Chambers

Call to Order

Public Comments

Presentation

- a [12-219](#) Presentation by Susan Ziolk, Clackamas County Office of Sustainability - (Time - 20 minutes)

Discussion Items

- a [12-220](#) Clackamas County Integrated Pest Management Plan (Time: 15 minutes)

Attachments: [Clackamas IPM Plan Final](#)

- b [12-221](#) Outdoor Lighting Ordinance (Time: 15 minutes)

Staff: Pete Walter

Attachments: [OCMC 17.62.065 Outdoor Lighting](#)

Communications

- a [12-222](#) Update on status of Public Works Dept. Stormwater and Low Impact Development Design Standards. (Time: 5 minutes)
- b [12-223](#) Update on Status of Edits to the Heritage Tree Code. (Time: 5 minutes)
- c [12-224](#) Update on Union Pacific Bridge erosion. (Time: 5 minutes)

Future Agenda Items

Adjournment

Public Comments: The following guidelines are given for citizens presenting information or raising issues relevant to the City but not listed on the agenda.

- *Complete a Comment Card prior to the meeting and submit it to the staff member.*
- *When the Chair calls your name, proceed to the speaker table and state your name and city of residence into the microphone.*
- *Each speaker is given 3 minutes to speak. To assist in tracking your speaking time, refer to the timer at the dais.*
- *As a general practice, Oregon City Officers do not engage in discussion with those making comments.*

Agenda Posted at City Hall, Pioneer Community Center, Library, and City Web site(oregon-city.legistar.com).

Video Streaming & Broadcasts: The meeting is streamed live on Oregon City's Web site at www.orcity.org and is available on demand following the meeting.

ADA: City Hall is wheelchair accessible with entry ramps and handicapped parking located on the east side of the building. Hearing devices may be requested from the City staff member prior to the meeting. Disabled individuals requiring other assistance must make their request known 48 hours preceding the meeting by contacting the City Recorder's Office at 503-657-0891.



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: 12-219

Agenda Date: 11/14/2012

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Natural Resources Committee

Agenda #: a

From:

File Type: Presentation

SUBJECT:

Presentation by Susan Ziolk, Clackamas County Office of Sustainability - (Time - 20 minutes)



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: 12-220

Agenda Date: 11/14/2012

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Natural Resources Committee

Agenda #: a

From:

File Type: Report

SUBJECT:

Clackamas County Integrated Pest Management Plan (Time: 15 minutes)

BACKGROUND:

See attached Clackamas County IPM Manual.

BUDGET IMPACT:

Amount:

FY(s):

Funding Source:



Clackamas County Integrated Pest Management Plan

Final Draft



October 2012

Clackamas County Integrated Pest Management Plan

Final Draft



**Prepared by Peter Guillozet in collaboration with the Clackamas
County Ad Hoc IPM Team**

Revised October 15, 2012

Clackamas County Ad Hoc IPM Team

Clackamas County Business and Community Services

Tonia Burns, Natural Resources Coordinator

Jeff Lesh, Natural Resources Technician

Clackamas County Facilities Management

Cyndi Klaetsch, Facilities Services Coordinator

Clackamas County Office of Sustainability

Shannon Martin, Sustainability Analyst

Clackamas County Department of Transportation and Development

Devin Patterson, Engineering Technician

Jennifer Snyder, Environmental Compliance Specialist

Clackamas County Water Environment Services

John Nagy, Surface Water Technician

Gail Shaloum, Environmental Policy Specialist

Andrew Swanson, Water Quality Analyst

Clackamas County Soil and Water Conservation District

Samuel Leininger, Weedwise Program Manager

Jeff Lesh, WeedWise Program Technician

Participating Clackamas County Departments and Districts

Business and Community Services

Facilities Management

Office of Sustainability

Department of Transportation and Development –Engineering

Water Environment Services

Soil and Water Conservation District

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Background and Purpose | 2 |
| 2. Regulatory Permits and Guidance Documents | 3 |
| 3. IPM Process and Program Elements | 4 |
| 4. The Role of Landscape Design in IPM | 7 |
| 5. Early Detection and Rapid Response | 8 |
| 6. Management Guidelines for Selected Pest Species | 9 |
| 7. Pesticide Procedures and Regulations | 11 |
| 8. Worker Protection and Training | 15 |
| 9. IPM Monitoring and Adaptive Management | 16 |
| 10. Disclaimer | 17 |
| 11. References | 17 |
| 12. Additional Resources | 19 |

APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| APPENDIX 1 | Clackamas County IPM Checklist |
| APPENDIX 2 | Clackamas County Management Areas and Pest Management Guidelines |
| APPENDIX 3 | Clackamas County IPM Products List |
| APPENDIX 4 | Invasive Species Lists |
| APPENDIX 5 | Invasive Species Best Management Practices Calendar |
| APPENDIX 6 | Sample Pesticide Use Notification Sign |
| APPENDIX 7 | Sample Pesticide Application Record |
| APPENDIX 8 | Clackamas County Emergency and Informational Contacts |
| APPENDIX 9 | Priority Chemicals of Concern Resources |

1. Background and Purpose

The goal of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is to prevent or mitigate damage from undesirable plant, fungal, vertebrate or invertebrate pests. It is both a philosophy and a practical approach to pest management that seeks to identify practices that are “environmentally sound, socially acceptable and economically feasible” (Hoover et al. 2011, p. 1).

Human, economic and environmental health all depend on clean water free from harmful levels of fertilizers, pesticides and other pollutants. Such contaminants can enter ground water resources or travel long distances in storm water runoff to receiving streams and wetlands. They originate on public and private lands in both rural and urban areas and have been detected over many years in surface and storm waters in the Clackamas River Basin (Carpenter et al. 2008). Clackamas County intends to lead by example in its efforts to protect public health, wildlife habitat, and salmon bearing waters by ensuring judicious use of potential contaminants. This will include restricting certain types of inputs where appropriate and developing practices that sustain the integrity of natural systems while promoting efficient operations and maintenance of public infrastructure.

In 2011, Clackamas County agencies convened the Natural Resource Management /Landscaping Committee, a diverse group of natural resources, planning and operations and maintenance personnel, to develop a framework for management practices that reduce the use of toxics per Action 7.6 of the Action Plan for a Sustainable Clackamas County (2008) with the goal of demonstrating a reduction of toxics in county practices. The Natural Resource Management/Landscaping Committee became the Clackamas County Ad Hoc IPM Team (Clackamas County IPM Team) and, in January, 2012, retained an independent consultant and began development of the Clackamas County IPM Plan.

The county program relies on standard IPM elements already in use by many public agencies in Oregon and throughout the Pacific Northwest. Some of the practices also fit within Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) and are currently used by both roadside and parks managers in Clackamas County and the Portland metropolitan area. The Clackamas County IPM Plan does not seek to impose new rules and regulations but rather to assist Clackamas County IPM implementers in 1) using integrated pest management approaches to achieve environmentally responsible outcomes, 2) and in taking all reasonable precautions to protect environmental and human health. The Clackamas County IPM Plan applies to Clackamas County employees and contractors (IPM implementers), but it makes policies and practices transparent and accessible to the public and is expected to serve as a resource for private landowners and other land managers. It is expected that other regional entities will adopt the Clackamas County IPM plan or similar language with the aim of establishing consistent practices across the region.

The Clackamas County IPM Plan is intended to be a useful and responsive tool that maintains relevance through periodic review and revision by those who use it. It aims to be

both concise and comprehensive, addressing all major aspects of pest management relevant to county agencies and providing links to more detailed information.

2. Regulatory Permits and Guidance Documents

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality administers the U. S. EPA's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) in Oregon. Under that program DEQ issues Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permits regulating systems of conveyances (e.g., roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, manmade channels or storm drains) that discharge to waters of the State. The March 2012 MS4 permit requires Clackamas County Service District No. 1, the Surface Water Management Agency (SWMACC) of Clackamas County, the Cities of Rivergrove and Happy Valley and the portions of Clackamas County within the Portland Metro Area's Urban Growth Boundary to:

- Provide public education on the proper use and disposal of pesticides [schedule A(4)(d)(iii)].
- Provide training to City and County employees on the proper use and disposal of pesticides [schedule A(4)(d)(vii)].
- Implement a management program to control and minimize the use and application of pesticides on City, SWMACC, CCSD#1, and County-owned property [schedule A(4)(g)(ii)].
- Monitor for the presence of pesticides in surface water and/or stormwater [schedule B's table B-1].
- Develop and implement an IPM Plan by December 31, 2012 [schedule D(8)(z) & (dd)].

Approved in 2011, the Oregon Pesticide General Permit (2300-A) regulates pesticide applications that may result in discharges of pesticides into Waters of the State. The permit provides permit coverage for pesticide applications in or within three feet of water to control pests such as insects, weeds and algae, and nuisance animals.

In addition to the above regulatory requirements several other documents guide Clackamas County operations including:

- Storm Water Management Plans required under the NPDES permit (2012)
- Clackamas County Transportation Best Management Practices for Routine Road Maintenance (2010)
 - Based on *ODOT's Routine Road Maintenance – Water Quality and Habitat Guide Best Management Practices*, the guidebook is intended to comply with the National Marine Fisheries Service ESA 4(d) Rule Limit 10 program.
- Stone Creek Golf Course Integrated Pest Management Plan (2001)
- Clackamas County Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) Plan (2000)

- Based on the *City of Portland's Integrated Vegetation Management Plan*, this program was developed using a multi-jurisdictional approach.

According to the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS 2011),

“Integrated pest management means a coordinated decision-making and action process that uses the most appropriate pest control methods and strategy in an environmentally and economically sound manner to meet agency pest management objectives. The elements of integrated pest management include:

- (a) Preventing pest problems;
- (b) Monitoring for the presence of pests and pest damage;
- (c) Establishing the density of pest population, which may be set at zero, that can be tolerated or corrected with a damage level sufficient to warrant treatment of the problem based on health, public safety, economic or aesthetic threshold;
- (d) Treating pest problems to reduce population below those levels established by damage thresholds using strategies that may include biological, cultural, mechanical and chemical control methods and that shall consider human health, ecological impact, feasibility and cost effectiveness; and
- (e) Evaluating the effects and efficacy of pest treatments.”

The Clackamas County IPM Plan represents both an important element of Clackamas County’s permit compliance strategy and a tool for use by various departments that complements and strengthens existing guidelines. By providing additional detail around pest management and resource protections the IPM Plan aims to protect public health, water quality and conditions for fish and wildlife.

3. IPM Process and Program Elements

IPM is an effective and environmentally sensitive approach to pest management that relies on common-sense practices. IPM programs use current, comprehensive information on the life cycles of pests and their interactions with the environment. This information, in combination with available pest control methods, is used to manage pest damage by the most economical means, and with the least possible hazard to people, property and the environment (US EPA 2012). The IPM process includes pest management evaluations and decisions as well as consideration of all appropriate pest management options, including the judicious use of pesticides. It may be summarized in four steps:

- *Set Action Thresholds*
IPM sets action thresholds prior to taking action. An action threshold is the point at which conditions indicate the need for pest control. This may be the level at which pests pose an economic or environmental threat or when a pest spreads beyond a confined area.

- *Identify and Monitor Pests*

Not all introduced organisms require control. Many are innocuous or even beneficial. IPM programs identify and monitor pests so that appropriate control decisions can be made in conjunction with action thresholds. Knowledge of the pest combined with monitoring increases the likelihood that appropriate pest control will be used, and only when needed.

- *Use Prevention*

IPM programs work to manage the crop, lawn, forest or other area to prevent pests from becoming a threat. This could be through timely mowing, crop rotation or selection of more appropriate plant species. Such methods can be very effective and cost-efficient and present little or no risk. A critical element of prevention is the careful inspection and cleaning of clothing, tools, vehicles and equipment to remove seeds and other propagules prior to use at different sites.

- *Implement Control*

If preventive methods are ineffective and pest control is required, IPM implementers evaluate potential control methods for risk and effectiveness to determine the proper methods and timing. Effective, lower risk pest controls are chosen first. However, if further monitoring and action thresholds indicate that the pest is spreading, more aggressive pest control methods may be employed.

Effective IPM begins with proper planning and management decisions and evaluates the potential risks and benefits of each of the above elements individually or in combination for each pest or site to yield the best overall outcome. Pest control action thresholds should vary according to pest, current and desired site conditions and management expectations. Such factors will determine whether a particular area should be the focus of pest management and at what level of intensity.

Pest control decisions can have far reaching economic, public safety and environmental consequences. Use of the Clackamas County IPM Checklist (Appendix 1) can facilitate consideration of appropriate factors prior to taking action. At a minimum, IPM implementers must consider feasibility and cost effectiveness as well as public safety, potential impacts to water quality and non-target organisms prior to taking actions. To simplify pest management decision making, landscapes and other areas managed by IPM implementers are described Appendix 2. In the event that chemical control is needed, the Clackamas County IPM Products List (Appendix 3) includes all of the products approved for use by IPM implementers and indicates the areas in which each product may be applied. The Invasive Species List (Appendix 4) includes invasive plant species of local and regional concern as well as high priority species identified by the Oregon Invasive Species Council. Both lists are updated periodically in response to new information, changing pest conditions and control priorities. The Invasive Species Best Management Practices (BMP) Calendar (Appendix 5) provides recommendations for management of common weeds, but

for many other pest species, IPM implementers must rely on additional research and first hand experience.

Clackamas County IPM relies on the four major elements common to most IPM programs including: 1) cultural practices, which are focused on maintaining healthy, resilient systems that resist pest problems; 2) mechanical and physical controls; 3) biological controls; and 4) chemical controls that target specific pests using a range of products. Each program element is described below along with examples of representative pest control practices and methods.

Cultural Practices

Sound cultural methods of vegetation and pest management are those that create conditions favorable to beneficial species over pests. Such practices may be viewed as avoidance measures and are essential to the maintenance of healthy landscapes. Examples include:

- Botanical knowledge to provide conditions for plant health and resistance to pests;
- adequate site preparation prior to landscape installation including soil improvements, pruning of surrounding vegetation, grade adjustments, drainage improvements, and installation of irrigation systems;
- use of native species or non-invasive disease resistant cultivars;
- proper use of irrigation to reduce over or under-watering;
- proper timing and use of fertilization to eliminate over fertilization;
- use of cover crops to improve soil structure and reduce soil erosion;
- aeration, over-seeding, and top-dressing to improve turf health and suppress weeds; and
- mulching for weed reduction, water retention, winter protection and root zone improvement.

Mechanical and Physical Controls

This approach typically involves the manual or mechanical removal of vegetation, stinging insects or nuisance animals. Examples include:

- Mechanical edging of turf;
- mowing of weeds at the appropriate time to prevent seed set and reduce spreading;
- hand weeding in shrub beds;
- tilling and replanting with a more appropriate plant species to reduce persistent weed problems;
- use of environmentally safe traps for yellow jackets and mammalian pests;
- string trimming to control unwanted vegetation; and
- roof moss removal via pressure washing.

Biological Controls

Native or introduced non-pest species have been used to control many pests. However, due to unintended consequences resulting from previous introductions, this approach has limited applicability. Most biological control measures are regulated by the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) and the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS). Examples of biological controls include:

- Introducing insect or disease parasitoids, predators, and microbial products to control pests;
- minimizing the use of disruptive techniques and materials in landscapes that may destroy natural pest control organisms;
- temporary livestock grazing; and
- installing raptor perches to control rodents.

Chemical Controls

Pesticides are derived from many sources and vary widely in their characteristics and effectiveness. They may pose a hazard to human health and natural resources and must be examined individually to determine their suitability within the IPM approach. Examples of chemical controls include:

- Insect baits or sprays;
- rodent baits;
- root control in pipes;
- rooftop moss control;
- natural areas invasive species control and management; and
- roadside vegetation spraying.

Clackamas County uses pesticides as part of its IPM strategy but gives preference to effective non-chemical alternatives where appropriate and limits the use of certain pesticides within specific areas. County procedures as well as state and federal regulatory requirements around pesticide use are included below in Section 7.

4. The Role of Landscape Design in IPM

Proper landscape design can reduce or eliminate many pest problems. While no landscape is free of pests, building and developed park area landscape designs should aim to minimize the need for continued management inputs. To promote healthy landscapes, the Clackamas County IPM Plan should be included by reference in county landscape planning documents and in construction and maintenance contracts. Additionally, Clackamas County Facilities Management and other departments and their landscape consultants and contractors should incorporate effective landscape design and management elements including:

- Using native plants, which are typically more disease and pest resistant, and drought tolerant than non-native species;
- replacing pest-susceptible plants with native or pest resistant species;

- selecting plants that are appropriate to sun exposure, soil type and irrigation capacity;
- modifying problem areas through adaptive management;
- appropriate spacing of plant materials to achieve shading;
- maintaining appropriate species diversity;
- eliminating alternate hosts for diseases;
- establishing appropriate groundcovers;
- maintaining an adequate layer of organic materials to reduce the need for irrigation fertilizers and pesticides; and
- establishing grades or modifying grades in existing landscapes to retain runoff.

5. Early Detection and Rapid Response

The need to implement potentially expensive weed control efforts (e.g., regional Japanese knotweed and garlic mustard control) may be reduced or prevented through the avoidance of species introductions, early intervention to prevent establishment and the protection and maintenance of natural processes and systems. Early Detection and Rapid Response (EDRR) describes such measures and is a key to effective land management on a regional scale.

To that end, Clackamas County Soil and Water Conservation District has developed a county wide EDRR network in cooperation with Clackamas County agencies and municipalities and other members of the Four-County Cooperative Weed Management Area (4-County CWMA¹) to improve the detection of invasive species and to increase the inter-jurisdictional communications needed to control invasive species.

A major aim of the Clackamas County IPM program is enhanced inter-agency and inter-departmental communication. A natural outcome of this will be greater integration with regional efforts. The Clackamas County Soil and Water Conservation District's WeedWise Program maintains a list of priority EDRR plant species on behalf of the county (Appendix 4) through an annual review of local concerns, state and federal weed risk assessments, and input from regional partners in the 4-County CWMA. The Clackamas County IPM Team will play an important role in communicating local needs to the Conservation District's WeedWise program during annual updates and maintenance to the Clackamas County priority EDRR list. The Clackamas County IPM Team will also serve to communicate list updates to the various County departments to facilitate regional control efforts with other EDRR programs in the region.

Clackamas County departments will report new Class A noxious weed occurrences to ODA upon identification and will report all priority weeds to the Oregon Invasive Hotline² or the Clackamas County Conservation District's WeedWise Program to facilitate timely response.

¹ <http://4countycwma.org/>

² <http://oregoninvasivehotline.org/>

County departments will also provide location data through either the Oregon *iMapInvasives*³ or the Oregon *WeedMapper*⁴ websites to promote inter-agency weed control efforts at the regional and state scales.

Commitments toward the rapid and coordinated containment of newly detected invasive species are vital to preventing establishment. Such commitments can be expected to yield significant cost savings through the avoidance of expensive and environmentally damaging long-term control programs (Cusack et al. 2009).

6. Management Guidelines for Selected Pest Species

Vertebrates

The Oregon Invasive Species Council (OISC) has identified several introduced fish, turtles, frogs and mammals as high priority invasive species. When discovered, listed species should be reported immediately via the Invasive Species Hotline website or 1-866-INVADER (1-866-468-2337). The impacts of pest species not included on the OISC list should be evaluated in the context of site or program priorities and available resources to determine whether control is warranted. In some circumstances, both native and introduced rats, voles, moles, mice, and gophers can cause health and safety problems and damage buildings, facilities and other infrastructure. Nutria (*Myocastor coypus*), an invasive rodent, can also cause extensive damage to stream banks, irrigation ditches and native vegetation. Classified as unprotected Nongame Wildlife (OAR 635-044-0132), Nutria may be removed without a license. Nutria and any other unprotected rodents may be trapped mechanically as long as traps do not present a safety hazard to humans or other wildlife. Nutria should not be relocated. Oregon Wildlife Services⁵ provides nutria and other rodent trapping services. A list of State licensed Wildlife Control Operators is available from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife website⁶.

Chemical rodenticide use should follow IPM methods, as they may cause direct or indirect toxicity to non-target organisms and may pose a human health threat in publicly accessible areas. All non-lethal and lethal rodent control methods must comply with state and federal laws, and users must have appropriate licenses prior to using certain rodenticides.

Invertebrates

OISC listed terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate species should be reported via the number or address listed above. Damage from other species such as slugs, insects, mites and other invertebrates that to buildings, playgrounds or landscaped areas should be evaluated in the context of site or program priorities and available resources to determine whether control is warranted. Impacts can often be reduced to acceptable levels through improved cultural

³ <http://www.imapinvasives.org/orimi/map/>

⁴ www.weedmapper.org/

⁵ www.aphis.usda.gov/wildlife_damage/state_office/oregon_info.shtml or (503) 326-2346

⁶ www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/license_permits_apps/wildlife_control_operator_contacts.asp#NWillamette or (503) 947-6000

practices. In the event that invertebrate pests exceed tolerance thresholds, approved control products are included on the IPM Products List (Appendix 3). Information about selected pests is provided below.

Mosquitos

Mosquitoes breed in wetlands, slow moving waterways, drainage ditches and other standing water. Clackamas County Vector Control manages mosquitos and flies using methods outlined in an annual work plan available on the Clackamas County website⁷.

Stinging Insects

Public or worker safety risk from bees, wasps, hornets and yellow jackets varies with insect species, nest location, season and other factors. Yellow jackets and some wasp species can be particularly aggressive towards people, especially around nests. Honeybee swarms generally do not pose a significant risk. Nests located near walkways, buildings and playgrounds or in vegetation management areas may require intervention while those located in remote areas typically do not.

When stinging insect nests are discovered on county managed property, site managers should evaluate the safety threat. Nests that present an immediate hazard, such as those in playgrounds and publically accessible structures should be controlled or removed by qualified individuals. Nests near walkways, trails and work sites that do not present an immediate safety hazard should also be controlled or removed as necessary. Individuals with known wasp or bee allergies shall not participate in wasp or bee control.

When yellow jackets present a serious and ongoing problem at a site, use of commercial traps to target emerging queens in the spring should be considered. Trapping queens during the 30- to 45-day emergence period has the potential to provide an overall reduction in the yellow jacket population for the season. Typically one trap per acre is adequate in spring for depletion trapping of queens. Use of traps to reduce yellow jacket numbers later in the season may be ineffective (PP&R 2012). Honey bee swarm collection is an effective alternative to controlling problem bees in heavily used areas. The Oregon State Beekeepers Association posts the Swarm Call List on its website⁸.

Vegetation

Where practicable, Clackamas County supports the control or removal of all species included on the Clackamas County Weed List. The Invasive Species BMP Calendar (Appendix 5) provides best management practices for controlling common invasive weeds. Invasive plants not included on the list may also be controlled or removed as part of native plant community enhancement or revegetation efforts. Native and non-native vegetation may also be removed or controlled as necessary to provide safety, habitat health, aesthetics and other benefits in accordance with protections to ESA-listed species and the

⁷ www.clackamas.us/vector/annual.jsp

⁸ http://www.orsba.org/htdocs/swarm_call_list.php

Oregon Forest Practices Act. Activities such as manual, mechanical or chemical vegetation management in roadside rights of way, parks, natural areas and golf courses, and forest plantation thinning shall be carried out in accordance with IPM principles and applicable regulations. Diseased trees may be removed in accordance with current Clackamas County tree ordinances or treated by licensed applicators using approved pesticides included on the IPM Products List.

Mosses growing on rooftops and paved surfaces may be considered a nuisance, but many moss control products are known to have negative impacts on aquatic species. In the event that control is necessary, methods should minimize impacts to water resources. When possible, runoff from pressure washing or treatment with moss control agents included on the IPM Products List should be diverted to lawns or landscapes rather than gutters or storm drains.

7. Pesticide Procedures and Regulations

State and federal agencies regulate the use of pesticides. Clackamas County conforms to all applicable pesticide laws and regulations and only uses herbicides that are registered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA). Chemicals included on the IPM Products List are screened for human and environmental health risks and several Clackamas County departments consult periodically with *Salmon-Safe*⁹, *EcoBiz*¹⁰, *GreenPro*¹¹ or other third-party certifiers as a means of validating environmental performance, maintaining public trust, promoting awareness, enhancing operational efficiency and achieving cost savings.

<http://www.npmagreenpro.org/>

Applicator Licensing

Pesticide applicators must be licensed as required by ODA's *Pesticide Licensing in Oregon*¹². Contractors must also have Commercial Operator Licenses and the appropriate Commercial Applicator or Trainee Licenses for each applicator. Responsibility for maintaining a valid license lies with the applicator.

Public Notification

IPM implementers will provide notification on school properties in accordance with ORS 634.700-634.75¹³. In other areas, notification will be used at the discretion of the IPM Implementer or if required by the product label. Where applicable, notification signage shall be posted in clearly visible locations at the entrance(s) to a treatment area(s). Signs shall include the name of the product(s) in use and a phone number for inquiries (see Appendix 6). Where required, signs may be removed after the re-entry requirements on

⁹ <http://www.salmonsafe.org/>

¹⁰ <http://www.ecobiz.org/>

¹¹ <http://www.npmagreenpro.org/>

¹² http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/licensing_index.shtml

¹³ http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/docs/pdf/school_ipm_web.pdf?ga=t

the product label have been met. If the label does not specify a re-entry interval, applicators may remove the signs after the pesticide mixture has dried. On school grounds signs must remain in place for 72 hours.

Application Decisions

Pesticides on the IPM Products List may be used according to their labeled uses in appropriate areas when all of the following criteria are met.

- Applicators meet ODA license requirements;
- applicators adhere to all product label requirements concerning the safe and effective use;
- public notification requirements have been satisfied; and
- weather conditions are appropriate for the application.

Application Record Keeping

Licensed applicators shall maintain written pesticide application records in accordance with ODA requirements and keep these records for at least three years. Application records must be available for review by the ODA.

Commercial pesticide operators and public pesticide applicators are required to keep the following information for each pesticide application made. An example pesticide application record form is included as Appendix 7.

- Name of firm or person for whom the pesticide application was made;
- Applicator license;
- location of the land or property where application was made;
- date and approximate time of application;
- supplier of pesticide product(s) applied;
- trade name and the strength of such pesticides applied;
- amount or concentration pesticide product applied;
- specific property, crop or crops to which the pesticide was applied;
- description of equipment, device or apparatus used; and
- name of applicator(s) or trainee(s) who made application.

Pesticide Use Reporting

Clackamas County will provide reports to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) as required under its MS4 Permit and will document the amount of surface area or number of linear miles treated by permittee in a calendar year within permitted area as required under the DEQ Pesticide General Permit (2300A)¹⁴, if applicable.

Pesticide Storage, Transport and Disposal

Pesticides or pesticide containers shall be kept in secure and safe locations in accordance with local, state, and federal laws. Pesticides shall not be transported in passenger cabs of vehicles, and shall be secured within the truck bed in tightly sealed containers. Oregon

¹⁴ <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/wqpermit/docs/general/npdes2300a/2300aPermit.pdf>

OSHA standards (OAR 437-004-1680) are available at the ODA website¹⁵.

At a minimum, storage facilities shall feature:

- Signage identifying facility;
- locked access to authorized personnel only;
- inventory list of all of the chemicals in storage and MSDS for each product;
- container labeling identifying contents, mix date, and volume remaining when placed in storage;
- protection from elements and temperature control;
- location at least 50 feet from any body of water or stream (150 feet from streams with ESA-list species);
- nonporous floors;
- adequate lighting and ventilation;
- capacity for spill containment; and
- a clean water source.

Best Management Practices for Pesticide Storage

- Maintain and follow labels on all pesticide containers;
- store pesticides only in original containers, or make sure the new container is properly labeled;
- store like pesticides together (e.g., store herbicides with herbicides, and fungicides with fungicides);
- keep containers closed tightly;
- watch for damaged containers;
- store flammable pesticides separately;
- maintain an up-to-date inventory of pesticides;
- purchase only the amount needed;
- maintain ready access to spill containment materials; and
- post emergency contact information.

Use of Remaining Solutions and Rinsate

Applicators should conduct pesticide operations using methods that prevent the need for disposal of pesticide wastes. By purchasing no more pesticide than can be used in one season, mixing and loading only enough pesticide needed for a site and applying all the pesticide solution according to the label instructions it is possible to eliminate pesticide waste.

Applicators should drain all pesticide contents from containers at the application site until dripping stops. Empty containers should then be triple rinsed using water or another specified diluting agent or pressure washed until the containers are clean. The collected rinse solution and pesticide-contaminated water from the cleaning pesticide application equipment should always be saved and added to the spray solution. The collected mixture

¹⁵ <http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/disposal.shtml>

(rinsate) should then be sprayed onto the previously treated area, sites or targets per label requirements. In the event applicator cannot spray the rinsates or if there is leftover pesticide or pesticide-containing material because of error or miscalculation, material should be placed in a container marked with the name of the pesticide contents, EPA Registration Number and date. These materials may be used as a "product" during the next application.

Oregon pesticide wastes include, but are not limited to:

- Surplus spray solution, ultra-low volume (ULV) spray concentrate, dusts, granules, or baits remaining in the application equipment (such as tanks, hoppers, booms, hoses) after use
- Pesticide-contaminated water produced by cleaning the interior surfaces of the pesticide application equipment or from rinsing empty pesticide containers
- Pesticide-contaminated absorbent, water, or other materials generated from cleaning up spilled spray solutions
- Empty, contaminated (un-rinsed) pesticide containers

Disposal of Empty Containers and Unusable Pesticide

IPM implementers shall disposal of all pesticide wastes and empty pesticide containers in accordance with Oregon and federal regulations. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) required by pesticide labels shall be worn during the handling and disposal of materials. Contaminated (unwashed), empty containers are considered hazardous wastes unless they are accepted by a pesticide distributor or manufacturer for refill. Adequately rinsed containers should be inspected visually, dried and either crushed or punctured. In some cases, properly decontaminated containers may be recycled.

Pesticide-containing materials that cannot or will not be reused (i.e., pesticide wastes) are classified as hazardous wastes and must be disposed of accordingly. IPM implementers shall maintain pesticide disposal records for three years along with other spray records. Materials generated in Clackamas County should be disposed of at the Metro South Station¹⁶. To qualify under Metro's Conditionally Exempt Generator (CEG) Program¹⁷, county departments and contractors must generate less than 220 pounds of hazardous waste per month and store less than 2,200 pounds on-site. It is illegal to transfer damaged or altered pesticides to another party for use. Additional information about pesticide waste management is available at the Oregon DEQ website¹⁸.

Adverse Incident Procedures

Generally, an adverse incident is an unusual or unexpected event in which a person or non-target organism is likely to have been exposed to pesticides or other toxins. This may include a spill, leak, or any unauthorized discharge to surface or ground water.

¹⁶ Located at 2001 Washington St., Oregon City, OR 97045

¹⁷ <http://www.oregonmetro.gov/index.cfm/go/by.web/id=4442>

¹⁸ <http://www.deq.state.or.us/lq/hw/pesticide.htm>

Oregon rules regarding spills or releases of hazardous substances are found in OAR 340, Division 142¹⁹. In the event of an adverse incident, IPM implementers shall:

- Activate alarms or warn persons in the immediate area;
- notify the Oregon DEQ if the incident is near a potable water intake location;
- undertake every reasonable method to contain the hazardous material;
- in the case of a medical emergency or public safety hazard, notify local emergency responders (fire department, ambulance, etc.) using 911 where available;
- if the amount of hazardous material exceeds 25 gallons or 200 pounds of a pesticide or visible oil sheen (in any 24-hour period), report the spill or release to the Oregon Emergency Response System and to the National Response Center;
- take further corrective action if any permit requirement is not met;
- notify the appropriate agency if the incident involves ESA listed species or critical habitat;
- submit a report to DEQ within 30 days; and
- maintain documentation of unreported adverse incidents for three years.

Best Management Practices for Minor Pesticide Spills

- Locate and control the source;
- If spill appears large, call 911;
- undertake every reasonable method to contain the hazardous material;
- for small spills, use kitty litter, vermiculite, shredded newspaper, adsorbent pillows, clean sand, or pads;
- direct large spills away from ditches, storm drains, water quality facilities, ponds and other water resources;
- place contaminated material in a plastic container for proper disposal; and
- report spills to the appropriate individuals or agencies as soon as possible.

8. Worker Protection and Training

Clackamas County requires its employees and contractors to comply with Oregon and federal laws governing worker protection and safety. Section 8 of the Clackamas County Risk Management Manual includes information regarding Hazard Communications/Right to Know rules and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). The Federal Worker Protection Standard (WPS) is designed to protect employees engaged in pesticide application from occupational exposure to pesticides. The WPS contains requirements for notifying employees of applications, the use of PPE and restrictions on entry into treated areas. Licensed pesticide applicators must use all PPE required by pesticide product labels.

Clackamas County will make Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) information available to all employees who apply pesticides and will provide the necessary training or education to promote the full understanding of and adherence to the worker protection and safety

¹⁹ <http://www.deq.state.or.us/regulations/rules.htm>

requirements. Contractors are responsible for maintaining current licenses, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for the products they use and for complying with state and federal worker protection and safety requirements.

Accidental Pesticide Exposure

MSDS documents provide information about the symptoms and procedures for handling overexposure to individual pesticides. IPM implementers who apply pesticide must remain informed of proper procedures in case of pesticide exposure. Anyone who inquires about pesticide exposure should be referred to his or her personal physician, the Oregon Poison Center (OPC), and the Pesticide and Analytical Response Center (PARC). In the event of employee or contractor exposure to a pesticide, a report should also be filed with Clackamas County Risk Management. A summary of emergency and information contacts is included as Appendix 8.

Procedures in the case of a medical emergency:

- Call 911 for emergency assistance;
- contact the Oregon Poison Center;
- take a label for reference for medical personnel if it is necessary to leave the site;
- inform employee supervisor as soon as possible; and
- file a report with appropriate personnel.

9. IPM Monitoring and Adaptive Management

To evaluate the effectiveness of its IPM Program Clackamas County will monitor and document the outcomes of its pest management practices and procedures. Monitoring activities may include visual or quantitative monitoring for beneficial or adverse effects, internal or external surveys or other data collection. To compliment monitoring efforts the county will conduct ongoing education and outreach to employees, contractors and the public.

The IPM Team will review and revise the Clackamas County IPM Plan periodically to maintain current and applicable information and to enable logical, local prioritization within the context of available resources and regional initiatives. Following revisions to the plan the IPM Team will take steps to inform IPM implementers about revised content.

Products will be removed from or added to the IPM Products List using the following criteria:

- Existing data or experience regarding product efficacy;
- documented public health risks (Appendix 9);
- documented impacts to water resources and wildlife;
- legal bans on use of product; and
- changes to the product label or formulation restrict its intended use.

Legal products deleted from the IPM Products List may be placed on a *Do Not Restock List*

and used until current supplies are exhausted or disposed of in a legal manner. Products used to protect public health are exempt from the IPM review process.

10. Disclaimer

The use of product trade names in this document does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County. Trade names have been used specifically for reader familiarity and no discrimination is intended.

11. References

Bobbitt, V., A. Antonelli, C. Foss, R. Davidson, R. Byther, and R. Maleike. 1999. *Pacific Northwest Landscape Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Manual*. Washington State University Cooperative Extension. MISC0201.

Burrill, L., Dewey, S., Cudney, D., Nelson, B., Lee, R. and Parker, R. 1996. Weeds of the West. Whitson, T. (Editor). Washington State University Cooperative Extension. WYWSWS001.

Carpenter, K., Sobieszcyk, S., Arnsberg, A. and Rinella, F. 2008. Pesticide Occurrence and Distribution in the Lower Clackamas River Basin, Oregon, 2000–2005. Prepared in cooperation with the Clackamas Watershed Management Group (Clackamas River Water Providers and Clackamas County Water Environment Services) and the National Water-Quality Assessment Program. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2008–5027.

Clean Water Services. 2010. Clean Water Services Integrated Pest Management Plan. Clean Water Services.

Clackamas County. 2001. Stone Creek Golf Course Integrated Pest Management Plan.

Clackamas County. 2000. Clackamas County Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) Plan.

Clackamas County. 2008. Action Plan for a Sustainable Clackamas County (2008). Submitted to the Board of County Commissioners from the Sustainable Clackamas County Advisory Task Force November 13, 2008. <http://www.clackamas.us/docs/sustainability/actionplan.pdf>.

Cusack, C., Harte, M. and Chan, S. 2009. The Economics of Invasive Species. Oregon State University for the Oregon Invasive Species Council.

Hollingsworth, C. (Editor). 2012. Pacific Northwest Insect Management Handbook. Cooperative Extension Services of Washington, Oregon, and Idaho.

Hoover, E., Wold-Burkness, S., Hilton, J., Mollov, D., Burkness, E., Galvan, T., Hemstad, P., Hutchison, W. 2011. Grape IPM Guide for Minnesota Producers, University of Minnesota Extension.

McDonald, S., Wamsley, M., Victor, J., Hoffelt, M. and Funt, F. 1992. Applying Pesticides Correctly. Ohio State University and Information Impact, in cooperation with the Extension Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Miller, T. 2004. Oregon Pesticide Applicator Manual. Agricultural Communications, Oregon State University, Washington State University, and University of Idaho.

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and Oregon State University Extension Service. 2007. Oregon DEQ Fact Sheet: Waste Pesticide Management. www.deq.state.or.us/lq/pubs/factsheets/hw/WastePesticideManagementHowtoDispose.pdf.

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. 2007. Oregon DEQ Fact Sheet: Managing Waste Pesticide. <http://www.deq.state.or.us/lq/pubs/factsheets/hw/ManagingWastePesticide.pdf>.

Oregon Department of Transportation. 2009. ODOT Routine Road Maintenance Manual. Revised 2009. http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/OOM/docs/blue_book.pdf?ga=t.

Oregon Revised Statutes 634.122 to 634.665. 2011. <http://www.leg.state.or.us/11reg/measures/hb2100.dir/hb2188.intro.html>.

Oregon State University Extension. 2008. Oregon Pesticide Safety Education Manual: A Guide to the Safe Use and Handling of Pesticides.

Peachey, E. (Editor) 2012. Pacific Northwest Weed Management Handbook. Extension Services of Oregon State University, Washington State University, and University of Idaho.

Portland Parks and Recreation. 2012. Integrated Pest Management Program. City of Portland.

Pscheidt, J. and Ocamb, C. 2008. Pacific Northwest Plant Disease Control Handbook. Extension Services of Oregon State University, Washington State University, and University of Idaho.

Shaw, S and Brunskill, R. 2007. King County Noxious Weed Control Program. Western Washington Invasive Weed Management Calendar. http://www.westerninvasivesnetwork.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2008/03/weed-maintenance-calendar_king_county.pdf.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2012. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Principles. Pesticides: Topical & Chemical Fact Sheets.
<http://www.epa.gov/opp00001/factsheets/ipm.htm>.

12. Additional Resources

| <i>Integrated Pest Management</i> | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pacific Northwest Insect Management Handbook http://pnwpest.org/pnw/insects | Hard copy and on-line manuals of pest management options from extension personnel in OR, WA and ID. |
| Pacific Northwest Plant Disease Management Handbook http://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/ | |
| Pacific Northwest Weed Management Handbook http://pnwhandbooks.org/weed/ | |
| OSU Pacific Northwest Nursery IPM website http://oregonstate.edu/dept/nurspest/index.htm | Educational materials and links for the identification and management of nursery pests |
| Washington State Pest Management Resource Service http://ipm.wsu.edu/ | Research-based information on pest management choices for home and commercial use |
| Internet Center for Wildlife Damage Management http://www.icwdm.org/ | An IPM Center resource for diagnosis and management of wildlife problems in agriculture |
| Oregon State University Integrated Plant Protection Center http://www.ipmnet.org/ | Coordinates IPM programs in Oregon, delivers on-line IPM Handbooks, on-line weather and degree models |
| Oregon IPM Requirements for Schools http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/docs/pdf/school_ipm_web.pdf?ga=t | Pesticides and the Oregon IPM in Schools Law, ORS 634.700-634.750 |
| <i>Pesticides</i> | |
| EPA Pesticides Program http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/ | The U.S. EPA pesticides information page |
| EPA Pesticide registration documents http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/status.htm | Status of each chemical in the reregistration review process. Links to a company websites |
| EXTOXNET http://extoxnet.orst.edu/ | Extension TOXicology NETwork |
| CDMS Label and MSDS site http://www.cdms.net/LabelsMsds/LMDefault.aspx?t= | CDMS National Agro-chemical database |
| Washington and Oregon Pesticide Information Center Online (PICOL) Databases http://cru66.cahe.wsu.edu/LabelTolerance.html | Washington and Oregon (ODA and IPPC)-funded database of pesticide labels and pesticide tolerances |
| Weather and degree-days for IPM decision making http://www.pnwpest.org/wea/ | Development models for over 40 pests, diseases and weeds, and general degree-day models for PNW states linked to weather data and maps |

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| National Pesticide Information Center http://npic.orst.edu/ | National toll-free public service to answer questions and respond to concerns about any aspect of pesticide use, exposure or toxicity |
| Oregon Department of Agriculture, ODA Pesticides Division http://oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/ | Laws, licensing, IPM, Pesticide Use Reporting System info, etc. |
| Salmon-Safe High Risk Pesticide List www.salmonsafe.org/urban/salmonsafe-urban54.pdf | Non-profit organization promoting fish-friendly farms |
| Federal Worker Protection Standard http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/safety/workers/PART170.htm | Links to federal regulations regarding worker protections |
| Oregon Pesticide Exposure Safety & Tracking (PEST) program http://public.health.oregon.gov/PHD/OEPH/RES/PESTICIDE/Pages/index.aspx | Tracking and investigation of health effects reported by people exposed to pesticides |
| Oregon Poison Center http://www.ohsu.edu/poison/about/index.htm | 24-hour regional poison information |
| <i>Invasive Species</i> | |
| Nature Conservancy Invasive Species Initiative http://www.invasive.org/ | 'Invasives 101' and management strategies |
| Oregon Department of Agriculture, Plant Division http://oregon.gov/ODA/PLANT/index.shtml | Pest alerts, insect management, noxious weed control and Oregon State Weed Board grants program |
| Western Invasives Network http://www.westerninvasivesnetwork.org/pages/plants.php | ED/RR species identified by one or more CWMA or ED/RR programs in Oregon and Washington |
| Oregon Invasive Species Hotline www.oregoninvasiveshotline.org | Tips and identification and reporting of invasive species |
| Oregon iMapInvasives http://imapinvasives.org | Information regarding invasives species as well as a real-time map of invasives species locations reported throughout Oregon |
| Oregon Invasive Species Council http://oregon.gov/OISC/ | Oregon strategy for invasive species management |
| Weed Mapper http://www.weedmapper.org/ | Spatial information on noxious weed distribution |
| Portland State University Center for Lakes and Reservoirs http://www.clr.pdx.edu/ | Aquatic invasive weed management |
| Four-County Cooperative Weed Management Area http://4countycwma.org/ | Cooperative Clackamas, Multnomah, Washington and Clark County focus on invasive weeds |
| Clackamas Soil and Water Conservation District WeedWise Program http://conservationdistrict.org/programs/weedwise | Species lists, control info and priorities |
| City of Portland Invasive Species Program http://www.portlandonline.com/bes/index.cfm?c=45696 | Species lists, control info and priorities |

| Water Quality | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Oregon DEQ, Clackamas Water Quality Assessments http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/assessment/rpt0406/results.asp | 2004/2006 Integrated water quality assessment report |
| Clackamas River Basin Council http://clackamasriver.org/ | Watershed information |
| Metro http://www.oregonmetro.gov/ | Maps, data and other information |

APPENDIX 1

Clackamas County IPM Checklist

Effective IPM is predicated on the implementer's knowledge of the site, the target pest and the potential impacts of different management actions. This checklist presents key questions in logical order to help land managers identify and respond to pest management opportunities and constraints. To use the checklist proceed from top to bottom responding "yes" or "no" and continuing to the next question. This checklist may be used in an informal manner or completed and saved as a record of changing conditions, priorities and management actions.

User Name: _____ Site or Area Name: _____

Target Pest or Problem: _____ Date: _____

Site characteristics

| | If yes... | If no... |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Adjacent to or drains directly to surface waters? | <input type="radio"/> Consider non-chemical IPM methods. See Water Quality Sensitive Areas management guidelines and approved products. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 2. High erosion potential? | <input type="radio"/> Maintain ground cover, avoid soil disturbance. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 3. ESA-listed species in, adjacent to or near the work area? | <input type="radio"/> Consider lowest impact IPM methods and/or timing. Follow all regulations to avoid 'Take' and document activities. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 4. Adjacent to or within school grounds? | <input type="radio"/> Comply with Oregon IPM Requirements for Schools (ORS 634.700-634.750) | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 5. High use by public? | <input type="radio"/> Consider non-chemical IPM methods. See High-Use Public Areas management guidelines and approved products. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 6. Site meets intended use? | <input type="radio"/> Consider whether pest is likely to interfere with current use and conditions. | <input type="radio"/> Evaluate current conditions and site context. Review desired future condition to establish an IPM plan. |

| Pest characteristics | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | If yes... | If no... |
| 7. Is pest on Clackamas County or regional EDRR lists? | <input type="radio"/> Review pest with local and regional partners to evaluate current and potential threat. Determine pest tolerance threshold. | <input type="radio"/> Review invasive species literature and, if necessary, revise EDRR list with local and regional partners. Determine pest tolerance threshold. |
| 8. Current infestation mapped? | <input type="radio"/> Estimate infestation area and number of locations. Determine land ownership and evaluate access limitations. | <input type="radio"/> Consult with local and regional partners to determine and map infestation. |
| 9. Mode of pest spread known? | <input type="radio"/> Implement spread prevention measures. | <input type="radio"/> Determine mode of spread. |
| 10. Potential rate and extent of spread estimated? | <input type="radio"/> Estimate annual control cost for all of known infestation (throughout jurisdiction or in target areas). | <input type="radio"/> Assuming no intervention, estimate potential rate of spread. Estimate potential infestation area and number of sites five years in future. |
| 11. Pest infestation at or above tolerance threshold? | <input type="radio"/> Next question. | <input type="radio"/> Implement spread prevention measures and monitor infestation(s). |
| Pest control or eradication feasibility | | |
| | If yes... | If no... |
| 12. Effective control methods available? | <input type="radio"/> Compile list of all known effective control methods. | <input type="radio"/> Research potential control methods. Next question. |
| 13. Pest associated with other pest species? | <input type="radio"/> Evaluate potential interactions with other pest species and compile list of potential control methods for (1) target pest and (2) target pest + associated pests. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 14. Site-specific control or eradication deemed feasible? | <input type="radio"/> Determine site size and evaluate potential constraints. From the list of effective control methods (questions 12, 13) compile short list of feasible options. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 15. Local control or eradication deemed feasible? | <input type="radio"/> Determine the scale of infestation. Evaluate jurisdictional boundary issues, cost-share opportunities and potential constraints. Coordinate with local partners to compile short list of feasible options. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |

| 16. | Regional control or eradication deemed feasible? | ○ Plan for inter-jurisdictional activities (e.g., along watershed boundaries). Evaluate cost-share opportunities and potential constraints. Coordinate with regional partners to compile short list of feasible options. | ○ Next question. |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Risk of preferred IPM method | | | |
| If yes... | | If no... | |
| 17. | High risk to non-target aquatic organism(s)? | ○ If you answered 'Yes' to question 1, consider alternative methods and/or timing. If high risk method is deemed necessary, follow all regulations and document activities. | ○ Next question. |
| 18. | High erosion or soil disturbance potential? | ○ If you answered 'Yes' to questions 1 or 2, consider alternative methods and/or timing. If high risk method is deemed necessary, implement seeding or other erosion control measures. | ○ Next question. |
| 19. | High risk to ESA-listed species? | ○ If you answered 'Yes' to question 3, consider alternative methods and/or timing. If high risk method is deemed necessary, follow all regulations to avoid 'Take' and document activities. | ○ Next question. |
| 20. | High human health or safety risk? | ○ If you answered 'Yes' to questions 4 or 5, consider alternative methods and timing. If high risk method is deemed necessary, implement communications strategy, restrict access to treatment area, follow all regulations and document activities. | ○ Next question. |
| 21. | High risk to non-target terrestrial organism(s), including beneficial pollinators? | ○ Consider alternative methods and/or timing. If the high risk method is deemed necessary, follow all regulations and document activities. | ○ Next question. |

| Characteristics of most effective pesticide | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | If yes... | If no... |
| 22. High acute toxicity? | <input type="radio"/> If you answered 'Yes' to one or more of questions 1-5, use lower toxicity pesticide. If the high toxicity pesticide is deemed necessary, select application method to minimize toxicity, restrict access to treatment area, follow all regulations and document activities. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 23. High environmental mobility potential? | <input type="radio"/> If you answered 'Yes' to one or more of questions 1-3, use less mobile pesticide. If the high mobility pesticide is deemed necessary, select application method to minimize mobility, follow all regulations and document activities. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 24. High environmental persistence potential? | <input type="radio"/> If you answered 'Yes' to one or more of questions 1-5, use less persistent pesticide. If the high persistence pesticide is deemed necessary, select application method to minimize use, follow all regulations and document activities. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 25. High bio-accumulation potential in fish or wildlife? | <input type="radio"/> Use alternative pesticide. If the pesticide is deemed necessary, select application method to minimize use, follow all regulations and document activities. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 26. High herbicide resistance potential in plants? | <input type="radio"/> Use alternative pesticide. If the pesticide is deemed necessary, select application method to minimize development of resistance, follow all regulations and document activities. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |

| Fiscal impacts of preferred IPM method | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | If yes... | If no... |
| 27. High control cost? | <input type="radio"/> Evaluate current and long-term budget capacity against anticipated costs. Consider potentially higher cost of delayed control efforts. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 28. Expected environmental or infrastructure damage without control? | <input type="radio"/> Evaluate cost and likelihood of success of early intervention. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| 29. Long-term control likely necessary? | <input type="radio"/> Evaluate long-term budget capacity. Consider likelihood of continued programmatic support for control efforts. | <input type="radio"/> Next question. |
| Select the appropriate IPM method(s) | | |
| 30. No viable IPM intervention identified. Take no action. | | |
| 31. Attempt site-specific control or eradication. Proceed to 34. | | |
| 32. Attempt local control or eradication. Proceed to 34. | | |
| 33. Attempt regional control or eradication. Proceed to 34. | | |
| 34. Rank control or eradication options described in questions 12-16. Next question. | | |
| 35. Select best available option and implement control or eradication strategy. Next question. | | |
| 36. Evaluate and document effectiveness of action(s) and take corrective measures as necessary. | | |

APPENDIX 2 Clackamas County Management Areas and Pest Management Guidelines

IPM principles and practices will be applied in the management of all Clackamas County owned or managed lands and facilities. The following table defines 14 Management Areas within four broad categories: Water Quality Sensitive Areas, High-Use Public Areas, Forestry and Upland Natural Areas and Roadside Rights of Way. Pesticides approved for use in each Management Area are listed in Appendix 3.

Note: Additional pesticide use restrictions from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the National Marine Fisheries Service or other agencies to protect certain species or habitats may also apply.

| | Management Area | Pest Management Guidelines |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Water Quality Sensitive Areas | Ponds and Lakes Includes both isolated natural and man-made water bodies For additional information see Clackamas County Vector Control: http://www.clackamas.us/vector/ | Use of approved aquatic labeled products is permitted for mosquito control, high priority noxious weeds and other high priority pests only where there is no existing or potential direct outflow to other surface waters. Such treatments must be for the protection of public health or the aquatic environment. Aquatic pesticide applications require applicators to have aquatic certification. Applicable permits from other agencies may be required prior to treatment. |
| | Rivers and Streams Includes both natural and man-made flowing rivers, streams and ditches. Such areas may be either privately owned or Waters of the State. Pesticide use near public and private potable water intake points is further regulated by Oregon DEQ: http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/dwp/swrpts.asp#4100187 | Submerged weeds and other invasive aquatic species will not be controlled by chemical means in rivers, streams or other moving waters without the involvement of (or specific permission from) state regulatory agencies. In the rare need for pest control within such areas, cultural, mechanical and biological means will be utilized where possible. When these methods are not feasible, noxious emergent weeds may be controlled above the water line using approved aquatic labeled herbicides and surfactants. Examples include control of yellow flag iris and purple loosestrife to maintain healthy habitat. Such treatments shall take place at mid-summer. Aquatic pesticide applications require applicators to have aquatic certification. Applicable permits from other agencies may be required prior to treatment. |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Water Quality Sensitive Areas | Seasonally Inundated Areas Includes stream banks (below Ordinary High Water), wetlands, storm water facilities and bio-swales actually or potentially connected to surface water resources. Such areas may be privately or publically owned and may include jurisdictional wetlands. | The establishment and maintenance of appropriate vegetation helps protect water quality and habitat. Pest management shall be focused on controlling or preventing the establishment of species that threaten water quality, habitat health or the proper functioning of storm water management infrastructure. Approved aquatic labeled pesticides may be applied using backpack or hand application. Treatment areas must be free from standing water at the time of application. Use of fertilizers is not permitted. |
| | Vegetated Buffer Areas Includes corridors of land a minimum of 25 feet in width surrounding or adjacent to Ponds and Lakes, Rivers and Streams, and Seasonally Inundated Areas measured from above the Ordinary High Water line. | The establishment and maintenance of appropriate vegetation helps protect water quality and habitat. Pest management shall be focused on controlling or preventing the establishment of species that threaten water quality or habitat health or that interfere with native vegetation. Approved pesticides may be applied using backpack or hand application. Use of fertilizers is not permitted. |
| High-Use Public Areas | Building and Facilities Landscapes Includes buildings, parking areas, turf and flower, tree and shrub landscapes outside of Water Quality Sensitive Areas. | Highly managed landscapes provide a variety of functions around buildings and other facilities. Approved pest management products shall be used within an IPM context to minimize both the quantity applied and the necessary frequency. |
| | Public Golf Courses | <i>See Stone Creek Golf Course Integrated Pest Management Plan</i> |
| | Park Developed Areas Includes buildings, parking areas, turf, flower, tree and shrub landscapes within parks but outside of Water Quality Sensitive Areas. | Parks provide a variety of recreational functions. High use by the public makes the judicious use of pest control products especially important, and their use within an IPM context can reduce both the quantity applied and the necessary frequency. Area closures and notification using temporary signage may be required prior, during and following the use of certain products. |

| | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High-Use Public Areas | <p>Park Playground Areas Includes fenced and unfenced playground or play structure areas with turf and/or wood chip surfaces within parks.</p> | <p>Playgrounds experience high levels of use by children. Pest management practices and materials used in these areas are, therefore, highly restrictive. Chemical pesticides will not be used to control vegetation in active playground turf or in chipped play areas or their margins. Instead, turf should be mowed periodically and chipped areas maintained through the periodic addition or replacement of wood chips. Playground/turf interface borders will be maintained through manual or mechanical means. If mowing, manual weeding and wood chip maintenance are inadequate to manage target weeds, playground areas should be closed temporarily for renovation. Stinging insects may be controlled using approved products.</p> |
| | <p>Park Athletic Fields Includes turf fields managed for athletics that are not on school property. For athletic fields on school property, see the School Campuses section below.</p> | <p>Athletic fields experience high levels of use by children. Effective turf management combined with the judicious use of pest control products within an IPM context can reduce both the quantity applied and the necessary frequency. Where warranted, spot spraying should be used over broadcast treatments for turf weeds. To protect public health, any proposed pesticide applications must take into account athletic field scheduling, nearby school activities, evening recreation and other anticipated uses. Area closures and notification using temporary signage may be required prior, during and following the use of certain products</p> |
| | <p>Park Off-Leash Pet Areas Includes fenced or unfenced park areas designated for users with off-leash dogs.</p> | <p>Concentrated pet activity in off-leash pet areas creates unique pest management challenges. Methods and materials should minimize potential risks to dogs and their owners. Off-leash pet areas should be closed prior to herbicide application within fenced areas or along fence lines. Area closures and notification using temporary signage may be required prior, during and following the use of certain products.</p> |

| | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High-Use Public Areas | <p>Community Gardens Includes officially sanctioned fenced or unfenced community tended vegetable gardens.</p> | <p>Pest management in Community Gardens is generally limited to cultural, mechanical and physical and biological methods. In the absence of permission and prior notification, garden plots, pathways, fence lines, and any areas within and along garden boundaries are considered 'no-spray' areas. Low pressure, spot directed pesticide applications of approved products are permitted at least 25 feet from the outside perimeters of Community Gardens without prior notification. Broadcast pesticide applications boom sprayer equipment shall be kept at least 50 feet from the outside perimeters of Community Gardens without notification. Special circumstances requiring the applications of pesticides inside these limits must be made only through mutual agreement.</p> |
| | <p>School Campuses Includes public or private school buildings, other structures, playgrounds, athletic fields, school parking lots, or other areas on school property that are accessed by students on a regular basis.</p> <p>A school IPM Coordinator is responsible for all aspects of pesticide use on school property. Pesticide applications are regulated by the Oregon IPM Requirements for Schools (ORS 634.700-634.750). For additional information see: http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/docs/pdf/school_ipm_web.pdf?ga=t</p> | <p>At a minimum, schools must be notified in writing (email is acceptable) not less than 24 hours (during work days) before pesticide applications on school property. The notice must include the name of the pesticide product, the type of pesticide, the EPA registration number of each product, the expected date and area of application, the reason for the application. Notification signage must be posted around the pesticide application areas at least 24 hours before the pesticide application occurs and removed no earlier than 72 hours after the application. Signage must include: "Warning: Pesticide-Treated Area", the expected or actual data and time for the application and the telephone number of a contact person. The appropriate public or private pesticide applicator license or trainee license is required for anyone applying "low-impact" pesticides. The law requires that detailed pesticide application records be kept on file at schools for four years.</p> |

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Forestry and Upland Natural Areas Includes upland (i.e., not within Water Quality Sensitive Areas) county managed forests and natural areas exclusive of High-Use Public Areas.</p> | <p>Forests and natural areas provide wildlife habitat, timber and recreational opportunities. Pest management shall be focused on controlling or preventing the establishment of species that threaten habitat health or that interfere with native vegetation. Approved pesticides may be applied using backpack or hand application. Boom sprayers may be used in natural areas during preparation of open sites for revegetation with native plants as long as drift prevention measures and other label requirements are met. Motorized and aerial pesticide application is allowed in the context of forestry, only.</p> |
| <p>Roadside Rights-of-Way Includes all public rights-of-way and the land on which roadways and their associated facilities and appurtenances are located. Where rights-of-way intersect with Water Quality Sensitive Areas, relevant requirements in such areas apply.</p> | <p>See <i>Clackamas County Integrated Vegetation Management Plan</i></p> |

APPENDIX 3
Clackamas County IPM Products List

| Product Type | Active Ingredient(s) | Product Names (Examples only) | Intended Purpose | Pesticide Hazard Tier ¹ | Water Quality Sensitive Areas | | | | High-Use Public Areas ² | | | | | | | | Forestry and Upland Natural Areas | Roadside Rights-of-Way ² |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Ponds and Lakes | Rivers and Streams | Seasonally Inundated Areas | Vegetated Buffer Areas | Building/Facilities Landscapes | Public Golf Courses | Park Developed Areas | Park Playground Areas | Park Athletic Fields | Park Off-Leash Pet Areas | Community Gardens | School Campuses | | |
| Fungicide | Azoxystrobin | Heritage | Fungus control in turf | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Chloroneb | Scotts V | Fungus control in turf | I | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Chlorothalonil | Daconil | Fungus control in turf | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Dithane | Mancozeb | Fungus control in turf | I | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Fenarimol | Rubigan | Fungus control in turf | I | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Iprodione | Chipco 20619 | Fungus control in turf | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Mancozeb | Fore | Fungus control in turf | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Metalaxyl | Subdue | Fungus control in turf | I | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Pentachloronitrobenzene | PCNB | Fungus control in turf | I | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Propiconazole | Fertilome Liquid Systemic Fungicide, Alamo, Banner | Fungus control in turf | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Thiophanate-methyl | Scotts FF, Fungo | Fungus control in turf | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Fungicide | Triadimefon | Bayleton | Fungus control in turf | I | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Acid blue 9, acid yellow 23 | Aquashade | Aquatic algae control - blue colorant used to suppress algae growth | III | ● | | | | ● | ● | ● | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Fluridone | Sonar AS | Aquatic weed control | III | ● | | | | ● | ● | ● | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid | Weed B Gone, PAR III, Trillion, Tri-Kil, Killex and Weedaway | Broadleaf selective herbicide | I* | | | | | ● | | | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Aminopyralid | Milestone | Broadleaf selective herbicide | II | | | | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Aminopyralid + Triclopyr (amine) | Milestone VM Plus | Broadleaf selective herbicide | II | | | | | ● | ● | ● | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Clopyralid | Transline | Broadleaf selective herbicide | I | | | | | ● | | | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | MCPA, MCPP-p, Dicamba | TrimecEncore | Broadleaf selective herbicide | I* | | | | | ● | | | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | MCPA, Triclopyr, Dicamba | Horsepower | Broadleaf selective herbicide | I* | | | | | ● | | | | ● | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Metsulfuron methyl | Escort | Broadleaf selective herbicide | II | | | | | ● | | | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Triclopyr (amine) | Garlon 3A, LM Blackberry, Brush, Renovate 3, Tahoe 3A | Broadleaf selective herbicide | II | | | | | ● | ● | ● | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Triclopyr (ester) | Garlon 4 Ultra | Broadleaf selective herbicide | I* | | | | | ● | | ● | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Triclopyr (ester); 2,4-D | Crossbow | Broadleaf selective herbicide | I* | | | | | ● | | ● | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | Isoxaben | Gallery 75 DF | Broadleaf selective pre-emergent herbicide | I* | | | | | ● | | | | | | | | ● | ● |
| Herbicide | 2,4-D, 2-ethylhexyl ester, Mecoprop-p acid, Dicamba acid, Carfentrazone-ethyl | Speedzone | Broadleaf weed control in turfgrass | I* | | | | | ● | | ● | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Clopyralid, 2,4-D, Triclopyr | Millenium | Broadleaf weed control in turfgrass | I* | | | | | ● | ● | | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Fluroxypyr | Spotlight | Broadleaf weed control in turfgrass | I | | | | | ● | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |

APPENDIX 3
Clackamas County IPM Products List

| Product Type | Active Ingredient(s) | Product Names (Examples only) | Intended Purpose | Pesticide Hazard Tier ¹ | Water Quality Sensitive Areas | | | | High-Use Public Areas ² | | | | | | | | Forestry and Upland Natural Areas | Roadside Rights-of-Way ² |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Ponds and Lakes | Rivers and Streams | Seasonally Inundated Areas | Vegetated Buffer Areas | Building/Facilities Landscapes | Public Golf Courses | Park Developed Areas | Park Playground Areas | Park Athletic Fields | Park Off-Leash Pet Areas | Community Gardens | School Campuses | | |
| Herbicide | Triclopyr + Clopyralid | Confront | Broadleaf weed control in turfgrass | I | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Clethodim | Section 2EC | Grass selective herbicide | I | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | • | • |
| Herbicide | Fluazifop | Fusilade II | Grass selective herbicide | I | | | | • | • | | • | | | | | | • | • |
| Herbicide | Sethoxydim | Poast, Vantage | Grass selective herbicide | II | | | | | • | | | | | | | | • | • |
| Herbicide | Potassium salts of fatty acids | Safer Moss and Algae Attack Concentrate, DeMoss | Moss control for structures and non-vegetated surfaces | III | | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Ferrous sulfate monohydrate | Lilly Miller Moss Out! | Moss control in turf | II | | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Glufosinate | Finale, Basta, Rely, Ignite, Challenge, and Liberty | Non-selective herbicide | I* | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | • | • |
| Herbicide | Glyphosate (aquatic label) | AquaMaster, AquaPro, Rodeo, Accord Concentrate, Aquaneat | Non-selective herbicide | III | • | • ³ | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Herbicide | Glyphosate with polyethoxylated tallow amine (POEA) surfactant | RoundUp, Ranger Pro, Roundup Pro | Non-selective herbicide | II | | | | | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Herbicide | Imazapyr | Arsenal, Chopper | Non-selective herbicide | II | | | | | • | | • | | • | • | | | • | • |
| Herbicide | Imazapyr (aquatic label) | Habitat, Polaris | Non-selective herbicide | II | • | • ³ | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | • |
| Herbicide | Pendimethalin | Pendulum 2G | Non-selective herbicide | I* | | | | | • | | • | | | | | | • | • |
| Herbicide | Dichlobenil (2,6-dichlorobenzonitrile) | Casoron 4G | Non-selective pre-emergent herbicide | I* | | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Oryzalin: 3,5-dinitro-N4N4-dipropylsulfanilamide | Surflan | Non-selective pre-emergent herbicide | I* | | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Trifluralin and Isoxaben | Snapshot | Non-selective pre-emergent herbicide | I* | | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| Herbicide | Pelargonic fatty acid | Scythe | Non-selective top-kill of early-stage, easily killed weeds | III | | | • | • | • | | • | | | | | | • | • |
| Insecticide | Permethrin, natural pyrethrin | Anvil | Adult mosquito control | I* | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Azadirachtin | Turplex, Margosan-O, Azatin XL Neem tree extract | Control through growth regulating and anti-feeding effects | II | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Pyrethrin compounds | Aerosol Wasp Sprays | Directed jet sprays used for individual wasp and hornet nest treatments posing human safety threat | III | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | |
| Insecticide | Horticultural oils | Sunspray, others | General insect control both for dormant and growing season use. | III | | | | | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | | |
| Insecticide | Polydimethylsiloxane | M-Pede, Safer Insecticidal Soap, others | General soft body insect control | III | | | | | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | | |

APPENDIX 3
Clackamas County IPM Products List

| Product Type | Active Ingredient(s) | Product Names (Examples only) | Intended Purpose | Pesticide Hazard Tier ¹ | Water Quality Sensitive Areas | | | | High-Use Public Areas ² | | | | | | | | Forestry and Upland Natural Areas | Roadside Rights-of-Way ² |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Ponds and Lakes | Rivers and Streams | Seasonally Inundated Areas | Vegetated Buffer Areas | Building/Facilities Landscapes | Public Golf Courses | Park Developed Areas | Park Playground Areas | Park Athletic Fields | Park Off-Leash Pet Areas | Community Gardens | School Campuses | | |
| Insecticide | Chlorpyrifos | Dursban | Insect pest control | I* | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Imidacloprid | Mallet, Merit | Insecticide in turfgrass | I* | | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Tetramethrin, Sumithrin | Enforcer, Raid | Insecticide, wasp and hornet | II | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | |
| Insecticide | Natural pyrethrin | ULD HydroPy-300 | Mosquito control | III | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | |
| Insecticide | <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> | <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> | Mosquito control in isolated ponds, water features and catch basins | IV | • | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Methoprene | Altosid | Mosquito control in isolated ponds, water features and catch basins | II | • | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Monomolecular surface film | Agnique MMF | Mosquito control in isolated ponds, water features and catch basins | III | • | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Emulsifiable permethrin | Flit | Terrestrial mosquito control | I* | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide | Pheromone trap | Wasp/yellow jacket traps | Yellow jacket trap | III | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | |
| Insecticide/other | Fenamiphos | Nemacure | Nematode control in turf | I* | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| Insecticide/other | <i>Steinernema carpocapse</i> | Turfco Vector | Nematode/insect control in turf | IV | | | | | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | | |
| Marker dye | Marker dye | Dynamark U.V., Hi-Light Blue Indicator | Spray solution indicator dye | IV | • | • ³ | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Marker dye | Marker dye | Turf Trax, Signal, others | Spray solution indicator dye | IV | • | • ³ | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Rodenticide | Bromethalin | Talpirid | Mole control | II | | | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Rodenticide | Chlorophacinone formulated as paraffinized pellets | Rozol Rat and Mouse Killer Pellets | Rodent bait for use only when placed in locked, tamper resistant bait boxes | II | | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| Slug control | Iron phosphate | Sluggo, Escargo | Slug and snail bait for specialty areas | IV | | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| Surfactant | Alkyl phenol ethoxylate, Polyethylene glycol, Isopropanol, and Polydimethylsiloxane | No Foam | Surfactant to enhance spray coverage and increase efficacy | IV | | | | | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | • |
| Surfactant | Ethylated Corn, Canola, Soybean Oil and Non-Ionic Surfactant blend | Hasten, Competitor | Surfactant to enhance spray coverage and increase efficacy | III | • | • ³ | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Surfactant | Methylated Seed Oil | MSO, Super Spread MSO | Surfactant to enhance spray coverage and increase efficacy | IV | • | • ³ | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Surfactant | Silicon based | Activator 90, R-11, LI 700, Hasten, Silwet, Syl-Tac, others | Surfactant to enhance spray coverage and increase efficacy | III | | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • |

¹ I = Minimize use or find alternative (* = Listed on high risk chemical lists), II = More restrictive use, III = Less restrictive use, IV = Least restrictive use

² Excludes Water Quality Sensitive Areas

³ Use limited to control of noxious weeds

APPENDIX 4 Invasive Species Lists

| Clackamas County Weed List | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Source: Clackamas County SWCD WeedWise Program, updated 6/26/2012 | | | | | |
| Common Name | Scientific Name | ODA Listing | Priority ¹ | Clackamas EDRR ² | Regional EDRR |
| Scotch Broom | <i>Cytisus scoparius</i> | B | | | |
| Portuguese Broom | <i>Cytisus striatus</i> | B | | | |
| Spurge laurel | <i>Daphne laureola</i> | B | yes | yes | yes |
| Cutleaf teasel | <i>Dipsacus laciniatus</i> | B | yes | | |
| Paterson's curse | <i>Echium plantagineum</i> | A | yes | | yes |
| South American waterweed | <i>Egeria densa</i> | B | | | |
| Spanish heath | <i>Erica lusitanica</i> | B | | | |
| Leafy Spurge | <i>Euphorbia esula</i> | B | yes | | |
| Myrtle Spurge | <i>Euphorbia myrsinites</i> | B | | | |
| Oblong spurge | <i>Euphorbia oblongata</i> | A | yes | | |
| Japanese Knotweed | <i>Fallopia japonica</i> (Polygonum) | B | yes | yes | yes |
| Giant Knotweed | <i>Fallopia sachalinensis</i> (Polygonum) | B | yes | yes | yes |
| Goatsrue | <i>Galega officinalis</i> | A | yes | | yes |
| French Broom | <i>Genista monspessulana</i> | B | | | |
| Shiny leaf geranium | <i>Geranium lucidum</i> | B | | | |
| Herb Robert | <i>Geranium robertianum</i> | B | | | |
| Halogeton | <i>Halogeton glomeratus</i> | B | yes | | |
| English ivy | <i>Hedera helix</i> | B | | | |
| Irish ivy | <i>Hedera hibernica</i> | B | | | |
| Spikeweed | <i>Hemizonia pungens</i> | B | yes | | |
| Giant hogweed | <i>Heracleum mantegazzianum</i> | A | yes | yes | yes |
| Orange Hawkweed | <i>Hieracium aurantiacum</i> | A | yes | yes | yes |
| Yellow Hawkweed | <i>Hieracium floribundum</i> | A | yes | | yes |
| Mouse-ear Hawkweed | <i>Hieracium pilosella</i> | A | yes | | |
| King-devil Hawkweed | <i>Hieracium piloselloides</i> | A | yes | | |
| Meadow Hawkweed | <i>Hieracium pratense</i> | A | yes | | yes |
| Hydrilla | <i>Hydrilla verticillata</i> | A | yes | | |
| St. Johnswort | <i>Hypericum perforatum</i> | B | | | |
| Policeman's helmet | <i>Impatiens glandulifera</i> | B | | | |
| Yellow flag iris | <i>Iris pseudacorus</i> | B | | | |
| Dyers woad | <i>Isatis tinctoria</i> | B | | | |
| Kochia | <i>Kochia scoparia</i> | B | yes | | |
| Yellow archangel | <i>Lamium galeobdolon</i> | B | | | yes |
| Perennial peavine | <i>Lathyrus latifolius</i> | B | | | |
| Lens-podded Whitetop | <i>Lepidium chalepensis</i> | B | yes | | |
| Hoary cress | <i>Lepidium draba</i> | B | yes | | |
| Perennial pepperweed | <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> | B | yes | | |
| Hairy Whitetop | <i>Lepidium pubescens</i> | B | yes | | |
| Dalmatian Toadflax | <i>Linaria dalmatica</i> | B | | | |
| Yellow Toadflax | <i>Linaria vulgaris</i> | B | | | |
| Water primrose | <i>Ludwigia grandiflora</i> | B | | | yes |
| Water primrose | <i>Ludwigia hexapetala</i> | B | | | yes |
| Water primrose | <i>Ludwigia peploides</i> | B | | | yes |
| Money Plant | <i>Lunaria annua</i> | | | | yes |
| Purple loosestrife | <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> | B | yes | yes | yes |
| Eurasian watermilfoil | <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> | B | yes | | |
| Parrots feather | <i>Myriophyllum aquaticum</i> | B | | | |

| Clackamas County Weed List (contd.) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Source: Clackamas County SWCD WeedWise Program, updated 6/26/2012 | | | | | |
| Common Name | Scientific Name | ODA Listing | Priority ¹ | Clackamas EDRR ² | Regional EDRR |
| Matgrass | <i>Nardus stricta</i> | A | yes | | |
| Yellow floating heart | <i>Nymphoides peltata</i> | A | yes | | |
| Scotch Thistle | <i>Onopordum acanthium</i> | B | yes | | yes |
| Taurian Thistle | <i>Onopordum tauricum</i> | A | yes | | |
| Small broomrape | <i>Orobanche minor</i> | B | | | |
| African rue | <i>Peganum harmala</i> | A | yes | | |
| Japanese Butterbur | <i>Petasites japonica</i> | | | | yes |
| Common reed | <i>Phragmites australis ssp. Australis</i> | A | yes | | yes |
| American pokeweed | <i>Phytolacca americana</i> | | | | yes |
| Himalayan Knotweed | <i>Polygonum polystachyum</i> | B | yes | yes | yes |
| Sulfur cinquefoil | <i>Potentilla recta</i> | B | yes | | |
| Kudzu | <i>Pueraria lobata</i> | A | yes | yes | yes |
| Lesser celandine | <i>Ranunculus ficaria</i> | B | | | |
| Creeping yellow cress | <i>Rorippa sylvestris</i> | B | | | |
| Armenian (Himalayan) blackberry | <i>Rubus armeniacus (R. procerus, R.</i> | B | | | |
| Mediterranean sage | <i>Salvia aethiopsis</i> | B | | | |
| Tansy ragwort | <i>Senecio jacobaea</i> | B | | | |
| Blessed Milk Thistle | <i>Silybum marianum</i> | B | | | yes |
| Silverleaf nightshade | <i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i> | A | yes | | |
| Buffalobur | <i>Solanum rostratum</i> | B | | | |
| Johnsongrass | <i>Sorghum halepense</i> | B | yes | | |
| Smooth Cordgrass | <i>Spartina alterniflora</i> | A | yes | | |
| Common Cordgrass | <i>Spartina anglica</i> | A | yes | | |
| Dense-flowered Cordgrass | <i>Spartina densiflora</i> | A | yes | | |
| Saltmeadow Cordgrass | <i>Spartina patens</i> | A | yes | | |
| Spanish Broom | <i>Spartium junceum</i> | B | yes | | |
| Swainsonpea | <i>Sphaerophysa salsula</i> | B | | | |
| Medusahead rye | <i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i> | B | yes | | |
| Saltcedar | <i>Tamarix ramosissima</i> | B | | | |
| European water chestnut | <i>Trapa natans</i> | A | yes | | |
| Puncturevine | <i>Tribulus terrestris</i> | B | | | |
| Coltsfoot | <i>Tussilago farfara</i> | A | yes | | |
| Gorse | <i>Ulex europaeus</i> | B | yes | yes | yes |
| Spiny cocklebur | <i>Xanthium spinosum</i> | B | yes | | |
| Syrian bean-caper | <i>Zygophyllum fabago</i> | A | yes | | |

¹Priority weed species are eligible for Conservation District led control efforts. Priority weed criteria include ODA A-listing, and weeds that occur in an adjacent county but have not been observed in Clackamas County.

²Targeted weed species highlighted for free weed treatment in Clackamas County.

³Weed Species have been targeted for rapid response control efforts in the Portland Metro region. Affected landowners should contact their local SWCD for eligibility.

| Oregon Invasive Species Council 100 Worst List | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source: OISC, updated 9/5/2012 | | |
| Group | Common name | Scientific name |
| Aquatic invertebrates | Asian tapeworm | <i>Bothriocephalus acheilognath</i> |
| | Waterflea (fishhook, spiny) | <i>Cercopagis pengoi</i> , <i>Bythotrephes cederstroemi</i> |
| | Transparent tunicate | <i>Ciona savigny</i> |
| | Sea squirt | <i>Didemnum sp.</i> |
| | Zebra mussel, guagga mussel | <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> |
| | Mitten crabs | <i>Eriocheir spp.*</i> |
| | Japanese shore crab | <i>Hemigrapsus sanguineus</i> |
| | Leidy's comb jelly | <i>Mnemiopsis leidy</i> |
| | Crayfish | <i>Orconectes virilis</i> (virile crayfish), <i>Procambarus sp.</i> (marbled crayfish or "marmorkrebs") |
| | New Zealand seaslug | <i>Philine auriformis**</i> |
| | Asian clam | <i>Potamocorbula amurensis</i> |
| | Veined rapa whelk | <i>Rapana venosa</i> |
| | Club tunicate | <i>Styela clava</i> |
| Aquatic plants | Flowering rush | <i>Butomus umbellatus</i> |
| | Caulerpa seaweed | <i>Caulerpa taxifolia</i> |
| | Dead man's fingers | <i>Codium fragile tomentosoides</i> |
| | Rock snot | <i>Didymosphenia geminate</i> |
| | Hydrilla | <i>Hydrilla verticillata</i> |
| | Yellow floating heart | <i>Nymphoides peltata**</i> |
| | Common reed | <i>Phragmites australis</i> |
| | Algae, toxic (golden, toxic cyanobacteria) | <i>Prymnesium parvum</i> , <i>Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii</i> |
| | Giant salvinia | <i>Salvinia molesta</i> |
| | Cordgrasses | <i>Spartina alterniflora*</i> , <i>S. densiflora</i> , <i>S. anglica</i> , <i>S. patens**</i> |
| | European water chestnut | <i>Trapa natans</i> |
| | Asian kelp | <i>Undaria pinnatifida</i> |
| Birds | Mute swan | <i>Cygnus olor</i> |
| Fish | Snakehead | <i>Channa spp.</i> |
| | Threadfin shad (yellow tails, shad and | <i>Dorosoma petenense</i> |
| | Muskellunge, northern pike, tiger muskie | <i>Esox spp.*</i> |
| | Ruffe | <i>Gymnocephalus cernuus</i> |
| | Asian carp (bighead, silver), black carp | <i>Hypophthalmichthys nobilis</i> , <i>H. molitrix</i> , <i>Mylopharyngodon piceus</i> |
| | Golden shiner | <i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i> |
| | Amur goby, round goby, Shimofuri goby | <i>Rhinogobius brunneus</i> , <i>Neogobius melanostomus</i> , <i>Tridentiger bifasciatus</i> |
| | Atlantic salmon | <i>Salmo salar</i> |
| Land invertebrates | Emerald ash borer | <i>Agilus planipennis</i> |
| | Oriental beetle | <i>Anomala orientalis</i> |
| | Asian longhorned beetle | <i>Anoplophora glabripennis</i> , <i>A. chinensis</i> |
| | Africanized honey bee | <i>Apis mellifera scutellata</i> |
| | Silver Y moth | <i>Autographa gamma</i> |
| | Japanese wax scale | <i>Ceroplastes japonicus</i> |
| | Plum curculio | <i>Conotrachelus nenuphar</i> |
| | Swede midge | <i>Contarinia nasturtii</i> |
| | Siberian moth | <i>Dendrolimus superans</i> |
| | Mexican bean beetle | <i>Epilachna varivestis</i> |
| | Light brown apple moth | <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> |
| * Detected previously in Oregon, but eradicated or did not establish. | | |
| ** Currently under eradication or restricted to a small area in Oregon. | | |

| Oregon Invasive Species Council 100 Worst List (contd.) | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source: OISC, updated 9/5/2012 | | |
| Group | Common name | Scientific name |
| Land Invertebrates | Old world bollworm | <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> |
| | Spruce bark beetle | <i>Ips typographus</i> |
| | Argentine ant | <i>Linepithema humile*</i> |
| | Gypsy moths (European, Asian, pink, nun moth) | <i>Lymantria dispar*</i> , <i>L. mathura*</i> , <i>L. monacha</i> |
| | Bean plataspid | <i>Megacopta cribraria</i> |
| | European corn borer | <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> |
| | Japanese beetle | <i>Popillia japonica*</i> |
| | European chafer | <i>Rhizotrogus majalis</i> |
| | European woodwasp | <i>Sirex noctilio</i> |
| | Imported fire ants (red, black) | <i>Solenopsis invicta*</i> , <i>S. richteri</i> |
| | Brown spruce longhorn beetles | <i>Tetropium fuscum</i> , <i>T. castaneum*</i> |
| | White garden snail, vineyard snail, and Heath snail (terrestrial snails) | <i>Theba pisana</i> , <i>Cernuella virgata</i> , <i>Xerolenta obvia</i> |
| | Khapra beetle | <i>Trogoderma granarium</i> |
| | Granulate ambrosia beetle | <i>Xylosandrus crassiusculus*</i> |
| Mammals | Feral swine | <i>Sus scrofa**</i> |
| Micro-organisms | Blackberry yellow vein disease, blackberry yellow vein-associated virus (BYVaV) and blackberry virus Y (BVY) | |
| | Blueberry hill carlavirus - New Jersey strain | (BBScV-NJ) |
| | Willow watermark disease | <i>Brenneria salicis</i> |
| | Oak wilt | <i>Ceratocystis fagacearum</i> |
| | Chronic wasting disease | CWD prion |
| | Elm yellows | <i>Elm yellows phytoplasma</i> |
| | Potato cyst nematode | <i>Globodera pallida</i> |
| | Infectious salmon anemia virus | ISAV |
| | Whirling disease | <i>Myxobolus cerebralis</i> |
| | Viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) | <i>Novirhabdovirus spp.</i> |
| | Alder root rot | <i>Phytophthora alni</i> |
| | Phytophthora taxon C | <i>Phytophthora kernoviae</i> |
| | Ramorum canker and blight, sudden oak death | <i>Phytophthora ramorum</i> |
| | Plum pox | <i>Plum pox potyvirus (PPV)</i> |
| | Hazelnut bacteria canker | <i>Pseudomonas avellanae</i> |
| | Southern wilt, bacteria wilt | <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> Race 3 Biovar 2 |
| | Potato wart | <i>Synchytrium endobioticum</i> |
| | Poplar canker | <i>Xanthomonas populi</i> |
| | Bacterial blight of grape | <i>Xylophilus ampelinus</i> |
| Reptiles | Eastern snapping turtle | <i>Chelydra serpentina serpentina</i> |
| * Detected previously in Oregon, but eradicated or did not establish. ** | | |
| Currently under eradication or restricted to a small area in Oregon. | | |

APPENDIX 5 Invasive Species Best Management Practices Calendar

Note: This weed management calendar was adapted from the Western Washington Invasive Weed Management Calendar (2007). The calendar is meant as a summary of general guidelines for use by restoration or vegetation management professionals who are working to limit the impact of invasives on natural area restoration projects. For each species, each row represents one management approach. When using herbicides, always follow the label of the product being used. Herbicide suggestions in this document should not be followed if they contradict the label on the product being used. Make sure to follow all local, state or federal regulations that apply to the particular project site. It is most effective to use an integrated vegetation management strategy. Always make sure that the benefits of the activity outweigh the impacts.

| LATIN NAME | COMMON NAME | PLANT TYPE/ TREATMENT TYPE(S) | MINIMUM TREATMENT DURATION | WINTER | | | SPRING | | | SUMMER | | | FALL | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | | | | | | |
| <i>Alliaria petiolata</i> | Garlic Mustard | Herbaceous Biennial | Seeds last 7+ years | | Rosette/2nd Year Rosettes Re-emerge | | Bolt | | | | Seed Pods Mature/ Seed Mature | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Flower | Flower/ Seed Pods Emerge | Flower/ Seed Pods Green/ Seed Develops | Seed Pods Release | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual | >5 years | | | | | | Pull and bag bolting, flowering and seeding plants. Dispose of bagged material in trash. Revisit sites every few weeks to pull plants sprouting from left behind root fragments. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Mowing is not effective. Mowing when seed is present (typically between June and October) will spread garlic mustard seeds. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | >5 years | | | | | | Spray with glyphosate or triclopyr | | | | | | Spray rosettes with | | | | | | |
| | | IPM | >5 years | | | | | | Spray with glyphosate or triclopyr | | | | | Re-visit sprayed sites to hand pull all plants that were missed during, or grew after herbicide application | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Buddleia davidii</i> | Butterfly Bush | Tall Deciduous Shrub | | | | | | | Leaf Out | | | Flower | | Flower/Seed | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual | | Dig up or weed wrench and get entire root | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical (option 1) | | | | | | | | | | | | Foliar spray (Triclopyr) | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical (option 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Foliar spray (Glyphosate) | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Basal or cut stump application (Triclopyr or Glyphosate) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Calystegia sepium</i> or <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | Bindweed or Morning Glory | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | Emerge | | | Flower | | | Seed | | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | >2 years | | | | Cut or pull; remove fragments | | | | | | | | | | Heavily mulch infested area | | | | |
| | | Shade | 3 to 5 years | Cover infested area with landscape fabric or cardboard/woodchips - need to maintain cover so plants get no light over whole population; watch surrounding area for plants (at least 5 10 feet from infested area) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | >2 years | | | | | | Foliar spray (Aminopyralid at bud stage or Triclopyr at full flower). Unwind from desirable vegetation before spraying. | | | Foliar spray or wipe on (Glyphosate at full bloom to early seed or Triclopyr or Aminopyralid at post bloom-follow up in spring); when re-treating, wait until stems are > 12 inch long | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | | | Cut plants and spray/wipe on when regrowth > 12 inches (Glyphosate) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Centaurea biebersteinii</i> | Spotted Knapweed | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | | Rosettes | | Flower | | | Flower/Seed | | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | | Pull/dig up; in compacted soils will need to use fork tool or digging knife; most effective when soil is moist | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | Foliar spray (Triclopyr) | Foliar spray (Triclopyr or Glyphosate) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | Canada Thistle | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | | Germinate & Growth | Rosettes | Bolt | Flower | | Flower/Seed | Seed | Germinate/Rosettes | | | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | >2 years | | | | | Pull/mow every 3-4 weeks | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Shade | | | | | | Cut and Sheet Mulch | | | | | | | | Cut and Sheet Mulch | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | | | | | | Foliar spot spray (Triclopyr or Aminopyralid) | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | | | | | | | Cut late July | Spray regrowth late August (Glyphosate) | | | | | | | |

| LATIN NAME | COMMON NAME | PLANT TYPE/ TREATMENT TYPE(S) | MINIMUM TREATMENT DURATION | WINTER | | | SPRING | | | SUMMER | | | FALL | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November |
| <i>Cirsium vulgare</i> | Bull Thistle | Herbaceous Biennial | | | | | | Emergence | | Flower | | Flower/Seed | Seed/Emergence | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | | | Cut below crown, mow, or dig up shortly before flowering | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | Foliar spot spray (Triclopyr or Glyphosate) | Foliar spray before flower (Glyphosate) | | | | Foliar spot spray (Triclopyr or Glyphosate) | | |
| <i>Clematis vitalba</i> | Old Man's Beard | Climbing Deciduous Vine | | | | | Emergence | | | Flower | | | Seed | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical (option 1) | about 2 years | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical (option 2) | about 2 years | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Conium maculatum</i> | Poison-hemlock | Herbaceous Biennial | | | | | Germinate | Rosettes | Bolt | Flower | | Seed | Germinate | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cytisus scoparius</i> | Scotch Broom | Large Shrub; deciduous leaves, evergreen stems | | | Growth | | Buds/Leaf Out | Flower | | | | Seed | | Growth | |
| | | Manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Geranium robertianum</i> | Herb Robert | Herbaceous Annual | | | | | Rosettes | Seedlings/Rosettes | Flowering/Seed | | | | Seed | Rosettes | |
| | | Manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hedera hibernica, H. helix</i> | English Ivy | Evergreen Woody Vine | | | | | Berry/ Seed | Vegetative | | | | Flower | | Berry/Seed | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | 2 years | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cultural | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical (option 1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical (option 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical (option 3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


| LATIN NAME | COMMON NAME | PLANT TYPE/ TREATMENT TYPE(S) | MINIMUM TREATMENT DURATION | WINTER | | | SPRING | | | SUMMER | | | FALL | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November |
| <i>Hieracium</i> sp. | Hawkweed | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | Emerge | | Bud/Flower | | Flower/Seed | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | Dig up including roots and runners | | | | | | | | |
| | | Shading | | | | | Remove and discard flowers | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | Cover with landscape fabric | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Foliar spray before flowers open (Triclopyr) | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ilex aquifolium</i> | English Holly | Evergreen Shrub or Tree; often multi- stemmed | | | | Growth | | | | Flower | | | Berry/Seed | | |
| | | Manual | | Pull or dig up small plants; use weed wrench on large plants | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | Cut trunk as close to the ground as possible and apply concentrated herbicide within 20-30 seconds (Triclopyr or Glyphosate). On large trunks only the outer edge needs to be cut and treated. | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Impatiens glandulifera</i> | Policeman's Helmet | Herbaceous Annual | | | | | Emerge | | Flower | | Flower/Seed | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | | | | Pull or weed whack before seeds mature; compost on tarps | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | Foliar spray young plants (Glyphosate) | | | | | | |
| <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> | Perennial pepperweed | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | Emerge | | Flower | | Flower/Seed | | | | |
| | | Manual | | | | | | | | Pull or dig plants growing in sand or loose soil | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | Foliar spray up through bloom stage (Chlorsulfuron with surfactant) | | | | Foliar spray (Chlorsulfur on with surfactant) | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | | | Mowing followed by foliar applications to resprouts (Glyphosate with appropriate surfactants) | | | | | | |
| <i>Lysimachia vulgaris</i> | Garden Loosestrife | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | | Emerge | | Flower | | Flower/Seed | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | | | | Cut at base/dig up where possible | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | | | | Foliar spray (Triclopyr or Glyphosate, aquatic formulation with suitable surfactant); need permit/license | | | | | |
| <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> | Purple Loosestrife | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | | Emerge | | Flower | | Flower/Seed | Seed | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | > 5 years | | | | | | | Pull small plants; cut large plants at base | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | 2-3 years | | | | | | | Foliar spray (Glyphosate or Triclopyr , aquatic formulation); need permit/license | | | | | |
| | | Biocontrol | Up to 5 years before significant decrease in plant density | | | | | | Release galerucella beetles on large stands | | | Release galerucella beetles on large stands | | | |

| LATIN NAME | COMMON NAME | PLANT TYPE/ TREATMENT TYPE(S) | MINIMUM TREATMENT DURATION | WINTER | | | SPRING | | | SUMMER | | | FALL | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | | | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November |
| <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> | Reed Canary Grass | Perennial grass | | | | | Emerge | | Flower | Flower/Seed | Seed/Growth | | | | |
| | | Manual | at least 5 yrs | | | | Hand pull/dig over whole population | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical | 5 to 10 years | | | | Mow | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Shade | at least 1 year | | | | Mow and cover with a combination of several layers of cardboard covered with 4-6 inches woodchips | | | | | | | | |
| | | Flooding | 1 to 3 yrs | | | | Inundation for whole growing season | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | 1 to 2 years | | | | | | | Mow fields before seeds mature | Foliar spray when regrowth is 1 ft tall (Glyphosate); wait 2 weeks; mow; spray again | | | | |
| | | Chemical | 1 year for small patches; 2 or more years for large infestations | | | | Foliar spray young shoots (Glyphosate); less damage to native grasses | | | Foliar spray before summer dormancy (Glyphosate) | | Foliar spray regrowth (Glyphosate); till 2-3 weeks after spray for improved control | | | |
| <i>Polygonum cuspidatum, P. bohemicum, P. sachalinense</i> | Knotweed | Tall Rhizomatous Perennial | | | | | Emerge | Growth | | Flower | | Seed | | Die back | |
| | | Mechanical | at least 5 years, not very effective on established patches | | | | Cut twice each month | | | | | | Cut once; then cover area with cardboard and deep mulch | | |
| | | Mechanical + Shade | 5 years; can plant in area after 3 years | | | | | | | Cut to ground and cover with landscape fabric; stake down and monitor for growth at the edges | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | | | | Cut once | Foliar spray when about 3ft (Glyphosate, Triclopyr or Aminopyralid) | | | | |
| | | Chemical alone | at least 2 years | | | | | | | Inject stems >1/2 inch | | | | | |
| <i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> | English Laurel | Evergreen Shrub or Small Tree | | | | | | | Flower | | Seed | | | | |
| | | Manual | | Pull or dig up small plants; use weed wrench on large plants | | | | | | | | | Pull or dig up small plants; use weed wrench on large plants | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | Cut trunk as close to the ground as possible and apply concentrated herbicide within 20-30 seconds (Triclopyr or Glyphosate) | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rubus armeniacus, R. discolor, R. laciniatus</i> | Blackberry (Himalayan and Cutleaf) | Cane-Producing Shrub; roots at nodes | | | | Growth | | | Flower | | Berry/Seed | | | | |
| | | Mechanical | > 2 years | | Clear mechanically | | | Clear mechanically (if only once: when flowers form) | | | Clear mechanically | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | at least 2 yrs | Cut canes/grub out crowns when soil is moist | | | | | | Dig or cut regrowth | | Grub out roots when soil is moist | | | |

| LATIN NAME | COMMON NAME | PLANT TYPE/ TREATMENT TYPE(S) | MINIMUM TREATMENT DURATION | WINTER | | | SPRING | | | SUMMER | | | FALL | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November |
| | | Cultural Chemical (option 1) | | | | | | | Foliar spray when plants are actively growing (Triclopyr) | | | | Mulch area after Foliar spray when canes are actively growing and after berries are formed (Glyphosate); NOTE: post sprayed areas or control access to sprayed bushes | | Clear dead canes, stabilize area to prevent possible erosion |
| | | Chemical (option 2) | | | | | | Cut large canes and spot spray immediately after cutting (Glyphosate or Triclopyr) | | Check area and repeat if necessary | | | | | |
| | | Mechanical + Chemical | | | | | | Clear mechanically | | | | | Foliar spray regrowth (Triclopyr, Aminopyralid or Glyphosate) | | |
| <i>Senecio jacobaea</i> | Tansy Ragwort | Herbaceous biennial | | | | | Rosettes | Bud/Flower | Flower | Flower/Seed | Seed/Rosettes | Rosettes | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | Dig up rosettes if soil is moist | | Pull and bag flowering stems | | | | Dig up rosettes if soil is moist | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | Foliar spray rosettes and flowering plants (Aminopyralid or Triclopyr) | | | | | | Foliar spray rosettes (Aminopyralid or Triclopyr) | | |
| <i>Solanum dulcamara</i> | Bittersweet Nightshade | Semi- Woody Vine | | | | | Growth | Flower | | Seed | | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | Dig up plants in winter when possible to avoid damaging other plants | | | Dig, cut, pull or mow several times / season | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | Information limited. Foliar spray or wipe on, late bud to early flower (Glyphosate, Triclopyr or Aminopyralid); plan to spray any regrowth | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Sonchus arvensis</i> | Perennial Sowthistle | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | Seedlings/Rosettes | Flower | Seed | | | | | | |
| | | Manual or Mechanical | | | | | Dig up including roots and runners | | | | | | | | |
| | | Shading | | | | | Cover with landscape fabric | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | | | | | Foliar spray actively growing plants before bud stage and at bud stage before flowers open (Aminopyralid). Plan to spray regrowth and beware of permit/license restrictions in coastal and wet areas | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Tanacetum vulgare</i> | Common Tansy | Herbaceous Perennial | | | | | Emerge | Flower | Flower/Seed | | | | | | |
| | | Manual | | | | | Dig up | | | | | | Dig up, cut & bag seed head | | |
| | | Mechanical | | | | | Mow/cut before bud stage | | | Cut regrowth as needed | | | | | |
| | | Chemical | >1 yr | | | | Spot spray actively growing plants (Metsulfuron), or wipe on (chemical ?) | | | Wipe on during flower/seed set (Glyphosate); not as effective as metsulfuron - cut & bag flower/seed heads | | | | | |

APPENDIX 6

Sample Pesticide Use Notification Sign

| Warning: Pesticide-Treated Area | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Pesticides are being applied in this area to control target weeds or other pests. Application methods are designed to protect water quality, native species and public health. | |
| Application Date | _____ |
| Application Time | _____ |
| Product | _____ |
| EPA Registration # | _____ |
| Target Pest(s) | _____ |
| Please keep pets on leash and refrain from contact with work area until sprayed surfaces have dried. | |
| For information call: | _____ |
|  | |

APPENDIX 7
Sample Pesticide Application Record

| PESTICIDE APPLICATION RECORD | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Applicator's full name: | | Applicator company name: | Applicator license#: |
| Trainee's full name: | | Applicator company address: | Business license #: |
| Application Location: | | Product name(s): | Mix ratio or percentage: (e.g., 3g/100g or 3%) |
| Date: | Site: | Formulation(s): | |
| Time in: | | | |
| Time out: | Specific area treated: | EPA registration number(s): | |
| Temp: | | | |
| Wind: | | | |
| Equipment used: | Total area treated: | Total amount of dilute pesticide applied: | |
| | Target species: | Coverage rate (e.g., 6lbs/1000 sq ft): | |
| Notes: | | | |
| Pesticide supplier: | | | |

APPENDIX 8 Clackamas County Emergency and Informational Contacts

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Emergency Phone Numbers | |
| Fire, Ambulance, HAZMAT | 911 |
| Clackamas County | |
| Risk Management, Dwayne Kroening | 503-655-8576 |
| Medical Emergencies & Immediate Health Concerns | |
| Oregon Poison Center- 24 hours Daily | Portland Area 503-494-8968 Outside Portland Area 800-222-1222 |
| DEQ Northwest Regional Office | 503-229-4263 |
| Oregon Emergency Response System | 800-452-0311 |
| Oregon DEQ Environmental Cleanup Program | 503-229-5913 |
| National Response Center | 800-424-8802 |
| CHEMTREK: an industry emergency spill information service | 800-424-9300 |
| Informational Phone Numbers | |
| NPIC - National Pesticide Information Center | 800-858-7378 |
| Oregon Department of Agriculture | 503-986-4635 |
| Pesticide Exposure Reporting | |
| Pesticide Analytical and Response Center (PARC) | 503-731-4025 |

APPENDIX 9 Priority Chemicals of Concern Resources

Adapted from Multnomah County, Oregon.

1. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *First 12 Priority PBT's "Dirty Dozen"*, retrieved July, 2005, from <http://www.epa.gov/pbt/pubs/accomp99.htm> .
2. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, *P2 for Persistent, Bioaccumulative Toxic Pollutants (PBT's)*, retrieved July, 2005 from <http://www.deq.state.or.us/nwr/epoc/ch2.htm> .
3. WA Department of Ecology, *Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxins (PBT List Section)*, retrieved Sept., 2005 from http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/wac173333/p0407_cont_a.pdf .
4. Dieckhoner, T., City of Seattle, *PBT Reduction Strategy: Progress Report to City Council*, retrieved Sept., 2005 from <http://www.ci.seattle.wa.us/environment/Documents/PBTStrategy3-07-03.pdf> .
5. Tolman, S., The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, *An Act for A Healthy Massachusetts: Safer Alternatives to Toxic Chemicals*, retrieved July, 2005 from <http://www.mass.gov/legis/bills/senate/st00/st00553.htm> .
6. Oregon Environmental Council, *Children at Risk: How Toxic Chemicals Threaten Oregon's Children and What We Can Do About It*, retrieved Oct., 2005 from www.oeonline.org/kidshealth/childrenatrisk.
7. Toxic Reduction Strategy Workgroup recommendations September 2005 until January 2006.
8. United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), *Stockholm Convention Persistent Organic Pollutants*, retrieved Jan. 2006 from http://www.pops.int/documents/guidance/beg_guide.pdf .
9. Community stakeholder input - Chemicals/products and practices suggested by local citizens.
10. Oregon Partnership for Cancer Control (2005), *Oregon Comprehensive Cancer Plan*, retrieved July, 2005, <http://www.oregon.gov/DHS/ph/cancer/docs/cancerplan/cplan05.pdf> .
11. State of California, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, *Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Prop 65), Chemicals known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity, February 3, 2006*, retrieved March 2006 from http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/files/P65single20306.pdf .
12. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Air Toxics Program, *Notice of Proposed Rule Making, Ambient Benchmarks for 49 air toxics*, retrieved March, 2006 http://www.deq.state.or.us/news/publicnotices/uploaded/060207_5621_05-AQ-002_Benchmarks.pdf .
13. State of California, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, *All chronic reference exposure levels adopted by OEHHA as of February 2005*, retrieved March, 2006 from http://www.oehha.ca.gov/air/chronic_rels/AllChrels.html .
14. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *National Partnership for Environmental Priorities, 31 Priority Chemicals*, retrieved March, 2006 from <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/minimize/chemlist.htm>.



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: 12-221

Agenda Date:

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Natural Resources Committee

Agenda #: b

From: Pete Walter

File Type: Report

SUBJECT:

Outdoor Lighting Ordinance (Time: 15 minutes)

RECOMMENDED ACTION (Motion):

None.

BACKGROUND:

Discussion of outdoor lighting standards. Oregon City's current lighting code is applied during development review for new non-residential development. The code section, OCMC 17.62.065 is attached.



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: 12-222

Agenda Date: 11/14/2012

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Natural Resources Committee

Agenda #: a

From:

File Type:

SUBJECT:

Update on status of Public Works Dept. Stormwater and Low Impact Development Design Standards.
(Time: 5 minutes)



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: 12-223

Agenda Date: 11/14/2012

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Natural Resources Committee

Agenda #: b

From:

File Type:

SUBJECT:

Update on Status of Edits to the Heritage Tree Code. (Time: 5 minutes)



City of Oregon City

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

Staff Report

File Number: 12-224

Agenda Date: 11/14/2012

Status: Agenda Ready

To: Natural Resources Committee

Agenda #: c

From:

File Type:

SUBJECT:

Update on Union Pacific Bridge erosion. (Time: 5 minutes)