

**CITY OF MILWAUKIE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
MARCH 7, 2006**

**CALL TO ORDER**

**Mayor Bernard** called the 1977<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Milwaukie City Council to order at 7:06 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers. The following Councilors were present:

Council President Deborah Barnes	Joe Loomis
Susan Stone	Carlotta Collette

Staff present:

Mike Swanson, City Manager	Susan Shanks, Assistant Planner
Gary Firestone, City Attorney	Alice Rouyer, Interim Planning Director

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**PROCLAMATIONS, COMMENDATION, SPECIAL REPORTS AND AWARDS**

None.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Item C, Appoint Scott Churchill to Design and Landmarks Committee (DLC) – Resolution, was pulled for discussion.

It was moved by Councilor Barnes and seconded by Councilor Collette to approve consent agenda items A and B. Motion passed unanimously. [5:0]

- A. OLCC Application for Salon Acapulco Tropical, 6128 SE King Road, New Outlet; and
- B. Resolution 8-2006: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Milwaukie, Oregon, determining the first regular Council session in July 2006 will be called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers on July 6; the work session will be called to order at 5:30 p.m. in the City Hall conference room on July 6.

**AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION**

- Steve Gerkin, 12114 SE 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

**Mr. Gerkin** read a letter into the record urging the Council to adopt a symbolic resolution urging the Oregon Delegation to initiate impeachment proceedings against President Bush because of the wire tapping matter.

**OTHER BUSINESS**

It was consensus of the Council to reappoint Donald Hammang and Lisa Batey to the Planning Commission.

**Mayor Bernard** noted that Scott Churchill originally applied for the Planning Commission, but at the time, there was no position available. He assumed he would still be interested in appointment to the Planning Commission.

City Council Regular Session – March 7, 2006

Approved Minutes

Page 1 of 3

**Councilor Stone** thought the City Council should determine if there were others interested in the Planning Commission position and was concerned about robbing one committee for another. She did like that he had a background in architecture. The group concurred.

**Councilor Collette** thought the architecture skills were also useful on the Planning Commission, but she agreed others should have the opportunity to apply.

**Councilor Stone** commented on the makeup of the current DLC members and asked if anyone else had a background in architecture since Mr. Carter was leaving.

**Mayor Bernard** suggesting asking Mr. Carter if he was interested in being on the DLC.

The group agreed vacancies should be advertised for both the DLC and Planning Commission.

### **COUNCIL REPORTS**

**Councilor Collette** attended the Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C4) where the Metro natural space bond measure and library network. The Ledding Library was considered a survivor because of the local support. The balance of funding would be discussed more at the city and county levels.

**Councilor Barnes** wanted to make it clear to the community that the Ledding Library could not be sustained without County funding.

**Councilor Collette** said Milwaukie's was one of four libraries in the network that had strong community support.

**Mr. Swanson** added one of the consultant's recommendations was that county funding be conditioned upon 20% match by the city. Milwaukie currently contributed in excess of \$800,000 annually toward the Library. Milwaukie was one of the stronger local supporters, and there were a number of libraries that relied solely on county funding. One of the points was that the community had shown a real willingness to support the library and recognized funding was a problem. The consultant recommended a dedicated levy in 2010.

**Councilor Collette** added that the Milwaukie Ledding Library had a very wide user group.

**Councilor Barnes** and **Councilor Loomis** attended the Citizens Advisory Committee (Clearwater) meeting.

**Mayor Bernard** spoke at the Sunrise Rotary and would speak at the Clackamas Rotary next week about all the activities in Milwaukie. He attended meetings of the metals group considering a training center at Sabin, the Clackamas Business Alliance, and the Public Safety Advisory Committee officers of the year dinner.

**Councilor Loomis** attended the Clackamas Rotary and the Milwaukie High School Advisory Committee.

**Councilor Stone** attended Clackamas Cities Dinner with Councilors Collette and Loomis and the monthly Legacy Emmanuel Hospital series on meth usage.

The group discussed regional committee assignments, and Mayor Bernard would request further information from Ms. Herrigel.

**Mayor Bernard** commented that Neighborhood District Association (NDA) meetings were places where citizens felt they could speak freely and perhaps criticize Council. Staff did attend those meetings.

**Mr. Swanson** discussed his compilation of organizations outside of the City that requested a City representative of some sort to sit on the board or committee. Some positions were not technically City positions. He would work on completing the list by the next meeting.

**Councilor Barnes** announced she would attend the Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC) and Library Board meetings to listen. She urged other Council members to consider doing likewise. Council did not receive the minutes in a timely manner, so she felt it was important to improve communications.

**Mayor Bernard** asked that staff continue to schedule work sessions with the boards and commissions.

**Councilor Stone** thought the Council's getting together with the advisory boards would help them become more focused and provide an opportunity to communicate. She did not believe the Council had made it a priority. She did not want to give any board or commission members the feeling Council was breathing down their necks. She felt it was Council's job to improve communications regarding focus.

**Mayor Bernard** felt if Councilors wanted to attend meetings like C4 that they should simply go.

**Councilor Collette** understood the County would provide C4 with part time staffing and perhaps ask the cities for a contribution of a couple thousand dollars.

**Mayor Bernard** was concerned about making a contribution. The idea behind C4 was to get people together to communicate. He noted how much time and money the City put into a study on the County transportation funding, and then they decided not to it. The County Commissioners did not like the results, so it did not happen. He felt C4 members needed to talk about how to coordinate the partnership, but that had not occurred.

**Councilor Collette** thought the structure of C4 was based on County priorities and would be discussed at Timothy Lake. It seemed that people were working more closely together, and there was a strong attendance for the Library report. The point of C4's forming was that the cities and service districts would have more say in how County money was spent since there were only three County Commissioners.


#### **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**Mayor Bernard** announced the Council would meet in executive session immediately following adjournment pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(h) to consult with counsel concerning legal rights and duties regarding current litigation or litigation likely to be filed and (i) for performance evaluation of a public officer.

#### **ADJURNMENT**

**It was moved by Councilor Barnes and seconded by Councilor Collette adjourn the meeting. Motion passed unanimously. [5:0]**

**Mayor Bernard** adjourned the meeting at 7:41 p.m.

  
 Pat DuVal, Recorder

# AGENDA

## MILWAUKIE CITY COUNCIL MARCH 7, 2006

**MILWAUKIE CITY HALL**  
10722 SE Main Street

**1977<sup>th</sup> MEETING**

### REGULAR SESSION – 7:00 p.m.

- I. **CALL TO ORDER**  
**Pledge of Allegiance**
2. **PROCLAMATIONS, COMMENDATIONS, SPECIAL REPORTS, AND AWARDS**
3. **CONSENT AGENDA** *(These items are considered to be routine, and therefore, will not be allotted Council discussion time on the agenda. The items may be passed by the Council in one blanket motion. Any Council member may remove an item from the “Consent” portion of the agenda for discussion or questions by requesting such action prior to consideration of that portion of the agenda.)*
  - A. **OLCC Application for Salon Acapulco Tropical, 6128 SE King Road, New Outlet**
  - B. **Designate Time and Place of First Council Meeting in July -- Resolution**
  - C. **Appoint Scott Churchill to Design and Landmarks Committee -- Resolution**
4. **AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION** *(The Presiding Officer will call for statements from citizens regarding issues relating to the City. Pursuant to Section 2.04.140, Milwaukie Municipal Code, only issues that are “not on the agenda” may be raised. In addition, issues that await a Council decision and for which the record is closed may not be discussed. Persons wishing to address the Council shall first complete a comment card and return it to the City Recorder. Pursuant to Section 2.04.360, Milwaukie Municipal Code, “all remarks shall be directed to the whole Council, and the Presiding Officer may limit comments or refuse recognition if the remarks become irrelevant, repetitious, personal, impertinent, or slanderous.” The Presiding Officer may limit the time permitted for presentations and may request that a spokesperson be selected for a group of persons wishing to speak.)*
5. **PUBLIC HEARING** *(Public Comment will be allowed on items appearing on this portion of the agenda following a brief staff report presenting the item and action requested. The Mayor may limit testimony.)*

**None Scheduled**

6. **OTHER BUSINESS** *(These items will be presented individually by staff or other appropriate individuals. A synopsis of each item together with a brief statement of the action being requested shall be made by those appearing on behalf of an agenda item.)*

**A. Council Reports**

7. **INFORMATION**

**A. Center/Community Advisory Board Minutes, November 13, 2005.**

**B. Park and Recreation Board Minutes, December 27, 2005**

**C. Riverfront Board Minutes, January 10, 2006**

8. **ADJOURNMENT**

**Public Information**

- Executive Session: The Milwaukie City Council will meet in Executive Session immediately following adjournment at pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(i) performance evaluation of public officers and employees.

All discussions are confidential and those present may disclose nothing from the Session. Representatives of the news media are allowed to attend Executive Sessions as provided by ORS 192.660(3) but must not disclose any information discussed. No Executive Session may be held for the purpose of taking any final action or making any final decision. Executive Sessions are closed to the public.

- For assistance/service per the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), please dial TDD 503.786.7555
- The Council requests that all pagers and cell phones be either set on silent mode or turned off during the meeting.



**To:** Mayor Bernard and Milwaukie City Council  
**Through:** Mike Swanson, City Manager  
**From:** Larry R. Kanzler, Chief of Police  
**Date:** February 13, 2006  
**Subject:** **O.L.C.C. Application – Salon Acapulco Tropical – 6128 S.E. King Road**

---

**Action Requested:**

It is respectfully requested the Council approve the O.L.C.C. Application To Obtain A Liquor License from Salon Acapulco Tropical – 6128 S.E. King Road

**Background:**

We have conducted a background investigation and find no reason to deny the request for liquor license.

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON,  
APPOINTING SCOTT CHURCHILL TO THE MILWAUKIE DESIGN AND  
LANDMARKS COMMITTEE.**

**WHEREAS,** a vacancy exists on the Milwaukie Design and Landmarks Committee; and

**WHEREAS,** Milwaukie Municipal Code Section 19.323.4(A) provides for appointment of members of the Milwaukie Design and Landmarks Committee “by the council;” and

**WHEREAS,** Scott Churchill possesses the necessary qualifications to serve on the Committee and has indicated his desire to serve.

**Now, therefore, the City of Milwaukie, Oregon resolves as follows:**

**SECTION 1:** That Scott Churchill is appointed to the Milwaukie Design and Landmarks Committee.

**SECTION 2:** That his term of appointment shall commence upon adoption of this resolution and shall expire on March 31, 2008.

**SECTION 3:** This resolution takes effect immediately upon passage.

Introduced and adopted by the City Council on March 7, 2006.

\_\_\_\_\_  
James Bernard, Mayor

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
Ramis, Crew & Corrigan, LLP

\_\_\_\_\_  
Pat DuVal, City Recorder

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKIE, OREGON, DETERMINING THE FIRST REGULAR COUNCIL SESSION IN JULY 2006 WILL BE CALLED TO ORDER AT 7:00 P.M. IN THE CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS ON JULY 6; THE WORK SESSION WILL BE CALLED TO ORDER AT 5:30 P.M. IN THE CITY HALL CONFERENCE ROOM ON JULY 6.**

**WHEREAS**, Municipal Code Chapter 2.04.070 states that the City Council must provide notice of any changes to its regularly scheduled meeting times and locations;

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Council of the City of Milwaukie, Oregon, that the City Council will hold its first regular July 2006 session on July 6 and will call it to order at 7:00 p.m. at Milwaukie City Hall in the Council Chambers, 10722 SE Main Street; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Council will hold its first July 2006 work session on July 6 and will call this session to order at 5:30 p.m. at Milwaukie City Hall in the Conference Room, 10722 SE Main Street.

Introduced and adopted by the City Council on March 7, 2006.

This resolution is effective on March 7, 2006.

\_\_\_\_\_  
James Bernard, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Pat DuVal, City Recorder

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ramis, Crew & Corrigan, LLP

**North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District  
Milwaukie Center/Community Advisory Board  
Minutes of January 13, 2006**

**Members present:** Chuck Petersen, Joan Staley, Molly Hanthorn, Jane Hanno, Joy Estes, Katie Rudfelt, Ben Horner-Johnson, Kathi Schroeder, Kim Buchholz, Jim McCready, Carolyn Mills, Ben Tabler, Joan Rowe

**Members Excused:** Eleanor Johnson, Sharon Phillips

**Staff Present:** Cheryl Nally, Clee Ann Hart, Joan Young

**Guests:** Gretchen Jordan, Ann and John Thomas Tabler, Carol Cookson, Terry and Betty Talbot and Virginia Garrison

**Call to Order:** Joan Staley called the meeting to order at 9:30 am. Molly Hanthorn moved and Jane Hanno seconded approval of the minutes as presented.

**Correspondence:** None

**Special Topics:** Ben Tabler was presented the AARP Andrus award as the volunteer of the year for the State of Oregon by Gretchen Jordan, the AARP state representative. Several family members were in attendance along with Virginia Garrison, the community coordinator for AARP and Carol Cookson representing Clackamas County Volunteer Connection.

Clee Ann Hart reported on the NCPRD volunteer program. She discussed recruitment, records management, policies and procedures and training. On April 28, there will be a recognition from 2-4 pm for all volunteers.

**Board/Committee Reports**

**Executive Committee:** No meeting.

**NCPRD Board:** Dan Zinzer is replacing Charlie Ciecko as Director of NCPRD. Roy Wall discussed the 2005-2006 budget. A surplus in the general fund will be used to help build the North Clackamas Park ball fields. The impact of Happy Valley coming into the Parks District was discussed. The board approved a park naming policy which will be sent to the County Commission for approval. A small development is being built near the James Able Park and the developer is asking for an easement.

**Budget and Finance:** No meeting.

**Programs and Services:** Molly reported that the Tax Aid program will begin sign-ups on Tuesday, January 17. A Diabetes Fair will be held at the Milwaukie Center on January 28 from 9 am-2 pm. The co-sponsor is Northwest Primary Care. Firewood is available and wood cutters are still needed. The winter classes have begun. The travel office staff has a student intern working with them. Cheryl Nally has been appointed to an Ad Hoc task

force at the state level to review policies of "Oregon Project Independence." The focus is to expand the numbers served, to include the disabled and establish stable funding. The next meeting will be April 10.

**Nutrition and Transportation:** Ben reported that the bus advertising has generated \$17,000 this year and it may be renewed. The Valentine candy sale needs volunteers. March is awareness month for MOW of America. The local event will be on March 22 which will include city mayors driving Meals on Wheels. Clackamas Community Federal Credit Union and Bob's Red Mill will be supporting MOW during the month of March.

**Transportation Ad Hoc:** Kim reported that advertising on the bus will continue, possibly at a higher rate. A decision was made to continue the Ad Hoc meetings in order to brainstorm new funding options. The next meeting is March 6.

**Building Review:** Jim reported that the changing table will be installed in the unisex restroom. The automatic doors have been installed for the restrooms. The installation of new furnace/air conditioning units and pool room door have been completed. Jim gave a wish list for the future which includes parking lot repairs, a new stove top and oven, a new condenser unit for the refrigerator/freezer, earthquake-proofing of the building, painting the exterior and exterior lighting for the side of the building.

#### **Other Reports**

**Friends of the Milwaukie Center:** Joan Young reported that the Spaghetti Dinner will be February 25 from 4-7 pm. The Texas Hold'em Tournament will cost \$50 to participate. The Friends have entered into a contract with a person to coordinate the growth of the Endowment Fund.

**Governor's Commission:** No meeting.

**Center Report:** Cheryl reported on the Diabetes Fair co-sponsored by Northwest Primary Care. Joan Young discussed the Healthy Living guide which anyone can ask to receive. The Arts Action Alliance is discussing an art sculpture for the park and will form a committee to plan the design, request proposals and choose a sculptor.

**Information/Announcements:** Choosing a new name for the Center was advertised in the newsletter. The Friends board brainstormed names and all the information was compiled. This process will continue. Joan Young reported about a Parks District signature event that is in the planning stage. This event will be wrapped around the Art Guild Show. The Chamber of Commerce and the Friends of the Milwaukie Center may be involved. Other events will be blended together with the Art Show. Dan Zinzer spoke briefly and reported that he will be the Director of Clackamas County's new Department of Business and Community Service.

The meeting was adjourned at 11 am. The next meeting is February 10, 2006 at 9:30 am.

Carolyn Mills, secretary

North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District  
**MILWAUKIE CENTER**  
Monthly Report for January, 2006

The Tax Aide Program provided 48 hours of training for 36 volunteers in collaboration with AARP, the Internal Revenue Service and the State Department of Revenue. These volunteers will be preparing tax forms for low income older adults and other community members throughout the community during the next several months with estimates of over 2,000 returns completed at the Milwaukie Center.

Since not everyone eats right at 12 noon and wants the full size meal provided in the congregate meal, the Milwaukie Center's Nutrition Program also operates a Bistro deli. They offer homemade soup and sandwich du jour, beverages and pastries at very reasonable prices. The Bistro is open M – F, 9 am – 1 pm. Over sixty people per day frequent the great food at the Bistro.

Over 500 participants are involved in the variety of Interest and Activity groups at the Center. Check out bingo, bridge, pinochle, choral group, wood carving, poker and more opportunities of fun and enriching experiences at the Milwaukie Center!

150 persons attended the 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Diabetes Fair co-sponsored by Northwest Primary Care, attending sessions on medication management and cost, preparing for doctor visits, medical scams, CPR and several physical activity classes.

All classes at the Milwaukie Center are going strong during Winter term. The Tai-Chi class at Princeton Village, our new satellite location, has participation from the public and residents of the area. Fitness and dance classes are particularly full with the new year bringing many new faces to the Center.

During January, February and March the Milwaukie Center hallways are adorned with artwork from students at the Milwaukie Center oil painting, ceramics, acrylics, drawing, and watercolor classes. Be sure to enjoy the Student Art Show!

Milwaukie Center's Annual See's Candy sale is in full swing through February 14<sup>th</sup>. These delicious treats are available in the Milwaukie Center's lobby 10 am-1 pm Monday thru Friday, and in the Gift Shop. The candy, from \$1.50 - \$4.00, is also on sale at Clackamas Community Federal Credit Union and the Aquatic Park during business hours. All proceeds support Milwaukie Center's transportation services.

The Friends of the Milwaukie Center have entered a formal agreement with a professional fundraising consultant to help build the Endowment Fund. The Friends goal is to build the Endowment Fund to one million dollars so we can provide solid support to the Milwaukie Center in the future.



# Park & Recreation Board

## PARB

Tuesday, December 27, 2005

6:00 PM to 8:00 PM

Regular Meeting

### MINUTES

**Attendees:** Kathy Buss, Kate McCready, Ray Harris, Sherri Dow, Mart Hughes, Sonny Newson

**Absent:** Joan Young, Rob Gabrish

**Staff:** JoAnn Herrigel,

**Minutes:** Dow motioned to accept minutes of November 29, 2005. Harris seconded and minutes were approved 5-0-1 (Buss abstaining due to absence at Nov meeting)

**Metro Bond Measure:** Herrigel provided the group with background on the November 2006 proposed Metro Green space ballot measure:

1995 Ballot Measure:           \$135.6 million total  
                                      \$25 million – local share (18 %)  
                                      \$350,000 – Milwaukie local share  
                                      8,000 acres of land acquired

2000-2001 – Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) stated need to protect fish and wildlife habitat and urged Metro to expand its land acquisition activities beyond the scope of the 1995 Bond. (3,000 acres acquired)

Dec 2004 – Metro Council adopted resolution No. 04-3506A to take a bond measure to the voters in November 2006.

June 2005 – polling done for Metro by McCaig Communications

Sept 2005 – Metro Council established a Blue ribbon Committee to advise and make preliminary recommendations to the Council on bond measure content.

Fall 2005 – Clackamas County Cities, County and District meet to arrive at distribution scheme for local share

March 2, 2006 – 2<sup>nd</sup> reading at Metro of bond resolution

March 8 2006 is final referral date for ballot

### Blue Ribbon Committee Recommendation:

Total Bond measure:           \$220 million (\$32/year or \$2.67/month or .18/1000 AV)  
                                      *(NOTE: 1995 Bond exists at .11/1000 AV until 2015)*

Local Legacy Program (Local Share):   25%, or \$55 million

20% in per capita share to locals (44 mill)  
5% in grants (1:1 match) (11 mill)

Metro funds for target areas: \$165 million

Local Share Guidelines:

- Must be City or park provider by Nov 6, 2006
- Funds to be used on natural area-related activities only
  - Acquisition
  - Capital Improvement Projects (restoration, enhancement of fish and wildlife habitat, public facilities like roads, parking areas and play equipment)
  - Environmental education facilities
  - Trail design, engineering and construction

January and February 2006: Public Forums

March 1 – deadline for local governments to submit list of local share projects

---

Herrigel asked the group for their input on projects that the City should propose for the local share portion of the Bond. The group suggested:

- Herrigel use the list she'd developed previously for the Clackamas County local share distribution discussion
- Look at land along creeks (Johnson, Kellogg and near Minthorn)
- Look at area near Johnson Creek (from McBrod to Creek)
- Willamette River
- Bubbles around parks (adding land to existing parks)
- Christmas tree farm off Lake Rd.

Hughes noted that he would probably attend the upcoming public input workshops to be held by Metro and asked if he should represent the City Park Board. The consensus was that Mart should speak as a private citizen and that Herrigel would represent the City if necessary. The group also felt that the NDAs should be asked for their input on the project list.

### **City Update:**

**Riverfront survey data:** Herrigel noted that the data had been compiled and reported on in the Pilot. The summary of the data shows that about 80% of those surveyed preferred Concept #2 which contained a boat ramp. She noted that those in favor of Concept #2 also urged that more parking be added to this design.

**Lewelling Community Park:** Herrigel said the next steps for this project would be to put out a bid for construction. She would be working on this in the next month (January).

**Spring Park:** Pat Lando, the landscape designer working on the Spring Park design, will develop an alternative design for review by the Island Station NDA in January. Herrigel planned to submit a Community Service Overlay and a master plan to Planning Commission before the spring.

**PARB T-Shirts:** Harris asked what ever happened to the PARB T-Shirts. Herrigel said she was awaiting the group's ideas regarding design. The group said they felt that the City logo and "Parks and Recreation Board" was sufficient. Herrigel said she would order the shirts.

**Kellogg Property Naming:**

Herrigel gave the group an update on the transit center site proposed for near Kellogg Lake. She said that the previous owners of the site had confirmed that they wanted the site to remain a park and requested that it be named after Robert Kronberg. Herrigel said that the transit center proposal for that site was now dead and the city wanted to move forward with the wishes of the former owners. Herrigel asked the group if they would direct her to begin the naming process for this site. Dow made a motion directing staff to begin the naming process by requesting input from Historic Milwaukie and Island Station neighborhoods. Harris seconded and motion passed 6-0.

Harris motioned to adjourn, Dow seconded and motion passed 6-0.

January 10, 2006  
Riverfront Board Meeting Minutes

Attending: Michael Martin, Dave Green, Gary Klein, Shane St.Clair, Mitch Wall, Terrie Darling

Absent: Mike Stacey

Visitors: Councilor Joe Loomis

Approval of previous minutes: Approved Sept. 13<sup>th</sup>—Herrigel noted there had been no comments from those that were at the meeting. Gary made motion and Shane seconded. Motion passed 6-0. December meeting: Correction: Mitch Wall was in favor of delaying discussion of the data implications, he was not against getting it to City Council.

Discussion:

JoAnn explained that they had a lot to get through and wanted the meeting to stay focused. She noted that this also allowed Dave to participate. She said she would try to keep things on track—items deemed off track would need to be tabled for discussion at another time. JoAnn explained that Mike Stacey was recovering from surgery and could not make the meeting but had provided her with a statement to read at the appropriate time. Mitch asked if he could get a copy of Mike's statement and JoAnn indicated she would make copies and give them out while she was reading them.

JoAnn started by giving a summary of the survey data as displayed on flipchart.

Next she asked for Board comments on data:

Dave suggested that survey results not be called "votes"—he preferred they be called "feedback."

Michael asked if 11% was a good response. JoAnn replied that 3% is typically considered good/normal.

JoAnn asked the group: "Do you think anything is wrong with the data as summarized here?" No comments were made about the summary itself.

Next Herrigel asked if the Board had any additions to the list of data implications:

Shane commented that the majority of respondents (the 75% that chose concept #2) gave feedback that their number one concern was on-site parking. He noted that even those who chose concept #1 also wanted on-site parking (.2 percent difference from picnic facilities.) ***Hence, he concluded, on-site parking is a very important issue for all the people that responded.***

Gary Klein noted that boat ramp, boat dock, and picnic facilities all rated very close together for the majority of people that responded (that chose #2). He also noted that people coming from outside the City seem to be coming here to use the boat ramp.

Shane commented that the majority inside the City also chose boating facilities to support that use.

Dave commented that he did not think the group should just look at what those choosing concept #2 wanted and did not think they could be called the overall majority. He thought that they should instead look at all respondents (both #1 and #2 choosers together) and see what they want—what everybody wants. He noted that to all respondents picnic facilities, on-site parking, boat dock, and open space are all highly rated. He noted that it seems there continues to be competition for use of what is in reality a very small and constrained space. He commented that this induced him to want to try and create multiple uses of that space rather than create winners/losers.

Mitch commented that results indicate we are trying to do too much/too much congestion on the Riverfront, particularly in the area of the boat ramp. ***He said that in reading comments many indicated concerns about parking being in the middle of the concept because folks thought this was unsafe.*** It seemed that they wanted to move the parking outside of middle of concept—not necessarily move the ramp but move the parking.

Shane commented that it is very encouraging to him that **very few people chose either/no concept. This led him to believe that most people did not want to scrap the concepts altogether**—were OK with both concepts.

JoAnn read Mike's comments—Mike's general message was that the board should develop a concept that reflects survey results.

Mitch asked to explain the two handwritten bullets at the bottom of Mike's statement. JoAnn explained that she added those after talking with Mike and asking him some follow up questions. Mitch asked if Mike is saying leave the parking where it is or leave the same number of spaces—JoAnn replied that he had said both.

Mitch commented that after reading the comments there were only a few that would leave the parking just the way it is—and only a few would add more to the existing amount.

## **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

JoAnn asked the group “What would we tell someone to do with this data? What are the policy implications?”

Michael:

We need the same number of parking spaces-but look at how to redistribute them.

Design to “soften” parking so it has uses other than just parking—leaving it open for other uses (e.g. consider drainage/use pavers or the like.)

Mitch wanted to clarify whether on-site parking included the log dump—if this is what the public was shown. After discussion the group concluded that that most of them (5 out of 6 present) had considered the log-dump area as on-site rather than off-site parking. Off-site parking to the group meant parking either on McLoughlin Blvd.(which is not allowed) or on a nearby street or parking area.

Michael commented that he did not think Mike Stacey was advocating the footprint of the parking lot remain exactly the same. Dave commented that he did not think that Mike’s plan showed where parking was located and JoAnn agreed that Mike’s drawing did not specify the exact location of parking spaces.

Shane: One policy implication is to separate the parking from the picnic facilities but make sure both are adequate. He commented that picnic facilities and on-site parking were very highly regarded by all respondents so the design should make sure that both parking and picnic facilities are provided.

Michael: One policy implication could be to move parking away from river—data did not indicate where that parking had to be.

Dave commented that parking is a huge issue in Milwaukie—period. Commented that he did not see a directive to keep the same number of spaces in the same location. Handful said they want more parking than is there today. Terrie commented that comments indicated that on-site parking was important.

Terrie had suggestion—take number that is there now (in concept #2) and add a couple more spaces. Folks wanted more parking so just add a couple more up to 10 or 12 (this is less than is currently at the boat ramp?)

JoAnn asked—what implications are there for log dump area? Dave commented that log-dump should be considered on-site since it is connected to park. Mitch, Shane, Michael said the off site was not shown on concept as sent to public—is somewhere else—on McLoughlin, another road or area of downtown. Shane commented—that what we do know is that people want parking somewhere on this drawing. JoAnn noted that Mike Stacey would argue that on-site parking is defined as very near boat ramp—not just shown on the drawing (the log dump is too far away...). Gary commented that the text under the Concepts suggests that off-site parking is parking at log dump. Others pointed out that 5 out of 6 in the room did not see that when they completed the survey.

Terrie suggested the group define what “on-site” parking is. Dave commented that if we improve the site we will draw even more “if we build it they will come.” If it was open space we’d draw the Auduban Society and bird lovers. He commented that there is a difference between seasonal use and year-round use and the design should reflect this.

Policy implication: Design for seasonal use of park.

JoAnn commented that non-boaters also wanted parking near boat ramp/picnic facilities between the creeks.

Shane: 75% chose concept #2 and the elements of #2 are what they wanted and we should incorporate what was shown in Concept #2. Not that we ignore what people who voted for #1 wanted but lean toward. Terrie commented that she agreed with Shane that we should not ignore the 25% that voted for #1 but should prioritize amenities from concept #2.

Gary:

Don't make too busy/crowded—don't put too much in the middle/one place. Look at alternative parking opportunities as close to park as possible—(maybe between Trolley Trail and McLoughlin or near sewage plant.) Noted that if Clearwater passed perhaps we could put parking down there. Can't put parking on McLoughlin.

Dave: Look for Trolley Trail user parking away from creeks. Noted that when this was developed they had combined the parking for both park users and Trolley Trail users. Log dump could be a good option.

Michael: Integrate boat ramp and dock into plan. Dave commented this is the clearest message from #2 voters.

## **OPTIONS FOR NEXT STEPS**

JoAnn asked the group: "How do we take this data and integrate it and develop a final design? There needs to be a group that does it and a way that they do it." JoAnn gave some options as listed on agenda.

Hand it over to Council carte blanche with policy directives and no design?

Riverfront board develops design and gives to Council

Create another group?

Put data out for bid—let a consultant finish. JoAnn noted this would require funding.

Dave noted that one could have resource people available in option #1 (Riverfront develops final design) too.

JoAnn wrote this on the flip chart noting this would be fourth option. The group asked what Council prefers. Councilor Joe Loomis was present and said he could only speak for himself and that he likes it when a group come forward with something they have already hashed out.

JoAnn asked if anyone simply wanted to hand it over to Council—consensus was no.

Dave commented that handing it over to a consultant might generate great ideas—but then the consultant would need direction on what stakeholders to invite for further input—that this could open the design up to a broader group of people. Terrie commented that this seemed like going through the process again. Dave disagreed—thought it would finalize a design. Terrie commented that the stakeholders should be/are the people who filled out the survey.

The group discussed the idea that the Riverfront board work on a design with resource people available and present. Several noted that this could generate some “volunteer labor” from designers, especially if the group told the experts that they might have a better shot at getting a final bid if they help give design input.

Dave noted that board would/should be responsible to solicit appropriate experts/help. JoAnn noted that if they hired a consultant right away they would still come to the Riverfront board for input. Dave thought a consultant would generate better design ideas but if the Riverfront board led the process they would have more control.

Terrie liked idea of inviting Council—so they would see the process and get buy in/prevent them rehashing things. Shane commented that the Council is invited to all Riverfront board meetings.

Dave suggested a two stage process: Have another Riverfront Board meeting to finish policy implications and then two more meetings to develop a final design concept with just Riverfront board with resource people. He suggested that the group then “check in” and answer the question “Are we going to get there by ourselves or do we need to bring in others (Parks board or facilitator or put out RFP.)”

Terrie commented that she was concerned that this process would mean data would get manipulated or disregarded. JoAnn suggested that a process with resource people could help them figure out how to incorporate the data the best way—a professional design person. Shane and Michael indicated they were optimistic they could make it work. Mitch commented that for all the time he spent on the board he was willing to give 2-3 more meetings a chance.

Shane agreed that next meeting they needed to agree on ground rules, the policy implications, and what resource people to invite. Shane suggested that everyone create a list of questions and ideas for what resource people we need.

The group agreed to go forward with Dave’s suggested two-stage process and have three more meetings. The next meeting they would come to consensus on the policy implications and discuss what further resources/experts they needed. They would then take two more meetings to try and develop a more concrete design proposal.

JoAnn agreed that minutes should be at least a week before next meeting—try to do sooner. JoAnn requested that that board members do indeed read them.

Shane motioned to adjourn and Mitch seconded the motion. The motion passed 6-0.