

**CITY OF MILWAUKIE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
AUGUST 13, 1996**

The one thousand seven hundred and forty-eighth meeting of the Milwaukie City Council was called to order by Mayor Lomnicki at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Meeting Room at the Public Safety Building. The following Councilors were present:

Craig Lomnicki,  
Mayor  
Rick Farley

Jean Schreiber  
Rob Kappa  
Don Trotter

Also present:

Dan Bartlett,  
City Manager  
Pam Beery,  
City Attorney

Charlene Richards,  
Assistant to the City Manager  
Angus Anderson,  
Finance Director

**PROCLAMATIONS, COMMENDATIONS, SPECIAL REPORTS, AND AWARDS**

None.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

**It was moved by Councilmember Schreiber and seconded by Councilmember Farley to approve the Consent Agenda that consisted of these items:**

1. Resolution 34-1996: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Milwaukie, Oregon, Regarding Membership in the City/County Insurance Services Trust Property Self-Insurance Pool; and
2. City Council Minutes of July 16, 1996.

**Motion passed unanimously.**

**AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION**

None.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

None.

## OTHER BUSINESS

**Bartlett** discussed the ordinance pertaining to board and commission terms. The proposed ordinance would allow Council to make staggered term appointments. The emergency clause was added so the ordinance would go into effect immediately and appointments could be made.

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to read the ordinance amending Municipal Code Section 2.10.030(G) and declaring an emergency for the first time by title only. Motion passed unanimously. The ordinance was read for the first time by title only.**

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to read the ordinance amending Municipal Code Section 2.10.030(G) and declaring an emergency. The ordinance was read for the second time by title only.**

**It was moved by Councilmember Schreiber and seconded by Councilmember Kappa to adopt the ordinance amending Municipal Code Section 2.10.030(G) and declaring an emergency. Motion passed unanimously.**

### ORDINANCE NO. 1810:

#### **AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, AMENDING MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 2.10.030(G) AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.**

**Mayor Lomnicki** discussed the interview process and the method he used to compile a slate of appointees based on Councilors' input. He was prepared to make appointments with Council consent.

**It was moved by Councilmember Schreiber and seconded by Councilmember Kappa to adopt the slate of board and commission appointments as proposed by the Mayor. The appointees were: Budget Committee -- Susanna Pai, Dave Delestatious, and Virginia Michel; Center/Community Advisory Board -- Alice Neely, Molly Jo Hanthorn, Alan Brunk, Dean Calame, and Janet Witter; Citizens Utility Advisory Board -- Bob Hatz and Tom Daniels; Historic Resources Commission -- Irene Bowers, Jean Johnson, and Patty Wisner; Library Board -- Mary Churnside, Kim Wilber, Natalina Carbone, Pam Meredith, Mary Zell, and Ted Cafourek; Park and Recreation Commission -- Dave Murray, Rob Curtis, Jonathan Newman, Jeff Marshall, Tracy Cook, and Bill Knox; Planning Commission -- Bryan Cosgrove, Tim Havel, Pat Lent, and Terry LaRocque; Traffic Safety and Transportation Board -- Dick Baker, Nancy Anderson, Sandy Peckover, Susan Stone, Julie Wisner, Paul Wurtsmith, and Chuck Stoudt.**

**Councilmember Kappa** said those who were not selected would be asked if they would like to be considered for a position other than the one for which they applied.

**Councilmember Trotter** commented the interview process was very informative, and the people on the slate were exceptional and were enthusiastic about working towards common goals.

**Councilmember Farley** thanked all the people who went through the interview process.

**Mayor Lomnicki** agreed the applicants were very good. Staff was directed to let those applicants not appointed know there were positions available on other boards and commissions in which they may not have indicated an interest on their applications. The Council also directed staff to open a recruitment to the general public.

**Councilmember Kappa** agreed with about 85% of the appointments. He was very impressed by the number of applicants and their knowledge of regional issues.

**Motion to accept the slate passed unanimously.**

The group discussed a process for recruiting for the remaining vacancies.

#### INFORMATION

1. **Councilmember Farley** provided information regarding the Clackamas County Parks and Recreation theater production of *Sound of Music*;
2. **Councilmember Kappa** announced the Ardenwald and Scott Park concerts each Thursday during August;
3. **Councilmember Schreiber** announced the Waverly picnic on August 16.

**Mayor Lomnicki** adjourned the meeting at 7:25 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Pat DuVal, Recorder/Secretary

**CITY OF MILWAUKIE  
CITY COUNCIL AGENDA  
AUGUST 13, 1996**

**PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING**

Community Meeting Room  
3200 SE Harrison

**1748th MEETING**

**WORK SESSION -- none scheduled**

**REGULAR SESSION**

7:00 p.m.

- I. **CALL TO ORDER**  
**Pledge of Allegiance**
- II. **PROCLAMATIONS, COMMENDATIONS, SPECIAL REPORTS, AND AWARDS**
- III. **CONSENT AGENDA** *(These items are considered to be routine, and therefore, will not be allotted Council discussion time on the agenda. The items may be passed by the Council in one blanket motion. Any Council member may remove an item from the "Consent" portion of the agenda for discussion or questions by requesting such action prior to consideration of that portion of the agenda.)*
  - A. **City County Insurance Services Membership -- Resolution (Angus Anderson)**
  - B. **City Council Minutes of July 16, 1996**
- IV. **AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION** *(The Mayor will call for statements from citizens regarding issues relating to the City. It is the intention that this portion of the agenda shall be limited to items of City business which are properly the object of Council consideration. Persons wishing to speak shall be allowed to do so only after registering on the comment card provided. The Council may limit the time allowed for presentation.)*
- V. **PUBLIC HEARING** *(Public Comment will be allowed on items appearing on this portion of the agenda following a brief staff report presenting the item and action requested. The Mayor may limit testimony.)*

None scheduled.

- VI. OTHER BUSINESS** *(These items will be presented individually by staff or other appropriate individuals. A synopsis of each item together with a brief statement of the action being requested shall be made by those appearing on behalf of an agenda item.)*

**Board and Commission Appointments -- Ordinance (Mayor Lomnicki)**

**VII. INFORMATION**

- A. Planning Commission Minutes of June 25, 1996**
- B. Linwood NDA Minutes of June 27, 1996**
- C. Monthly Financial Reports**

**VIII. ADJOURNMENT**


**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

*At the end of the regular meeting, the Council may hold an Executive Session under the authority of Oregon Revised Statutes 192.660 as needed.*

*For assistance/service per the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), dial TDD 786-7555.*



## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Mayor and City Council  
**THROUGH:** Dan R. Bartlett, City Manager  
**FROM:** Angus M. Anderson, Finance Director   
**DATE:** July 22, 1996  
**RE:** RESOLUTION: Membership in CCIS Pool

---

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Consider attached resolution authorizing City of Milwaukie membership in the City County Insurance Services Self-Insurance Pool.

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

The City has retained City County Insurance Services (CCIS) property physical damage insurance coverage for three years. As a prerequisite to coverage, membership in the CCIS Trust Property Self-Insurance Pool is required. The pool is the risk sharing entity which receives premium payments and provides payment in event of loss. In addition to the pool, CCIS also maintains "stop-loss" insurance policies in the reinsurance market to insure against catastrophic loss.

Membership in the pool does involve one potential risk for the City. In the event the pool does not contain sufficient assets to pay claims, CCIS will levy against members of the pool for any deficiency. That caveat has been expressed in the market analysis prepared by Sedgwick James of Oregon, Inc., property and casualty agent of record for the City. Sedgwick James states "The City needs to remember that as part of the CIS program, there is the possibility of future assessments should this program be unable to meet its obligations in the event of a catastrophic loss. We have no specific reason to recommend a change in insurers understanding that CCIS has been competitively priced and stable in coverage form".

Based on the recommendation of the agent of record and the necessity of maintaining membership for retention of coverage, the attached resolution is recommended for adoption.

MILWAUKIE CITY HALL  
10722 SE MAIN STREET  
MILWAUKIE, OREGON 97222  
PHONE: (503) 786-7555 • FAX: (503) 652-4433

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON,  
REGARDING MEMBERSHIP IN THE CITY/COUNTY INSURANCE SERVICES  
TRUST PROPERTY SELF-INSURANCE POOL

**WHEREAS**, the City/County Insurance Services Trust (CIS) offers pooled self-insurance offering cost stability and the potential for long-term savings and;

**WHEREAS**, CIS is sponsored by the League of Oregon Cities and the Association of Oregon Counties as a service to Oregon cities and counties; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Milwaukie finds that membership in CIS is of benefit in managing the risks involved in providing services to its citizens; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Milwaukie has been provided with an opportunity to review the Trust Agreement, Bylaws and Rules of CIS; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Milwaukie has reviewed the Trust Agreement, Bylaws and Rules of CIS for compliance with the Charter and Ordinances of the City of Milwaukie;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Council of the City of Milwaukie, Oregon: does hereby enter into a contract with CIS and becomes a member of the CIS Trust for **Property** for a three-year period commencing July 1, 1996 and agrees to abide by the terms of the Trust Agreement, Bylaws and Rules of CIS which, along with this Resolution, constitutes the contract between the City of Milwaukie and CIS. The City Manager is hereby authorized to execute such documents as are necessary pursuant to this Resolution.

Introduced and adopted by the City Council of the City of Milwaukie, Oregon on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Craig Lomnicki, Mayor

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM

\_\_\_\_\_  
Pat DuVal, City Recorder

O'DONNELL, RAMIS, CREW, CORRIGAN & BACHRACH

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney

**CITY OF MILWAUKIE  
CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION  
JULY 16, 1996**

**Mayor Lomnicki** called the work session to order at 5:00 p.m. in the second floor conference room in City Hall.

Present were Councilmembers Farley, Schreiber, Kappa, and Trotter; Dan Bartlett, City Manager; and Charlene Richards, Assistant to the City Manager.

**Information Sharing**

**Councilmember Schreiber** discussed the Metro Region 2040 Plan and related parking issues. **Councilmember Trotter** requested additional information on proposed parking maximums.

**Councilmember Trotter** discussed the Regional Parks Advisory Board meeting and a letter it was preparing in regards to the Kellogg Treatment Plant and the Willamette Greenway.

**Mayor Lomnicki** discussed a letter from Gary Michael regarding trees being cut on McLoughlin Blvd. **Bartlett** said ODOT will go before the Planning Commission for Greenway review. ODOT is one of the agencies involved in the Community Solutions Program in order to work in concert with what comes from the Regional Center Plan. He discussed declaration of the Battery Exchange property as public right-of-way and subsequent release to the City of Milwaukie for a bike path. The group discussed ODOT's being more sensitive to its tree practices and the traffic and access management pattern book.

**Councilmember Trotter** commented he had not seen reference to annexation of the Waverly area in the previous work session's minutes.

**Councilmember Trotter** discussed the photo speed units in Portland and asked if staff had an opportunity to look at fines in school zones. Councilmember Schreiber was interested in zero tolerance revenue information. **Bartlett** said Chief Collier was not recommending zero tolerance and commented on improved traffic safety near the Johnson Creek Boulevard construction area. More tickets are being issued to commuters trying to make up time lost because of detours rather than to neighbors. **Mayor Lomnicki** agreed there was more police presence, and temporary stop signs had been installed. **Councilmember Kappa** agreed zero tolerance was difficult to enforce. **Councilmember Farley** asked if there was enough room for a fire engine, and **Bartlett** reported a truck can still get through.

**Councilmember Kappa** said he was contacted by an individual about the adjacent property where a Tort claim has been filed against the City. **Bartlett** said City Council comment should indicate the matter has been referred to the City Attorney and the insurance carrier. The group discussed builders' perceptions of working in the City of Milwaukee.

### **Board and Commission Interview Process**

**Bartlett** announced Debi Linn was resigning from the Planning Commission effective September 1, 1996, to return to school. This leaves only four commissioners.

**Councilmember Schreiber** suggested looking at those positions which need to be filled most critically.

**Councilmember Farley** said some of the people whose terms have expired have not reapplied. **Bartlett** said it was assumed most members would serve until reappointed or until new appointments were made.

The group discussed residency requirements and consecutive terms.

**Councilors Schreiber** and **Trotter** discussed vacancies, reappointments, and asking applicants if they would be interested in positions other than those for which they applied.

**Mayor Lomnicki** discussed the interview and appointment process. He requested each Councilor provide him with recommendations from which he would develop a slate of appointments. He also indicated he would like the authority to place a person in a particular position. The slate would be reviewed with the Councilors prior to the City Council meeting, and he would seek a unanimous vote.

**Councilmember Kappa** said the process seemed cumbersome and asked for more clarification. **Mayor Lomnicki** said, in order to tighten up the process, he would like to submit the slate of appointments based on Councilors' input. He wanted to get to a point where everyone was comfortable with the slate.

**Councilmember Trotter** said this suggestion was similar to the Riverfront Planning Committee appointment process. He said it may be easier to review the applications and come up with individual recommendations.

**Councilmember Kappa** said he was prepared to make his recommendations.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said he would submit a slate for each board and commission. If there is not consensus on the slate, it would be withdrawn and reviewed.

The group discussed term lengths and staggering terms so all positions do not expire simultaneously. In addition, Councilors discussed a formal orientation that would examine goals and work plans.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said he would submit the slate of appointments the first meeting of September, since he would not be at the second Council meeting of August. He wanted one week between the last interview and the appointments in order to review the recommendations.

**Councilmember Kappa** suggested not interviewing the incumbents.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said he would not automatically give an incumbent his or her slot. **Councilmember Schreiber** agreed, but City Council should make it clear there might be some changes. **Councilmember Trotter** felt it was appropriate to interview incumbents since new ordinances were adopted.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said the board or commission candidate needs to know he or she will be a part of a team supporting the City's vision, mission, and goals. Diversity of views and opinions is important, but, if there are people who do not feel they can be supportive, there are other avenues for involvement than advisory boards and commissions.

**Councilmembers Kappa and Farley** did not agree and felt there were too many stipulations.

**Councilmember Trotter** felt the Mayor was saying Council would be looking for certain traits, and members will make recommendations on what is heard. Appointments are made with the consent of Council. He suggested going through the process and seeing what the results were.

**Councilmember Kappa** discussed the Riverfront Planning Committee appointment process.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said if the slate did not receive full consent, he would amend it.

**Councilmember Kappa** did not feel appointees had to agree with all the goals and objectives. Some dissent is important to the process.

**Councilmember Schreiber** said members of the community spent months developing the City's vision from which City Council goals evolved.

**Councilmember Farley** said he would like to see the names and addresses of the people who developed the vision statement. He did not feel people should be eliminated because of their point of view.

**Councilmember Trotter** said he had no problem with the proposed process.

**Councilmember Schreiber** believed the City Council has shown its good faith in wanting to know what the community wants.

**Mayor Lomnicki** pointed out the purpose of the appointed boards and commissions was to advise the City Council.

**Councilmember Trotter** said each year the board or commission will submit a work plan to support the City goals and to address concerns. That should be the time when consensus is reached on what will be accomplished in the upcoming year.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said he hoped that before the public meeting all Councilors will feel comfortable with the slate and it can be passed on the consent agenda. He suggested making appointments at the August 13 meeting.

The following interview dates were agreed upon: July 27; August 5; and August 6. The Mayor requested Councilor recommendations be given to him by August 8 or 9 so he could develop the slate.

The group agreed each Councilor would be prepared with one question and one alternate. They agreed to three interviews per hour, and only one applicant could be present in the room at a time.

### **Johnson Creek Project**

**Bartlett** asked for City Council direction on the Surface Water Management Master Plan. He suggested that Public Works Director Wheaton prepare a project overview to help City Council prioritize the project in the CIP.

**Mayor Lomnicki** suggested a work session in September on the Johnson Creek Project.

### **Providence Milwaukie Expansion**

**Councilmember Trotter** reported on Providence Milwaukie's recent decision to spend \$15 million on an expansion project. **Bartlett** discussed a potential request for a CIP project to help with expenses for a new access.

**Mayor Lomnicki** adjourned the work session at 6:50 p.m.

---

Pat DuVal, Recorder/Secretary

**CITY OF MILWAUKIE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
JULY 16, 1996**

The one thousand seven hundred and forty-seventh meeting of the Milwaukie City Council was called to order by Mayor Lomnicki at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at Milwaukie City Hall. The following Councilors were present:

Craig Lomnicki,  
Mayor  
Rick Farley

Jean Schreiber  
Rob Kappa  
Don Trotter

Also present:

Dan Bartlett,  
City Manager  
Pam Beery,  
City Attorney  
Charlene Richards,  
Assistant to the  
City Manager

Angus Anderson,  
Finance Director  
JoAnn Herrigel,  
Program Services Coordination  
Nancy Bond,  
Program Specialist -- Conservation

**PROCLAMATIONS, COMMENDATIONS, SPECIAL REPORTS, AND AWARDS**

**Mayor Lomnicki** read a proclamation naming August 6, 1996, as *National Night Out 1996* in the City of Milwaukie.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Farley to approve the following the Consent Agenda which consisted of these items:**

1. City Council Minutes of July 2, 1996; and
2. Resolution 30-1996: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Milwaukie, Oregon, Authorizing the City Manager to Execute Purchase Orders to the City of Portland for 800 MHz Radio Access Fees.

**Motion passed unanimously.**

**AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION**

None.

## PUBLIC HEARING

### Garbage and Recycling Rates

**Mayor Lomnicki** called the public hearing to discuss rates and administrative rules for solid waste and recycling to order at 7:05 p.m.

The purpose of the hearing was to set certain rates charged by franchised haulers, consider changes to the administrative rules, and to expand the definition of curbside to include roadside. He reviewed the conduct of the hearing.

Staff Report: **Herrigel** presented the staff report. The actions requested were of a housekeeping nature and did not represent a rate increase to Milwaukie customers.

The first element of the request was to approve a resolution allowing certain charges. These charges included: a recycling-only rate for those who do not currently have regular service; on call service; roller cart redelivery; drop box service; and medical waste. Milwaukie has no established fees for these services, so haulers have been charging customers the same rates as the rest of the County. The proposed rate schedule will add consistency with the unincorporated area adjacent to the City.

The second element involves administrative rule changes relating to weight limits for roller carts. **Herrigel** clarified these carts were raised by a hydraulic lift on the truck, not by people. Another change would be clarification of financial reporting requirements including what needs to be supplied to the City in the way of financial information and how this information can be used.

The third element is an ordinance expanding the definition of curbside to include roadside for those areas of the City that do not have curbs.

**Councilmember Kappa** asked if there was a significant number of individuals not paying for recycling service. **Herrigel** said the number of individuals has not yet been determined, and the financial impact to the haulers is probably not significant.

**Councilmember Kappa** asked if the increased weight limits for roller carts would necessitate larger carts. **Herrigel** said no containers would have to be replaced.

**Councilmember Kappa** commented that the medical waste issue was mostly an administrative rather than a policy issue. **Herrigel** said this would provide consistency when currently the haulers use their own discretion.

**Councilmember Trotter** asked how these rates compared with other incorporated areas. **Herrigel** gave the examples of Lake Oswego and Oregon City where customers pay \$9.20 and \$10.20 respectively. These rates include recycling but not yard debris pick-up.

**Mayor Lomnicki** asked if recyclables in these two cities were picked up even if the resident did not have regular garbage service. **Herrigel** said there was recycling pick up in these cities.

**Herrigel** added Lake Oswego has a COD policy for drop boxes based on an estimated weight, and Oregon City has no policy.

**Councilmember Farley** asked if comparisons were made with cities the same size as Milwaukie. **Herrigel** said comparisons were not made by population. She was looking for consistency with the unincorporated areas of the Clackamas County.

**Councilmember Kappa** asked what the recycling rate was in the County. **Herrigel** said it was the same as Milwaukie -- \$3.25. Some jurisdictions charge more.

Correspondence: None.

Audience Testimony: **Estele Harlan**, consultant for haulers, 2202 SE Lake Rd. The haulers have met with City staff to discuss these issues. They generally felt it was important that Milwaukie's rates and those of adjacent unincorporated areas be the same. In most cases, County rates are already being charged. Few people -- probably less than 1% -- would be impacted by establishing the recycling-only rate.

**Councilmember Kappa** felt the resolution should state a rate was being set for a service provided.

**Bartlett** said the resolution adopted Attachment "A" from which the hauler will develop a rate sheet.

**Harlan** spoke about the misconception that recycling pays for itself. The revenue from recycled material sales covers about 40% of the costs of providing the service. Markets are developing, but garbage rates are helping subsidize recycling.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said as he recalled when the recycling program was initiated, the City Council was most interested in encouraging people to use the service.

**Councilmember Kappa** added the rate should be low enough to keep people recycling.

**Councilmember Farley** asked for clarification of the weights. **Harlan** said the increased weight limits refer to garbage containers only.

**Councilmember Farley** asked how it is determined whether material is medical waste. **Harlan** said both the sources and the haulers have specific instructions on how to handle this type of waste.

Staff Comments: None.

Questions of Clarification: None.

Close Public Testimony: **Mayor Lomnicki** closed the public testimony portion of the hearing at 7:32 p.m.

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to adopt the resolution establishing rates for additional services. Motion passed unanimously.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 31-1996:**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 17-1992 TO INCLUDE RATES FOR ADDITIONAL SERVICES.**

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to read the ordinance amending Ordinance 1752, Section 2, by expanding the definition of curbside to include roadside for the first time by title only. Motion passed unanimously. The ordinance was read for the first time by title only.**

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to read the ordinance amending Ordinance 1752, Section 2, by expanding the definition of curbside to include roadside for the second time by title only. Motion passed unanimously. The ordinance was read for the second time by title only.**

**It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to adopt the ordinance amending Ordinance 1752, Section 2, by expanding the definition of curbside to include roadside. Motion passed unanimously.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 1809:**

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, AMENDING ORDINANCE 1752, SECTION 2, BY EXPANDING THE DEFINITION OF CURBSIDE TO INCLUDE ROADSIDE.**

**It was moved by Councilmember Schreiber and seconded by Councilmember Farley to amend the administrative rules pertaining to solid waste. Motion passed unanimously.**

## OTHER BUSINESS

### Establish Time and Location for First Regular City Council Meeting of August

It was moved by Mayor Lomnicki and seconded by Councilmember Schreiber to hold the first City Council meeting of August on the 13th at 7:00 p.m. Motion passed unanimously.

### DEQ Grant for Conservation Project

**Herrigel** presented the staff report in which the City Council was requested to adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to sign an IGA with DEQ to undertake a two-year pilot project. The community-based resource efficiency program would work with local businesses, public agencies, schools, and the general public to conserve and maximize efficient use of materials, energy, and water and to prevent waste generation. The grant will provide up to \$50,000 for a two-year pilot project. She introduced **Nancy Bond**, Program Specialist for the project.

**Councilmember Kappa** asked whether staff had taken care of the Metro IGA administrative issues. **Herrigel** said the IGA has been signed, and the City is expecting its first payment very soon. She added that those types of facilities not included in the Metro grant were a part of the DEQ agreement.

**Councilmember Farley** asked for an idea of the steps to be taken. **Herrigel** said, since the Metro agreement is for only one year, staff would work in the City facilities first. She added Bond is also working with library staff during the remodel project.

**Bartlett** suggested also working with Facilities Maintenance during the Council Chambers remodel project.

**Councilmember Schreiber** said she looked forward to this project being highly visible to others in the community and asked how the construction industry would be brought into the program. **Herrigel** said she hoped to institute an RFP that would incorporate information from the bidder on plans for recycling old material and using recycled materials.

**Councilmember Schreiber** asked if multi-family dwellings were considered businesses. **Herrigel** indicated multi-family units may fall under commercial and could be incorporated in the audit process.

It was moved by Councilmember Kappa and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to adopt the resolution authorizing the City Manager to sign an intergovernmental agreement with the Department of Environmental Quality. Motion passed unanimously.

**RESOLUTION NO. 32-1996:**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO SIGN AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE AND THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY FOR A RESOURCE EFFICIENCY PROGRAM.**

**Reclassification -- Office Assistant to Staff Assistant Fire Department**

**Richards** presented the staff report in which the City Council was requested to authorize the City Manager to reclassify the Office Assistant position, currently assigned to the Fire Department, to Staff Assistant. The staff assistant position has taken on a more discretionary role for the entire department. She reviewed the differences between the duties and responsibilities of the Office Assistant and Staff Assistant positions. The Staff Assistant classification is one range above the Office Assistant with a 5% wage increase. There are funds available to absorb the reclassification and wage increase.

**Councilmember Farley** asked if this was a new position. **Richards** said there is currently a person in this position to be reclassified.

**Councilmember Schreiber** asked if this action was retroactive. **Richards** responded it was not.

**It was moved by Councilmember Schreiber and seconded by Councilmember Kappa to authorize the City Manager to reclassify the Office Assistant position, currently assigned to the Fire Department, to Staff Assistant. Motion passed unanimously.**

**Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with Clackamas County for Computer-Aided Dispatch (CAD) -- Resolution**

**Bartlett** presented the staff report in which the City Council was requested to adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to sign a CAD IGA with Clackamas County for the purpose of purchasing related equipment, software and services from Clackamas County to implement CAD in Milwaukie's Dispatch Center. The second component of the action requested was to approve a resolution appropriating funds.

**Bartlett** discussed the history of this project which was begun almost three years ago by Chief Mansfield. Dispatch Supervisor Gossett has been meeting with Clackamas County since 1993 to research and work out an acceptable agreement. By going so meticulously through the process, the cost to the City has been reduced nearly \$60,000

during the past three years. At this time, Clackamas County continues to hold out the November 1995 agreement, but **Bartlett** indicated he would like City Council to authorize him to negotiate his suggested changes.

**Councilmember Kappa** referred to the July 1, 1996, memo to Jordan and Rhodes and asked if there were other questions that would need to be addressed. **Bartlett** said, if the County is willing to accept these changes, he would be agreeable. If the County rejected these suggestions, he would have to consult with legal counsel and seek further direction from Council. Under the partnership developed through CREG, he felt the County would probably accept these suggestions.

**Councilmember Kappa** asked for clarification of the clause referring to software leases. **Bartlett** said the City could return its copy to the County, and the software vendor would sell Milwaukie a new one and probably credit the remote site license.

**Councilmember Schreiber** asked if other cities were still negotiating with the County. **Bartlett** responded the City of Milwaukie was the only one still negotiating.

**It was moved by Councilmember Schreiber and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to adopt the resolution authorizing the City Manager to sign a CAD agreement with Clackamas County.**

**Councilmember Kappa** said he felt it was important to the public to make a distinction between C-COM and this agreement.

**Beery** asked if the Council motion included authorizing the City Manager to continue working with the City Attorney for risk assessment and bringing the agreement back to Council only if there was a policy issue. **Councilmember Schreiber** said she felt that would be appropriate since it would go to the City Attorney for review before final execution.

**Councilmember Farley** asked for clarification of Section IV.1 of the agreement -- No Warranty or Guaranty. **Bartlett** said it was not typical for the County to warranty a third party. The warranty would cover the actual out-of-pocket costs but not lost time.

**Motion passed unanimously.**

#### **RESOLUTION 33-1996:**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (IGA) FOR COMPUTER AIDED DISPATCH (CAD) WITH CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON, AND AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF CONTINGENCY IN THE PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES FUND.**

## CREG -- Election Costs

**Bartlett** said CREG is asking each jurisdiction that endorsed the bond measure by resolution to acknowledge there will be election costs and agree to pay its share of these costs. If the measure passes, election costs will be billed against the bond; however, if it fails, the County Clerk will bill each participating jurisdiction.

**Mayor Lomnicki** said, if the measure fails, this is recognition that election expenses will be the burden of those participating.

**Councilmember Schreiber** added this commitment would be made with full knowledge before the election.

**It was moved by Mayor Lomnicki and seconded by Councilmember Trotter to authorize payment of Milwaukie's share of the election costs.**

**Councilmember Schreiber** asked what Milwaukie's share would be. **Bartlett** said it would be 3% or about \$1,500.

**Motion passed unanimously.**

## Fire Department Issues

**Councilmember Farley** asked what the plans were for hiring a new Fire Chief. **Bartlett** indicated fire issues needed to be discussed further and added that Swanson's contract had been extended for six months.

**Councilmember Farley** said there seems to be a concern among fire department personnel that the back-up engine is not working properly. **Bartlett** indicated he spoke with Captain Johns about preparing specifications for a new engine in order to go out for bids.

## Televising Work Session

**Councilmember Kappa** asked when Council work sessions would be televised. **Bartlett** responded a work session would be scheduled to discuss this.

## **INFORMATION**

1. **Councilmember Farley** announced the Jazz and Jam event at the Milwaukie Center on July 27.
2. **Councilmember Kappa** announced the opening of the light rail field office on SE Washington.

3. **Councilmember Kappa** discussed the Byrne Grant applications and the Clackamas County Public Safety Coordinating Council activities.
4. **Councilmember Schreiber** briefed the group on the Regional Neighborhood Conference and recognition of Milwaukie's aggressive neighborhood association program.

**Mayor Lomnicki** adjourned the meeting at 8:17 p.m.


---

Pat DuVal, Recorder/Secretary



**MEMORANDUM**

To: Mayor and City Council

Through: Charlene Richards, Acting City Manager 

From: Pat DuVal, City Recorder *pad*

Subject: Code Amendment Pertaining to Board and Commission Terms

Date: August 6, 1996

Action Requested

Adopt an ordinance that amends Municipal Code subsection 2.10.030(G) pertaining to terms of appointment to boards and commissions and declare an emergency.

Background

The proposed ordinance would allow appointments of less than four years and stagger the expiration dates for board and commission positions. Effectiveness and continuity would be enhanced within the groups by blending new appointees with experienced members. The emergency clause was added so the ordinance would go into effect immediately upon adoption and board and commission appointments could be made.

ORDINANCE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, AMENDING MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 2.10.030(G) AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.**

**WHEREAS**, Subsection 2.10.030(G) of the Milwaukie Municipal Code provides that board or commission appointments are to be made for terms of four years; and

**WHEREAS**, the above subsection does not provide for staggered terms; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council finds staggered terms add to the effectiveness of a board or commission;

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1: Subsection 2.10.030(G) is amended to read as follows:

“G. Board or commission vacancies are filled by appointment of the mayor with the consent of council. Appointments are made for terms not to exceed four years and will expire the last day of March unless mandated otherwise by state statute. All board members or commissioners shall serve without compensation.”

Section 2: There are an unanticipated number of vacancies on the various boards and commissions of the City. The City Council finds it would be beneficial to make appointments of less than four years in order to stagger terms thereby providing for continuity on said boards and commissions in the future. Because the vacancies need to be filled without further delay, an emergency is hereby declared, and this ordinance shall be effective upon its enactment by the City Council.

Read for the first time on \_\_\_\_\_, 1996, and moved to second reading by \_\_\_\_\_ vote of the City Council.

Read the second time and adopted by the City Council on \_\_\_\_\_, 1996.

Signed by the Mayor on \_\_\_\_\_, 1996.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Craig J. Lomnicki, Mayor

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Pat DuVal, City Recorder

\_\_\_\_\_  
O'Donnell, Ramis, Crew, et al.

**DRAFT**

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES  
TUESDAY, JUNE 25 , 1996

**COMMISSIONERS PRESENT**

Carolyn Tomei, Chair  
Michael Smith  
Calvin Walter

**COMMISSIONERS ABSENT**

Debi Linn  
Bill Johnson  
Gordon Jones

**STAFF PRESENT**

Maggie Collins,  
Com. Dev. Dir.  
Diana Myrvang,  
Pub. Inv. Coord.  
Shirley Richardson,  
Hearings Recorder

**CITY COUNCIL**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**  
Craig Lomnicki, Mayor  
Rob Kappa  
Jean Schreiber

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

**Chair Tomei** called the meeting to order at 8:15 p.m.

2.0 PROCEDURAL QUESTIONS -- None.

3.0 CONSENT AGENDA

3.1 Planning Commission Minutes -- June 11, 1996

Continued.

4.0 PUBLIC COMMENT -- None.

5.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS -- None.

6.0 Worksessions

6.1 Riverfront Regional Center Steering Committee (Minutes provided separately.)

6.2 Metro Urban Growth Management Plan

**Chair Tomei** opened the City Council/Planning Commission worksession on the Metro Urban Growth Management Plan. **Maggie Collins** posted maps and passed out background materials to the group. The 2040 Growth concept was unanimously adopted by City Council on September 5, 1995, whose maps identified Milwaukie as a Regional Center. In the Resolution, the City expressed its willingness to work with Metro and other jurisdictions to make the Region 2040 Plan early implementation a success. At that point in the 2040 process, discussions centered around concepts and adoption of a final concept by the Metro Council. Milwaukie felt comfortable with a Regional Center designation.

**Maggie Collins** stated that because of Milwaukie's size and needs, it is important to try to get more than its population share of resources in the region by using specific growth management tactics. The Metro growth concept discussion for a regional center matched Milwaukie's adopted Vision Statement. Milwaukie sees the 2040 Plan as a way of working together with Metro to bring economic revitalization to Milwaukie and specifically, to the downtown area.

Early implementation is being discussed at the MPAC level now as the Metro Urban Growth Functional Plan. If there is going to be more economic development, Milwaukie has a lot of areas to work with, as there are vacant and buildable areas available for rebuilding the community in a regional urban form.

Metro staff created maps that reflected numbers of what growth might occur if every jurisdiction took a fair share of both housing and employment. **Maggie Collins** pointed out a parcel map which showed the parcelization of the region. The premise is that Milwaukie is willing to take on a high number of housing units and employment opportunities for a defined area in which a new urban form could be achieved in the future.

The Region is projected to grow from 500,000 to 700,000 in the next 20 years. The Regional Urban Growth Boundary discussion indicated that there is a lot of under-used, or vacant and buildable land area available in Milwaukie. **Mayor Lomnicki** noted that a third of the projected population increase comes from within the City by way of our kids, grandchildren, and loved-ones; not from out-of-state. **Jean Schreiber** stated that added to those numbers are citizens who are living longer and continue to take up housing and are reflected in the population figures.

To this point, the Metro discussion is that the Urban Growth Boundary should not be changed, but rather, the region's local jurisdictions should find ways to make the area inside the Regional Growth Boundary work better for each community.

**Maggie Collins** reviewed the methodology used by Metro for analyzing land needs and distributing population and employment targets. Metro's RLIS System put together 20 districts from the region and started allocating population to those areas. These 20-zone figures for the 500,000 increase are projected to the year 2040. Milwaukie is in Zone 7 and 45% percent of these parcels in Zone 7 are developed to the maximum and 55% of existing parcels show vacant area or potential for redevelopment.

**Rob Kappa** voiced concern about the livability issue with the increased population. He feels the numbers Milwaukie will have to accept will be too high. He stated that it will take a combination of reducing Milwaukie's 3,700 new housing units target, and moving the Urban Growth Boundary to preserve livability

RTZ maps were displayed. From the 20 zones, further divisions were made for a system of smaller zones called RTZ's (regional transportation zones for land use). Milwaukie's RTZ's were pointed out on the map as 407,408, 410, part of 409, and 940. These area numbers were used as a basis for analysis to project housing and employment figures. The orange and reds on the maps indicated the larger, vacant parcels that Metro used in Milwaukie's formula. The map broke down the zones into 1/2 -one-acre cells or larger. From this breakdown, it was shown that Milwaukie could accommodate the population and housing growth targets over a 20-year period. Technically, this is shown over and over again in the data. **Maggie Collins** continued to point out Milwaukie's areas, transportation elements, transit areas, parking, open space, etc. on the maps.

Population calculations for Milwaukie, in the Regional Center area, are:

Round One	2,880 housing and	6,949 jobs
Round Two	3,014 housing and	7,478 jobs

These figures are used to determine if the community can absorb housing units, at what rate, and potential design in a 20-year period. An estimate of approximately 500 housing units can be absorbed by Milwaukie's neighborhoods by the year 2040. Community Development staff, in checking the Round One numbers, felt they were low on both housing and jobs.

**Mayor Lomnicki** asked what kinds of density is planned for the Regional Center. **Maggie Collins** explained that the Regional Center area would have single-family density similar to Ladd's Edition. The Regional Center would be a percentage mix of single-family and multi-family housing by the year 2040. **Rob Kappa** stated that he feels the increase in housing and jobs should be in the Regional

Center area and not out in the current neighborhoods. He questions the types of housing or design elements needed for this housing and job mix to maintain the livability of Milwaukie. Discussion followed on development, design, and planning options and ways to make this infill work.

**Maggie Collins** stated that the increase of 500 housing units in neighborhoods is perceived by the public as crowding their areas; in reality, the City is working hard to manage the growth in an appropriate manner. This is not so much an allocation concept, as it is the City planning for its maximum growth potential.

**Maggie Collins** stated that the level of effort to accommodate future growth within existing jurisdictions varies widely. Metro will assess the level of effort, jurisdiction by jurisdiction and report adjustments. Jurisdictions have looked at the numbers differently. Some jurisdictions did a parcel-by-parcel assessment and they came up with a significant increase in their housing potential. Other jurisdictions used their existing zoning to allow for the increase, but this resulted in a lower housing density and lower growth targets. Metro has acknowledged that jurisdictions reacted and measured their growth potential differently.

Milwaukie saw potential growth coming down the road. It was known that there was an estimated 6200 sq. ft. average lot size and that the housing growth rate is about 1.2% a year. If this growth rate continues for the next 20 years, Milwaukie can absorb the 500 housing increase projected in residential neighborhoods. Funds are available through the State to jurisdictions that are making an effort to deal with their growth management efforts.

If Milwaukie uses the round one allocations and runs it out to the year 2040, this results in a 1.08% growth rate; less than the current rate of 1.12% for the past four years. Round two projections have not yet been formally approved. Discussions followed about how to communicate to the community that this growth can be beneficial to both the City and its residents.

**Craig Lomnicki** suggested that a tour be arranged for City Council members, Planning Commission members, and even members of the public (Neighborhood District Associations), to go out and see other areas that have density figures similar to Metro's growth projections. This will visually show ideas possible to accomplish growth projections. Also a slide-show presentation would be helpful. Discussions followed on how to positively communicate the planning objectives to the public.

**Maggie Collins** stated that staff is requesting a written statement from City Council in support of Metro's projected figures for growth management.

**Calvin Walter** suggested that the Planning Commission give a statement to City Council with language, "We are implementing growth management in our planning processes. We encourage your support in that implementation."

It was a consensus of members present that **Maggie Collins** draft a letter with language, "Milwaukie is going to have growth potential that will match Metro's target of a 1.08% housing increase, which is lower than the current growth rate. This 1.08% growth rate will be applied to those areas that have good transit corridors and a good mix of land-use, especially in the area selected to make the Milwaukie Vision Statement come true..." This statement will be reviewed by the Planning Commission with a recommendation for City Council approval.

- 8.0 Other Business/Updates
- 8.1 **Historic Review Commission** -- No Report
- 8.2 **Town Center Area Task Force Report** -- No Report.
- 8.3 **Tree Committee Report** -- No Report
  
- 8.4 **Community Development Report**

- 8.4.1 **Riverboats In Milwaukie.** The Applicants have changed their request from a temporary docking to a more permanent situation. Dan Bartlett has advised the Applicants to follow the riverfront planning process to see whether their request is feasible after the Planning Committee's final recommendation. Until the Riverfront Master Plan is done, uses are uncertain for that area.

**North Milwaukie Land Use Study.** Maggie Collins reported that Staff is collecting data. There is a project timeline and it will be made available to the Planning Commission.

- 9.0 Next Meeting - July 9, 1996
- 9.1 Community Development Report

**Chair Tomei** moved to adjourn the meeting of June 25, 1996. **Michael Smith** seconded. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. The meeting adjourned at 10:20 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Carolyn Tomei, Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
Shirley Richardson, Hearings Reporter

LINWOOD NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION

Minutes from General Meeting - June 27, 1996, held at St. Paul's Methodist Church, Milwaukie - 7:00PM

1. Tracy Cook presented our calendar of coming events through the end of the year. Our next meeting will be at the Aquatic Park (A&B), July 25, 1996, 7:00PM with an update on the Furnburg Park Master Plan.

2. Last meeting minutes were approved.

3. Bob Hatz gave a rundown on the Waterfront Planning going on now. Currently under discussion are: limited automobile parking, not having a motel or housing on the river frontage, keeping the area as a park, boat launch and temporary dock for private boats, and a permanent dock for "pleasure boats" for hire and a sky ramp across McLoughlin Bv.

As to the sewage treatment plant, suggestions are as follows: 1.) leave as is and accomodate overflow and new construction at a new facility at Camp whitcomb; 2.) Expand existing facility; 3.) remove and completely reconstruct elsewhere (this would be the most expensive per household); or 4.) leave as is, build additional facility and cover existing with dirt so land above it can be used as part of the park and the odors will be contained (the service district has agreed to contribute \$4millions for this project). The Waterfront Planning is a City project and the sewage treatment plant is a County Project and will eventually go to the Clackamas County Service District for County vote.

Also, the City of Milwaukie has gotten permission to use Elk Rock Island as a park from the City of Portland (current owners) and any restaurants in the waterfront area will have to be floating or back from the flood plain, and possible mini-mall is under ~~consideration~~ discussion.

4. Diane Campbell and Laura Herbon, working with County, City Council, etc. on the Furnberg Park (in the Cedarcrest Development) rejuvenation, discussed options and plans under scrutiny now. Three plans were discussed: the most popular featuring a walk-way around the center area with an open, youngster play area and a picnic area. It seemed to be general consensus that the least disruption to surrounding houses and the highest visibilty into the park were the most preferred plans. Due to funding, any refurbishment may have to be done in phases. The final plan will be presented at the next meeting.

5. Light Rail funding will appear as Proposition 32 on the November ballot, and we were all reminded that we need to vote!

Respectfully submitted,



Dolly



**TO:** CITY COUNCIL and BUDGET COMMITTEE,  
CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON

**THRU:** Dan R. Bartlett, City Manager *DB*

**FROM:** Angus M. Anderson, Finance Director *AA*

**DATE:** July 16, 1996

**RE:** Monthly Financial Reports

This report is the financial report for June 30, 1996, covering the full fiscal year period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996. The results presented are considered very preliminary results for the full year. They are not considered year end results as closing and audit adjustments must still be made.

The included reports are:

- A) PROGRAM SUMMARY:** This report is presented in exactly the same format as was used in the Budget Document. This provides a view of the adopted budget, year to date results (through June), budget balance and full year projections for each program that is budgeted. It shows an aggregate level of expenditures \$8,728,320 less than the total amount budgeted.
- B) APPROPRIATION REPORT:** This report presents all FUNDS and APPROPRIATION CATEGORIES as adopted by City Council. Presented are figures for adopted budget, year to date results (through June), budget balance and full year projections for each fund and appropriation category. This report also shows an aggregate level of expenditures that is \$8,728,320 less than the total amount of appropriations.
- C) REVENUE REPORT:** This report presents all FUNDS and all major resource classification in each fund. It also presents the adopted budget, year to date results (through June), budget balance and full year projections for each classification and fund. This report projects total resources (which includes beginning fund balances) of \$29,002,334 which is \$749,709 in excess of the total amount budgeted.
- D) FUND BALANCE REPORT:** This report is a true "snapshot" of the fund balances as of one day in time, in this case June 30, 1996 (preliminary twelve month report). The results presented are Total Revenues, Total Expenditures and Fund Balance (always resulting in a zero for budget presentation). These classifications are shown as adopted budget, year to date results, budget balance and full year projections. As this is a snapshot that changes monthly, there isn't much emphasis placed on this report until nearing the end of the fiscal year. Until that time the report is considered to contain very preliminary data. This report shows projected ending fund balances that aggregate \$9,478,209. This amount is the sum of expenditures less than budget (\$8,728,320) plus resources greater than budget (\$749,709).

MILWAUKIE CITY HALL  
10722 SE MAIN STREET  
MILWAUKIE, OREGON 97222  
PHONE: (503) 786-7555 • FAX: (503) 652-4433

PROGRAM STATUS REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS

PROGRAM	FY 1995-96 budget	YTD 5/31/96	balance	PROJECTION 6/30/96	OVER/ UNDER
<b>LEGISLATIVE</b>					
Total Expenditures	\$34,150	\$31,176	\$2,974	\$31,485	\$2,665
<b>ADMINISTRATION/COMMUNITY SERVICES</b>					
City Manager	106,611	125,502	-18,891	127,998	(21,387)
City Records	137,124	96,753	40,371	97,750	39,374
Data Processing	204,427	120,376	84,051	127,500	76,927
Neighborhood Services	95,209	94,646	563	96,200	(991)
City Attorney	109,100	82,028	27,072	95,000	14,100
Human Resources	183,724	167,119	16,605	170,000	13,724
Recycling Programs	82,396	69,029	13,367	72,850	9,546
Parks Land Acquisition	445,835	287,665	158,170	287,665	158,170
Ledding Library	1,349,811	962,867	386,944	969,750	380,061
Public Safety Bldg Const	233,373	119,389	113,984	120,000	113,373
Total Expenditures	\$2,947,610	\$2,125,373	\$822,237	\$2,164,713	\$782,897
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY</b>					
Police Administration	220,192	207,503	12,689	210,500	9,692
Police Field Services	2,527,709	2,462,381	65,328	2,467,900	59,809
Police Support Services	160,197	144,167	16,030	147,000	13,197
Emergency Dispatch	366,960	338,139	28,821	340,000	26,960
Fire Administration	561,725	200,058	361,667	210,250	351,475
Fire Operations	2,385,135	2,098,474	286,661	2,102,600	282,535
Fire Prevention	158,565	148,997	9,568	158,565	0
Emergency Radio Comm	390,000	264,027	125,973	280,000	110,000
Total Expenditures	\$6,770,483	\$5,863,744	\$906,739	\$5,916,815	\$853,668
<b>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT</b>					
Total Expenditures	\$642,631	\$567,004	\$75,627	\$578,050	\$64,581
<b>PUBLIC WORKS</b>					
Public Works Administration	316,672	323,080	-6,408	325,000	(8,328)
Public Works Engineering	400,944	348,221	52,723	351,500	49,444
Building	293,406	252,873	40,533	256,200	37,206
Code Enforcement Abatement	61,000	11	60,989	25	60,975
State Gas Tax/Street Repair	1,447,823	1,268,917	178,906	1,311,875	135,948
Bike Path	155,961	2,444	153,517	2,500	153,461
Water	3,258,493	1,651,604	1,606,889	1,705,000	1,553,493
Water SDC	211,340	5,014	206,326	5,250	206,090
Sewer	2,682,831	1,275,292	1,407,539	1,330,000	1,352,831
Sewer SDC	631,243	5,854	625,389	5,900	625,343
Storm Sewer	1,169,028	586,621	582,407	629,625	539,403
Storm Sewer SDC	31,730	1,788	29,942	1,800	29,930
Fleet Services	369,702	338,730	30,972	347,200	22,502
Facilities Maintenance	446,433	338,030	108,403	368,500	77,933
Public Parking Facilities	20,271	18,544	1,727	78,550	(58,279)
Total Expenditures	\$11,496,877	\$6,417,024	\$5,079,853	\$6,718,925	\$4,777,952
<b>FINANCE</b>					
Finance Administration	178,331	171,342	6,989	171,767	6,564
General Government	244,700	224,728	19,972	252,000	(7,300)
Municipal Court	208,930	178,182	30,748	178,250	30,680
Accounting	237,261	195,046	42,215	195,500	41,761
Intergovernmental/Interfund	3,277,477	2,656,617	620,860	2,656,850	620,627
Improvement Bond Sinking	1,076,928	76,110	1,000,818	76,200	1,000,728
PSB Debt Service	909,921	454,240	455,681	454,250	455,671
Photocopier	47,000	48,209	-1,209	48,500	(1,500)
Telephone	135,951	80,837	55,114	81,000	54,951
Knutson Cemetary Trust	39,375	0	39,375	0	39,375
Forfeiture Trust	5,000	0	5,000	0	5,000
Total Expenditures	\$6,360,874	\$4,085,312	\$2,275,562	\$4,114,317	\$2,246,557
<b>TOTAL ALL PROGRAMS</b>					
Total Expenditures	\$28,252,625	\$19,089,634	\$9,162,991	\$19,524,305	\$8,728,320

**APPROPRIATION REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS**

<b>FUND</b>	<b>APPROPRIATION CATEGORY</b>	<b>FY 1995-96 APPROPRIATION</b>	<b>YTD 5/31/96</b>	<b>balance</b>	<b>PROJECTION 6/30/96</b>	<b>OVER/ (UNDER)</b>
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>						
	Administration/Community Service	177,605	163,675	13,930	169,050	8,555
	Police Services	3,176,855	3,104,079	72,776	3,116,900	59,955
	Community Development	642,631	567,004	75,627	578,050	64,581
	Public Works	293,406	252,873	40,533	256,200	37,206
	Finance	208,930	178,182	30,748	178,250	30,680
	Transfers	2,381,020	2,656,617	(275,597)	2,656,850	(275,830)
	Contingency	896,457		896,457	0	896,457
	<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND</b>	<b>\$7,776,904</b>	<b>\$6,922,431</b>	<b>\$854,473</b>	<b>\$6,955,300</b>	<b>\$821,604</b>
<b>CODE ENFORCEMENT ABATEMENT FUND</b>						
	Public Works	56,000	11	55,989	25	55,975
	Contingency	5,000		5,000	0	5,000
	<b>CODE ENFORCMNT ABATMNT</b>	<b>\$61,000</b>	<b>\$11</b>	<b>\$60,989</b>	<b>\$25</b>	<b>\$60,975</b>
<b>STATE GAS TAX/ST REPAIR FND</b>						
	Public Works	1,444,365	1,268,917	175,448	1,311,875	132,490
	Contingency	3,458		3,458	0	3,458
	<b>TOTAL STATE GAS TAX FUND</b>	<b>\$1,447,823</b>	<b>\$1,268,917</b>	<b>\$178,906</b>	<b>\$1,311,875</b>	<b>\$135,948</b>
<b>BIKE PATH FUND</b>						
	Public Works	116,444	2,444	114,000	2,500	113,944
	Contingency	39,517		39,517	0	39,517
	<b>TOTAL BIKE PATH FUND</b>	<b>\$155,961</b>	<b>\$2,444</b>	<b>\$153,517</b>	<b>\$2,500</b>	<b>\$153,461</b>
<b>STORM SEWER SDC FUND</b>						
	Public Works	1,788	1,788	0	1,800	(12)
	Contingency	29,942		29,942	0	29,942
	<b>TOTAL STORM SEWER SDC FND</b>	<b>\$31,730</b>	<b>\$1,788</b>	<b>\$29,942</b>	<b>\$1,800</b>	<b>\$29,930</b>
<b>WATER SDC FUND</b>						
	Public Works	5,014	5,014	0	5,250	(236)
	Contingency	206,326		206,326	0	206,326
	<b>TOTAL WATER SDC FND</b>	<b>\$211,340</b>	<b>\$5,014</b>	<b>\$206,326</b>	<b>\$5,250</b>	<b>\$206,090</b>
<b>SEWER SDC FUND</b>						
	Public Works	5,854	5,854	0	5,900	(46)
	Contingency	625,389		625,389	0	625,389
	<b>TOTAL SEWER SDC FUND</b>	<b>\$631,243</b>	<b>\$5,854</b>	<b>\$625,389</b>	<b>\$5,900</b>	<b>\$625,343</b>
<b>PARKS &amp; REC DISTRICT FUND</b>						
	Administration/Community Service	<b>\$445,835</b>	<b>\$287,665</b>	<b>\$158,170</b>	<b>\$287,665</b>	<b>\$158,170</b>
<b>LIBRARY SERVICES FUND</b>						
	Administration/Community Service	1,217,112	962,867	254,245	969,750	247,362
	Contingency	132,699		132,699	0	132,699
	<b>TOTAL LIBRARY SRVCS FUND</b>	<b>\$1,349,811</b>	<b>\$962,867</b>	<b>\$386,944</b>	<b>\$969,750</b>	<b>\$380,061</b>
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY FUND</b>						
	Police Services	98,203	48,110	50,093	48,500	49,703
	Fire-Rescue Services	2,855,425	2,447,528	407,897	2,471,415	384,010
	Emergency Radio Communications	390,000	264,027	125,973	280,000	110,000
	Contingency	250,000		250,000	0	250,000
	<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FUND</b>	<b>\$3,593,628</b>	<b>\$2,759,665</b>	<b>\$833,963</b>	<b>\$2,799,915</b>	<b>\$793,713</b>

APPROPRIATION REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS

<b>WATER FUND</b>					
Public Works	1,918,802	1,651,604	267,198	1,705,000	213,802
Debt Service	146,227	0	146,227		146,227
Reserves	74,411	0	74,411		74,411
Contingency	1,119,053		1,119,053	0	1,119,053
<b>TOTAL WATER FUND</b>	<b>\$3,258,493</b>	<b>\$1,651,604</b>	<b>\$1,606,889</b>	<b>\$1,705,000</b>	<b>\$1,553,493</b>
<b>SEWER FUND</b>					
Public Works	2,337,727	1,275,292	1,062,435	1,330,000	1,007,727
Contingency	345,104		345,104	0	345,104
<b>TOTAL SEWER FUND</b>	<b>\$2,682,831</b>	<b>\$1,275,292</b>	<b>\$1,407,539</b>	<b>\$1,330,000</b>	<b>\$1,352,831</b>
<b>STORM SEWER FUND</b>					
Public Works	876,025	586,621	289,404	629,625	246,400
Contingency	293,003		293,003	0	293,003
<b>TOTAL STORM SEWER FUND</b>	<b>\$1,169,028</b>	<b>\$586,621</b>	<b>\$582,407</b>	<b>\$629,625</b>	<b>\$539,403</b>
<b>IMPROVEMENT BOND SINKING FUND</b>					
Debt Service	14,222	76,110	(61,888)	76,200	(61,978)
Reserves	1,062,706		1,062,706	0	1,062,706
<b>TOTAL IMPRVMT BOND FND</b>	<b>\$1,076,928</b>	<b>\$76,110</b>	<b>\$1,000,818</b>	<b>\$76,200</b>	<b>\$1,000,728</b>
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY DEBT SERVICE FUND</b>					
Debt Service	454,240	454,240	0	454,250	(10)
Reserves	455,681		455,681	0	455,681
<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FAC DEBT SRV</b>	<b>\$909,921</b>	<b>\$454,240</b>	<b>\$455,681</b>	<b>\$454,250</b>	<b>\$455,671</b>
<b>CAPITAL PROJECTS</b>					
Capital outlay	\$233,373	\$119,389	\$113,984	\$120,000	\$113,373
<b>FLEET SERVICES</b>					
Public Works	\$369,702	\$338,730	\$30,972	\$347,200	\$22,502
<b>FACILITIES MANAGEMENT</b>					
Public Works	\$466,704	\$356,574	\$110,130	\$447,050	\$19,654
<b>ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES</b>					
Legislative	34,150	31,176	2,974	31,485	2,665
Administration/Community Service	740,986	591,777	149,209	618,248	122,738
Public Works	717,616	671,301	46,315	676,500	41,116
Finance	843,243	720,162	123,081	748,767	94,476
<b>TOTAL ADMIN SERVICES FUND</b>	<b>\$2,335,995</b>	<b>\$2,014,417</b>	<b>\$321,578</b>	<b>\$2,075,000</b>	<b>\$260,995</b>
<b>KNUTSON CEMETARY TRUST</b>					
Public Works	\$39,375	\$0	\$39,375	\$0	\$39,375
<b>FORFEITURE TRUST</b>					
Transfers	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$28,252,625</b>	<b>\$19,089,634</b>	<b>\$9,162,991</b>	<b>\$19,524,305</b>	<b>\$8,728,320</b>

**REVENUE REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS**

<u>FUND</u>	<u>CATEGORY</u>	FY 1995-96 <u>budget</u>	YTD <u>5/31/96</u>	<u>balance</u>	PROJECTION <u>6/30/96</u>	OVER/ <u>(UNDER)</u>
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	1,139,993	1,215,981	-75,988	1,215,981	75,988
	Property Taxes	4,653,650	4,924,861	-271,211	4,924,861	271,211
	Intergovernmental Revenue	493,261	341,455	151,806	345,000	(148,261)
	Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	284,000	332,673	-48,673	335,000	51,000
	Fines & Forfeitures	230,000	223,833	6,167	225,000	(5,000)
	Franchise Fees	861,000	827,693	33,307	825,000	(36,000)
	Other Financing Sources	115,000	140,308	-25,308	140,000	25,000
	<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND</b>	<b>\$7,776,904</b>	<b>\$8,006,804</b>	<b>(\$229,900)</b>	<b>\$8,010,842</b>	<b>\$233,938</b>
<b>CODE ENFORCEMENT ABATEMENT FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	60,000	643	59,357	60,643	643
	Fines & Forfeitures	1,000	60,000	-59,000	0	(1,000)
	Other Financing Sources	0	549	-549	575	575
	<b>CODE ENFORCMT ABATMNT</b>	<b>\$61,000</b>	<b>\$61,192</b>	<b>(\$192)</b>	<b>\$61,218</b>	<b>\$218</b>
<b>STATE GAS TAX/ST REPAIR FND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	250,445	560,978	-310,533	560,978	310,533
	Intergovernmental Revenue	901,218	833,208	68,010	875,000	(26,218)
	Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	5,000	21,934	-16,934	23,500	18,500
	Franchise Fees	275,160	258,317	16,843	274,316	(844)
	Other Financing Sources	16,000	27,223	-11,223	27,250	11,250
	<b>TOTAL STATE GAS TAX FUND</b>	<b>\$1,447,823</b>	<b>\$1,701,659</b>	<b>(\$253,836)</b>	<b>\$1,761,044</b>	<b>\$313,221</b>
<b>BIKE PATH FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	53,908	56,895	-2,987	56,895	2,987
	Intergovernmental Revenue	100,303	8,416	91,887	8,600	(91,703)
	Other Financing Sources	1,750	3,184	-1,434	3,200	1,450
	<b>TOTAL BIKE PATH FUND</b>	<b>\$155,961</b>	<b>\$68,495</b>	<b>\$87,466</b>	<b>\$68,695</b>	<b>(\$87,266)</b>
<b>STORM SEWER SDC FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	4,730	17,911	-13,181	17,911	13,181
	Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	26,000	43,883	-17,883	45,000	19,000
	Other Financing Sources	1,000	1,874	-874	1,900	900
	<b>TOTAL STORM SEWER SDC FND</b>	<b>\$31,730</b>	<b>\$63,669</b>	<b>(\$31,939)</b>	<b>\$64,811</b>	<b>\$33,081</b>
<b>WATER SDC FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	180,340	196,584	-16,244	196,584	16,244
	Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	26,000	39,312	-13,312	42,500	16,500
	Other Financing Sources	5,000	11,509	-6,509	12,000	7,000
	<b>TOTAL WATER SDC FND</b>	<b>\$211,340</b>	<b>\$247,405</b>	<b>(\$36,065)</b>	<b>\$251,084</b>	<b>\$39,744</b>
<b>SEWER SDC FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	572,243	616,423	-44,180	616,423	44,180
	Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	49,000	69,746	-20,746	72,500	23,500
	Other Financing Sources	10,000	35,136	-25,136	37,500	27,500
	<b>TOTAL SEWER SDC FUND</b>	<b>\$631,243</b>	<b>\$721,305</b>	<b>(\$90,062)</b>	<b>\$726,423</b>	<b>\$95,180</b>
<b>PARKS &amp; REC DISTRICT FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	445,835	433,565	12,270	443,565	(2,270)
	Other Financing Sources	0	10,303	-10,303	10,500	10,500
	Interfund Transfers	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>TOTAL PARKS &amp; REC FUND</b>	<b>\$445,835</b>	<b>\$443,868</b>	<b>\$1,967</b>	<b>\$454,065</b>	<b>\$8,230</b>
<b>LIBRARY SERVICES FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	305,649	334,484	-28,835	334,484	28,835
	Property Taxes	186,500	198,333	-11,833	199,000	12,500
	Intergovernmental Revenue	526,872	487,630	39,242	500,000	(26,872)
	Fines & Forfeitures	21,000	31,428	-10,428	31,500	10,500
	Other Financing Sources	3,500	20,776	-17,276	20,775	17,275
	Interfund Transfers	306,290	306,290	0	306,290	0
	<b>TOTAL LIBRARY SRVCS FUND</b>	<b>\$1,349,811</b>	<b>\$1,378,940</b>	<b>(\$29,129)</b>	<b>\$1,392,049</b>	<b>\$42,238</b>
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY FUND</b>						
	Fund Balance Available	463,369	492,886	-29,517	492,886	29,517
	Property Taxes	787,200	837,396	-50,196	840,000	52,800
	Intergovernmental Revenue	103,500	13,154	90,346	10,000	(93,500)
	Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	2,000	5,087	-3,087	5,000	3,000
	Other Financing Sources	9,500	49,292	-39,792	45,000	35,500
	Interfund Transfers	2,228,059	2,213,559	14,500	2,213,558	(14,501)
	<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FUND</b>	<b>\$3,593,628</b>	<b>\$3,611,375</b>	<b>(\$17,747)</b>	<b>\$3,606,444</b>	<b>\$12,816</b>

**REVENUE REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS**

<b>WATER FUND</b>					
Fund Balance Available	1,582,755	1,546,674	36,081	1,546,674	(36,081)
Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	1,640,797	1,789,875	-149,078	1,793,000	152,203
Other Financing Sources	34,941	73,751	-38,810	75,000	40,059
<b>TOTAL WATER FUND</b>	<b>\$3,258,493</b>	<b>\$3,410,300</b>	<b>(\$151,807)</b>	<b>\$3,414,674</b>	<b>\$156,181</b>
<b>SEWER FUND</b>					
Fund Balance Available	527,007	390,256	136,751	390,256	(136,751)
Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	2,143,824	1,996,911	146,913	1,998,000	(145,824)
Other Financing Sources	12,000	28,530	-16,530	30,000	18,000
Special Assessment Debt Payments	0	25,342	-25,342	25,000	25,000
<b>TOTAL SEWER FUND</b>	<b>\$2,682,831</b>	<b>\$2,441,038</b>	<b>\$241,793</b>	<b>\$2,443,256</b>	<b>(\$239,575)</b>
<b>STORM SEWER FUND</b>					
Fund Balance Available	523,213	514,880	8,333	514,880	(8,333)
Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	645,315	659,594	-14,279	665,000	19,685
Other Financing Sources	500	23,323	-22,823	23,500	23,000
<b>TOTAL STORM SEWER FUND</b>	<b>\$1,169,028</b>	<b>\$1,197,798</b>	<b>(\$28,770)</b>	<b>\$1,203,380</b>	<b>-\$34,352</b>
<b>IMPROVEMENT BOND SINKING FUND</b>					
Fund Balance Available	993,928	1,039,880	-45,952	1,039,879	45,951
Other Financing Sources	33,000	54,301	-21,301	55,000	22,000
Special Assessment Debt Payments	50,000	80,459	-30,459	80,500	30,500
<b>TOTAL IMPRVMT BOND FND</b>	<b>\$1,076,928</b>	<b>\$1,174,640</b>	<b>(\$97,712)</b>	<b>\$1,175,379</b>	<b>\$98,451</b>
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY DEBT SERVICE FUND</b>					
Fund Balance Available	460,143	502,392	-42,249	502,392	42,249
Property Taxes	442,278	489,677	-47,399	490,000	47,722
Other Financing Sources	7,500	34,731	-27,231	35,000	27,500
<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FAC DEBT SRV</b>	<b>\$909,921</b>	<b>\$1,026,800</b>	<b>(\$116,879)</b>	<b>\$1,027,392</b>	<b>\$117,471</b>
<b>CAPITAL PROJECTS</b>					
Fund Balance Available	233,373	248,184	-14,811	248,184	14,811
Other Financing Sources	0	10,648	-10,648	10,750	10,750
<b>TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS</b>	<b>\$233,373</b>	<b>\$258,832</b>	<b>(\$25,459)</b>	<b>\$258,934</b>	<b>-\$25,561</b>
<b>FLEET SERVICES</b>					
Fund Balance Available	0	8,980	-8,980	8,980	8,980
Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	297,106	306,115	-9,009	310,000	12,894
Other Financing Sources	7,000	-1,909	8,909	-1,800	(8,800)
Interfund Transfers	65,596	33,751	31,845	33,750	(31,846)
<b>TOTAL FLEET SERVICES</b>	<b>\$369,702</b>	<b>\$346,936</b>	<b>\$22,766</b>	<b>\$350,930</b>	<b>(\$18,772)</b>
<b>FACILITIES MANAGEMENT</b>					
Fund Balance Available	0	0	0	0	0
Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	454,433	425,396	29,037	425,400	(29,033)
Fines & Forfeitures	10,271	6,613	3,658	6,800	(3,471)
Other Financing Sources	2,000	2,563	-563	2,750	750
<b>TOTAL FACILITIES MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>\$466,704</b>	<b>\$434,573</b>	<b>\$32,131</b>	<b>\$434,950</b>	<b>(\$31,754)</b>
<b>ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES</b>					
Fund Balance Available	0	-559	559	-559	(559)
Licenses, Permits & Charges for Service	2,333,416	2,242,981	90,435	2,250,000	(83,416)
Other Financing Sources	2,579	7,066	-4,487	6,500	3,921
<b>TOTAL ADMIN SERVICES FUND</b>	<b>\$2,335,995</b>	<b>\$2,249,488</b>	<b>\$86,607</b>	<b>\$2,255,941</b>	<b>(\$80,064)</b>
<b>KNUTSON CEMETARY TRUST</b>					
Fund Balance Available	37,500	37,714	-214	37,714	214
Other Financing Sources	1,875	2,057	-182	2,050	175
<b>TOTAL KNUTSON CEMETARY TRUST</b>	<b>\$39,375</b>	<b>\$39,771</b>	<b>(\$396)</b>	<b>\$39,764</b>	<b>\$389</b>
<b>FORFEITURE TRUST</b>					
Fund Balance Available	0	0	0	0	0
Fines & Forfeitures	5,000	1,060	3,940	1,060	(3,940)
<b>TOTAL FORFEITURE TRUST</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$1,060</b>	<b>\$3,940</b>	<b>\$1,060</b>	<b>(\$3,940)</b>
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$28,252,625</b>	<b>\$28,885,948</b>	<b>(\$633,323)</b>	<b>\$29,002,334</b>	<b>\$749,709</b>

**FUND BALANCE REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS**

<u>FUND</u>	<u>CATEGORY</u>	FY 1995-96 <u>budget</u>	YTD <u>5/31/96</u>	<u>balance</u>	PROJECTION <u>6/30/96</u>
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	7,776,904	8,006,804	-229,900	8,010,842
	Total Expenditures	7,776,904	6,922,431	854,473	6,955,300
	<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,084,374</b>	<b>(\$1,084,374)</b>	<b>\$1,055,542</b>
<b>CODE ENFORCEMENT ABATEMENT FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	61,000	61,192	-192	61,218
	Total Expenditures	61,000	11	60,989	25
	<b>CODE ENFORCMNT ABATMNT</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$61,181</b>	<b>(\$61,181)</b>	<b>\$61,193</b>
<b>STATE GAS TAX/ST REPAIR FND</b>					
	Total Revenues	1,447,823	1,701,659	-253,836	1,761,044
	Total Expenditures	1,447,823	1,268,917	178,906	1,311,875
	<b>TOTAL STATE GAS TAX FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$432,742</b>	<b>(\$432,742)</b>	<b>\$449,169</b>
<b>BIKE PATH FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	155,961	68,495	87,466	68,695
	Total Expenditures	155,961	2,444	153,517	2,500
	<b>TOTAL BIKE PATH FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$66,051</b>	<b>(\$66,051)</b>	<b>\$66,195</b>
<b>STORM SEWER SDC FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	31,730	63,669	-31,939	64,811
	Total Expenditures	31,730	1,788	29,942	1,800
	<b>TOTAL STORM SEWER SDC FND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$61,881</b>	<b>(\$61,881)</b>	<b>\$63,011</b>
<b>WATER SDC FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	211,340	247,405	-36,065	251,084
	Total Expenditures	211,340	5,014	206,326	5,250
	<b>TOTAL WATER SDC FND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$242,391</b>	<b>(\$242,391)</b>	<b>\$245,834</b>
<b>SEWER SDC FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	631,243	721,305	-90,062	726,423
	Total Expenditures	631,243	5,854	625,389	5,900
	<b>TOTAL SEWER SDC FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$715,451</b>	<b>(\$715,451)</b>	<b>\$720,523</b>
<b>PARKS &amp; REC DISTRICT FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	445,835	443,868	1,967	454,065
	Total Expenditures	445,835	287,665	158,170	287,665
	<b>TOTAL PARKS &amp; REC FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$156,203</b>	<b>(\$156,203)</b>	<b>\$166,400</b>
<b>LIBRARY SERVICES FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	1,349,811	1,378,940	-29,129	1,392,049
	Total Expenditures	1,349,811	962,867	386,944	969,750
	<b>TOTAL LIBRARY SRVCS FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$416,073</b>	<b>(\$416,073)</b>	<b>\$422,299</b>
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	3,593,628	3,611,375	-17,747	3,606,444
	Total Expenditures	3,593,628	2,759,665	833,963	2,799,915
	<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$851,710</b>	<b>(\$851,710)</b>	<b>\$806,529</b>
<b>WATER FUND</b>					
	Total Revenues	3,258,493	3,410,300	-151,807	3,414,674
	Total Expenditures	3,258,493	1,651,604	1,606,889	1,705,000
	<b>TOTAL WATER FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,758,696</b>	<b>(\$1,758,696)</b>	<b>\$1,709,674</b>

**FUND BALANCE REPORT  
WITH FYE PROJECTIONS**

<b>SEWER FUND</b>				
Total Revenues	2,682,831	2,441,038	241,793	2,443,256
Total Expenditures	2,682,831	1,275,292	1,407,539	1,330,000
<b>TOTAL SEWER FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,165,746</b>	<b>(\$1,165,746)</b>	<b>\$1,113,256</b>
<b>STORM SEWER FUND</b>				
Total Revenues	1,169,028	1,197,798	-28,770	1,203,380
Total Expenditures	1,169,028	586,621	582,407	629,625
<b>TOTAL STORM SEWER FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$611,177</b>	<b>(\$611,177)</b>	<b>\$573,755</b>
<b>IMPROVEMENT BOND SINKING FUND</b>				
Total Revenues	1,076,928	1,174,640	-97,712	1,175,379
Total Expenditures	1,076,928	76,110	1,000,818	76,200
<b>TOTAL IMPRVMT BOND FND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,098,530</b>	<b>(\$1,098,530)</b>	<b>\$1,099,179</b>
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY DEBT SERVICE FUND</b>				
Total Revenues	909,921	1,026,800	-116,879	1,027,392
Total Expenditures	909,921	454,240	455,681	454,250
<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FAC DEBT SRV</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$572,560</b>	<b>(\$572,560)</b>	<b>\$573,142</b>
<b>CAPITAL PROJECTS</b>				
Total Revenues	233,373	258,832	-25,459	258,934
Total Expenditures	233,373	119,389	113,984	120,000
<b>TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$139,442</b>	<b>(\$139,442)</b>	<b>\$138,934</b>
<b>FLEET SERVICES</b>				
Total Revenues	369,702	346,936	22,766	350,930
Total Expenditures	369,702	338,730	30,972	347,200
<b>TOTAL FLEET SERVICES</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$8,206</b>	<b>(\$8,206)</b>	<b>\$3,730</b>
<b>FACILITIES MANAGEMENT</b>				
Total Revenues	466,704	434,573	32,131	434,950
Total Expenditures	466,704	356,574	110,130	447,050
<b>TOTAL FACILITIES MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$77,999</b>	<b>(\$77,999)</b>	<b>(\$12,100)</b>
<b>ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES</b>				
Total Revenues	2,335,995	2,249,488	86,507	2,255,941
Total Expenditures	2,335,995	2,014,417	321,578	2,075,000
<b>TOTAL ADMIN SERVICES FUND</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$235,071</b>	<b>(\$235,071)</b>	<b>\$180,941</b>
<b>KNUTSON CEMETARY TRUST</b>				
Total Revenues	39,375	39,771	-396	39,764
Total Expenditures	39,375	0	39,375	0
<b>TOTAL KNUTSON CEMETARY TRUST</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$39,771</b>	<b>(\$39,771)</b>	<b>\$39,764</b>
<b>FORFEITURE TRUST</b>				
Total Revenues	5,000	1,060	3,940	1,060
Total Expenditures	5,000	0	5,000	0
<b>TOTAL FORFEITURE TRUST</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,060</b>	<b>(\$1,060)</b>	<b>\$1,060</b>
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$9,478,029</b>